

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION

Definitions and Classification

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a supraventricular tachyarrhythmia characterized by uncoordinated atrial activation without effective atrial contraction.^{1,2} On the ECG, AF is characterized by the replacement of consistent P waves by rapid oscillations or fibrillatory waves that vary in amplitude, shape, and timing, (when visible, usually in lead V₁, the atrial length is variable and <200 ms, ie >300 bpm), associated with an irregular ventricular response. QRS complexes may also be of variable amplitude (Table 1). Regular R-R intervals are possible in the presence of AV block or AV junctional or ventricular tachycardia. The 4 stages of atrial arrhythmias progression to AF are presented in Figure 1.

Paroxysmal AF is defined as AF that terminates spontaneously or with intervention within 7 days of onset.^{1,2} Usually, self-termination occurs within 48 h. After this the likelihood of spontaneous conversion is low. Approximately 8% of patients with paroxysmal AF progress to persistent forms annually.³

Persistent AF that is continuous and sustains for >7 d and requires intervention. Of note, patients with persistent AF who, with therapy, become paroxysmal should still be defined as persistent as this reflects their original pattern and is more useful to predict outcomes and define substrate.¹

Long-standing persistent AF is AF that has lasted for ≥1 year.

Permanent AF refers to the situation when the presence of the arrhythmia is accepted by the patient and physician. Hence, rhythm control interventions are, by definition, not pursued in patients with permanent AF. Acceptance of AF represents a therapeutic decision and does not represent an inherent pathophysiological attribute of AF.

AF burden encompasses both frequency and duration and refers to the amount of AF that an individual has. AF burden has been defined differently across studies. For the purpose of this guideline, AF burden will be defined as the durations of an episode or as a percentage of AF duration during the monitoring period depending on how it was defined in the individual studies.¹

Obsolete terms

Chronic AF has variable definitions and should not be used.

Lone (idiopathic) AF has been variously defined but generally applies to young individuals (under 60 y of age) without clinical or echocardiographic evidence of cardiopulmonary disease, including hypertension. Increasing knowledge about the pathophysiology of AF shows that in almost every patient a cause is present.

Nonvalvular AF AHA/ACC/HRS define nonvalvular AF as AF in the absence of rheumatic mitral stenosis, a mechanical or bioprosthetic heart valve, or mitral valve repair. The ESC has defined

nonvalvular AF as AF not related to mitral stenosis or prosthetic heart valves, and discourages the use of the term. The ACC/AHA also considers the term obsolete.¹

The term *clinical AF* has been used in several trials that required electrocardiographic documentation of the arrhythmia for inclusion and most patients presented for clinical evaluation and/or therapy of the arrhythmia.

Subclinical AF refers to AF identified in individuals who do not have symptoms attributable to AF and in whom there are no previous ECGs documenting AF. It usually indicates short-lasting (5 or 6 min), asymptomatic high-rate episodes of atrial fibrillation detected by implanted devices.

Although in certain cases AF is vagally mediated (ie after meals or habitual aerobic training), whereas in others follows sympathetic overactivity, the terms *vagal* and *adrenergic* AF are oversimplifications, since the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic influences is as important as absolute tone.

Epidemiology

AF is the most common sustained arrhythmia in humans and affects 1–3% of the general population worldwide (Figure 2). According to the first global assessment of AF, conducted within the framework of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study (the GBD 2010 Study), the estimated global prevalence of AF in 2010 was 33.5 million (20.9 million men and 12.6 million women),⁴ and from 1990-2019, it doubled globally to 59.7 million in 2019.⁵ In the USA its prevalence is estimated to 5.2 million people, and this is expected to rise to 12.1 million in 2030.⁶ In Asian countries it is slightly lower.^{7,8} In 2019, there were an estimated one million new cases of AF while 15.7 million people live with AF, in the 57 Mediterranean ESC member countries.⁹ The prevalence of AF increases with age, from approximately 3% in the general population, to 5–15% at 80 years.^{10,11} The lifetime risk of developing AF has been calculated 20-25% in those who have reached the age of 50, and is about 37% after 55 years of age,¹² although this may be partly due to enhanced surveillance.¹³ Older studies from 1–2 decades ago found that women with AF were at much higher ischaemic stroke risk compared with men but in more contemporary years, the sex difference in AF-related stroke risk is less evident.¹⁴ Whites have an increased risk of AF compared to blacks, Asians or Hispanics.¹⁵ Studies on long-term monitoring with implantable loop-recorders reveal that approximately one of third of patients with previously undiagnosed AF but with risk factors for AF and stroke (see “AF in specific conditions”).¹⁶ In patients with chronic coronary syndromes the incidence new-onset atrial fibrillation has been reported as 1.12 per 100 patient-years, with a cumulative incidence of 5% at 5 years.¹⁷ AF demonstrates a strong circadian rhythm. In a posthoc analysis of the LOOP study, onset during night time was common and the majority of episodes started before noon.¹⁸ Cluster analyses revealed distinct AF phenotypes and patients with onset mostly from midnight to morning

AF and its associated morbidity represent a significant socio-economic burden on the healthcare system (Figure 3). A study examining public and private health insurer records in the USA from 1996 to 2016 reported that AF was 33rd in spending for health conditions with an estimated \$28.4 billion out of a total of 3.1 trillion dollars for health care in general.¹⁹ Between 1999 to 2013 median Medicare inpatient expenditure per beneficiary increased from \$2932 to \$4719 per stay, and this was associated with improved outcomes.²⁰ In Denmark, the 3-year societal costs of AF were approximately €20,403 to €26,544 per person and €219 to €295 million as a whole.²¹

Aetiology

The most prominent risk factor for AF is **chronological age** (Table 2). However, **epigenetically measured biological aging** is suggested to partially account for the association between chronological aging and AF. Epigenetic ageing (or clocks) refers to mathematical algorithms involving epigenetic modifications, specifically 5-cytosine methylations within CpG dinucleotides, that have been shown to predict chronological age with remarkable accuracy.²² **Hypertension** is the most common causes of hypertension encountered in clinical practice, estimated to be present in >70% of AF patients, followed by ischemic heart disease.^{23,24} Approximately 30% of patients with **heart failure** or **valve disease** have AF. Patients with **coronary artery disease**, **diabetes** or **COPD** are at higher risk for AF (15-20%), and AF shares many of the causes of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.^{25,26} Up to 40% of patients with **heart failure** develop AF, and heart failure occurs in more than one third of individuals with AF. AF precedes and follows HF with both preserved and reduced ejection fraction.^{27,28} **Diastolic dysfunction** presents a potentially important link between many common risk factors, such as hypertension, age, obesity, and diabetes, and the development of AF.²⁹ Postulated mechanisms risk are increased atrial afterload, atrial myocyte stretching, and atrial wall stress. **Frequent atrial ectopy** (more than 2 APBs per hour), have been associated with increased risk of AF.³⁰ **Atrial infarction** may also cause intra-atrial conduction delay and AF,³¹ and the role of **atrial cardiomyopathy** is important.³² The risk of AF in children and young adults with **congenital heart disease** is 22 times higher than that in matched control subjects.³³ **Obesity**,^{34,35} **chronic kidney disease and albuminuria**,³⁶ **metabolic syndrome**,³⁷ **hyperuricaemia**,³⁸ **and alcohol consumption (>1.2-2 drinks a day)**,³⁹⁻⁴² are associated with an increased prevalence of AF in adults. Current alcohol consumption is also associated with an increased risk of ischaemic stroke in patients with newly diagnosed AF.⁴³ Prospective cohort studies suggest a beneficial association between total **fish** intake and new-onset AF, while others show no association or even a U-shaped relationship with the lowest AF risk associated with a fish intake of 40 g/day. The inconsistency of data from available prospective cohort studies and lack of relevant RCTs make it challenging to determine whether consuming fish influences new-onset AF risk.⁴⁴ Trials on **supplementation of omega-3 fatty acids** have produced controversial results such as increase of AF

in REDUCE-IT,⁴⁵ no effect in VITAL.⁴⁶ In a study on participant-level data from a global consortium of 17 prospective cohort studies, higher circulating and tissue omega-3 fatty acid biomarkers were not associated with an increased incidence of AF,⁴⁷ whereas in a meta-analysis marine omega-3 fatty acid supplementation was associated with a significant increased risk of AF. The incremental risk appeared to be greater in trials testing >1gr per day of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation.⁴⁸ Consumption of **extravirgin olive oil** (at least 50 mg or 4 tablespoons) in the context of a Mediterranean dietary pattern may also reduce the risk of atrial fibrillation.⁴⁹ A reduction in new-onset AF risk with habitual **caffeine** intake has been shown.^{44,50} Ground and instant but not decaffeinated coffee is associated with reduced atrial and ventricular arrhythmias,⁵¹ although an acute effect of increased premature ventricular contractions has also been detected.⁵² In the DECAF RCT of coffee drinkers after successful cardioversion, allocation to consumption of caffeinated coffee averaging 1 cup a day was associated with less recurrence of AF or atrial flutter compared with abstinence from coffee and caffeinated products.⁵³ Consumption of more than L per week of **sugar-sweetened beverages** and **artificially sweetened beverages** is associated with increased risk of AF.⁵⁴ **Polycystic ovarian syndrome** is associated with increased long-term risk of cardiac arrhythmias such as AF, atrial flutter and cardiac arrest.⁵⁵ **Thyroid disease** is a recognized factor,⁵⁶ although less common than previously thought, and higher free thyroxine level are associated with AF in euthyroid patients.⁵⁷ Senile amyloidosis, either wild-type due to transthyretin (ATTRwt) or isolated atrial amyloidosis, plays an important role in the development of AF.⁵⁸ **ASD** are associated with increased incidence of AF regardless of treatment, and persistent AF is unlikely to be affected by ASD closure. Transcatheter ASD closure may also trigger AF. **Interatrial conduction block**, ie conduction delay between the left and right atria through Bachmann's bundle, is an independent predictor of atrial arrhythmias, including AF.⁵⁹ Atrial biopsy revealed amyloid deposits in 7% of patients undergoing AF ablation, identifying early-stage **cardiac amyloidosis**.⁶⁰ There is a higher incidence of AF in patients with **cancer**, and an increased incidence of cancer in patients with AF, likely because of shared risk factors.⁶¹ Most **chemotherapy agents** such as anthracyclines (at a rate of 2-10%), and melphalan (7-12%), and cisplatin (12-32%), particularly with intrapericardial use, may cause AF.^{61,62} **Methamphetamine, cocaine, opiate, and cannabis** use are associated with increased risk of developing incident AF.^{63,64} Moderate to severe **sleep apnoea** is an independent risk factor for AF.⁶⁵ Both current and lifetime **night shift exposures** are associated with increased AF risk.⁶⁶ **Coronavirus disease** is associated with arrhythmias, mostly atrial including AF.⁶⁷ **Psoriasis** is associated with increased risk of AF and ischaemic stroke.⁶⁸ **Exercise intensity** has a U-shaped relationship with AF.⁶⁹ Long-term vigorous exercise predisposes to AF especially in professional athletes,^{70,71} but light to moderate physical activities, particularly leisure-time activity and walking, are associated with significantly lower AF incidence in older adults,⁶⁹ and there is an inverse relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiovascular complications or incident AF, especially among obese patients.⁷² Regular physical activity is beneficial in patients with established AF.⁷³ In the UK Biobank

Cohort, regular physical activity 500-1500 MET-min/wk) was associated with a lower incidence of both atrial and ventricular arrhythmias.⁷⁴ Long working hours are also a risk factor for AF.⁷⁵ **Daytime napping** frequency has been associated with an increased risk of cardiac arrhythmias including AF and atrial flutter, ventricular arrhythmia, and bradyarrhythmia.⁷⁶ **Hypokalaemia** is an established risk factor for AF.⁷⁷ Low serum **magnesium** is also associated with the development of AF even in persons without cardiovascular disease.⁷⁸ Recently, a J-shaped association was found between **QTc interval** duration and risk of AF. This association was strongest with respect to the development of lone AF.⁷⁹ **Autoimmune** AF may be due to K_{ir}3.4 (inwardly rectifying potassium channel subunit 3.4) autoantibodies that are detected in patients with unexplained AF.⁸⁰ **Epilepsy** is associated with higher risk of AF and other cardiac arrhythmias, especially among those using **carbamazepine** and **valproic acid**.⁸¹ **Parental AF** increases the future risk for offspring AF,⁸² and a family history of AF is associated with substantial risk of lone AF,⁸³ thus supporting a genetic susceptibility to developing the arrhythmia.⁸⁴ Atrial tachycardia or AF may also be **device-triggered** due to competitive atrial pacing.⁸⁵ However, atrial pacing minimization in patients with sinus node dysfunction does not reduce the incidence of atrial fibrillation.⁸⁶ **Stressor-associated AF**, defined as newly diagnosed AF occurring in the setting of a reversible, acute physiological stressor, accounts for nearly one-third of incident AF diagnoses and is associated with risk factors such as age and heart failure.⁸⁷ AF stressors span a broad range of exposures, including cardiac and noncardiac surgery, infection, and acute cardiovascular or pulmonary illness.

Several gene mutations have been associated with AF (Table 3).⁸⁸ Mutations that cause loss of function of the sodium channel (mainly in SCN5A), gain of function of the potassium channels (KCN), or affect connexins and transcription factors, as well as others, are mainly responsible.^{88,89} A loss of function mutation in the sarcomere gene *MYL4* also causes early-onset familial atrial fibrillation, and suggests a contribution of the cardiac contractile system to cardiac conduction and AF.⁹⁰ Novel genetic loci for AF are continually discovered by genome-wide association studies.⁹¹

Pathophysiology

Structural remodeling of the atria due to heart disease (Figure 4), atrial wall stretch, genetic causes or other non-identified mechanisms results in electrical dissociation between muscle bundles and local conduction heterogeneities that facilitate the initiation and perpetuation of AF.⁹² Structural atrial abnormalities consist of areas of patchy fibrosis, enhanced connective tissue deposits juxtaposed with normal atrial fibers, inflammatory changes, intracellular substrate accumulation, and disruption of cell coupling at gap junctions with remodeling of connexins (ie transmembrane ion-channel proteins in the gap junctions).⁹³ Connexin gene variants are associated with AF, and connexin gene transfer in animal studies has prevented AF.⁹⁴ Fibrosis and inflammatory changes, identified by biopsy and delayed enhancement magnetic resonance, have also been documented in patients with lone AF.⁹⁵

After the onset of AF, changes of atrial electrophysiological properties, and mechanical function occur within days (>24 h). Shortening of the atrial effective refractory period results from abbreviation of the atrial action potential duration, which is caused by a decrease in the calcium channel current (I_{Ca}), and an increase in the potassium channel current (I_{K1}) and the constitutively active acetylcholine-sensitive current (I_{KACH}).⁹³ Increased diastolic sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} leak and related delayed after-depolarizations/triggered activity promote cellular arrhythmogenesis.⁹⁶ Ryanodine receptor type 2-mediated sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium leak also drives AF progression.⁹⁷ Down-regulation of the Ca^{2+} inward current and impaired release of Ca^{2+} from intracellular Ca^{2+} stores cause loss of contractility and increased compliance with subsequent atrial dilation. Electrical remodeling of the atria is, therefore, perpetuated by AF itself in a way that “AF begets AF”.⁹⁸ Restoration of sinus rhythm results in recovery of normal atrial refractoriness within a few days. LA structure and function are increasingly abnormal with a greater electrical burden of AF, and LA dysfunction may be present despite normal LA size and sinus rhythm.⁹⁹ A fast fibrillatory rate is associated with worse prognosis in patients without structural heart disease, due to adverse atrial remodeling and prolonged episodes, but in patients with heart failure a low fibrillatory rate indicates poor prognosis probably due to adverse remodeling and atrial fibrosis.¹⁰⁰ New-onset AF is independently associated with diastolic dysfunction, indicating the presence of potentially overlooked HFpEF in symptomatic patients.¹⁰¹

Data from the Framingham Heart Study indicate that AF recurs in most individuals, including those diagnosed with secondary precipitants.¹⁰² Increasing age, mitral regurgitation, aortic stenosis, left ventricular hypertrophy, and left atrial dilatation are associated with progression to persistent AF.³ Assessment of AF progression depends on duration of rhythm monitoring and underlying substrate. Reported annual rates of paroxysmal AF progression range from <1% to 15% (up to 27 - 36% in studies with >_10-year follow-up).³²

Electrophysiologic Mechanisms

The initiation and perpetuation of AF requires both triggers for its onset and a substrate for its maintenance.

Focal electrical activity contributing to the initiation and perhaps perpetuation of AF has been identified at pulmonary veins (PV) ostia.¹⁰³ Due to shorter refractory periods, as well as complex three-dimensional mural architecture, the venoatrial junctions have a stronger potential to initiate and perpetuate atrial tachyarrhythmias.^{104,105} Mechanisms of focal activity might involve increased local automaticity, triggered activity, and micro-reentry. Apart from the PVs, other cardiac veins and certain areas of the posterior left atrial wall may have a profibrillatory role.¹⁰⁶ Localized anisotropic reentry leading to rotors with a high dominant frequency, and fibrillatory conduction may also play a role in maintaining AF.¹⁰⁷ Wave fronts emanating from foci and breakthrough sites without reentrant mechanisms has also been demonstrated in persistent AF.¹⁰⁸

According to the **multiple wavelet hypothesis**, proposed by Moe and colleagues, AF is perpetuated by continuous conduction of several independent wavelets propagating through the atrial musculature in a seemingly chaotic manner. Fibrillation wavefronts continuously undergo wavefront-waveback interactions, resulting in wavebreak and the generation of new wavefronts, while block, collision, and fusion of wavefronts tend to reduce their number. As long as the number of wavefronts does not decline below a critical level, the multiple wavelets will sustain the arrhythmia.

Areas rich in **autonomic innervation** may be the source of activity that triggers AF (Figure 5).^{109,110} Ganglionated plexi that can be identified around the circumference of the left atrial-PV junction may also contribute to induction and perpetuation of AF.¹⁰⁶ These plexi are usually located 1-2 cm outside the PV ostia, they mediate both sympathetic and parasympathetic activity, and their ablation (autonomic denervation)) has been found efficacious when added to antral PV isolation.¹¹¹

These mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and may co-exist at various times. While in most patients with paroxysmal AF localized sources of the arrhythmia can be identified, such attempts are often not successful in patients with persistent or permanent AF. This can be interpreted within the context of the multi-factorial aetiology of AF.

Hemodynamic Consequences

Loss of atrial contraction and atrioventricular synchrony, irregular ventricular response, rapid heart rate, and impaired coronary arterial blood flow affect the hemodynamic function during AF. Loss of atrial contraction, especially when diastolic filling is impaired may reduce cardiac output up to 15%. Up to 40% of patients with heart failure develop AF, and heart failure occurs in more than one third of individuals with AF.^{27,28} Irregular ventricular response affects both myocardial contractility and coronary flow. Rapid ventricular rates may compromise coronary flow and exacerbate MR. Atrial cardiomyopathy plays an important role in the development of AF,³² and reduced AF burden by successful AF ablation may not restore LV function, thus suggesting an occult cardiomyopathy as a cause of AF.¹¹² A persistently elevated ventricular rate (>130 bpm) is also a known cause of tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy. Ventricular response is limited by the intrinsic refractoriness of AV node and its atrial inputs, and is being affected by concealed conduction to the nose and autonomic tone. AF is also a cause of functional MR due to atrial dilatation.¹¹³ Individuals with AF associated with a potentially reversible cause have a lower risk of heart failure but similar risks of stroke and mortality over follow-up as compared to individuals without such precipitants.¹⁰² Nearly 33% patients with AF develop moderate-to-severe TR, and this is associated with poor long-term survival.¹¹⁴ AF is a causal risk factor for kidney function impairment.¹¹⁵

Thromboembolism

Thrombotic material in AF usually arises in the left atrial appendage due to decreased flow and stasis, possible endothelial dysfunction, potentially even in anticoagulated patients, and a hypercoagulable state as indicated by increased fibrinogen, D-dimer, thromboglobulin, and platelet factor 4 levels.^{116,117} AF, in general, is associated with a 5-fold increased risk for stroke, a 2-fold increased

risk for dementia, and a tripling of risk for heart failure.^{27,118-120} AF genetic risk is strongly associated with cardioembolic stroke.⁸⁴ In the Framingham Study the percentage of strokes attributable to AF increases steeply from 1.5% at 50-59 years of age to 23.5% at 80-89 years of age.¹²¹ In the Danish National Patient Registry, the 5-year risk of stroke for men aged 50 years with no risk factors was 1.1%, and with AF alone without additional risk factors 2.5%, with the great majority not being anticoagulated. In men aged 70 years, the corresponding risks were 4.8% and 6.6%.¹¹⁹ In the Swiss-AF cohort, 5.5% of AF patients developed new brain infarcts after 2 years of follow-up. The great majority (85%) of these infarcts was clinically silent and occurred in anticoagulated patients.¹²² In patients with AF and a risk factor of stroke, treated with aspirin alone, the reported stroke rate is 3% per year.¹²³ Event rates vary by population, ie age, risk factors, and ethnicity. Female AF patients who have additional stroke risk factors (particularly older age) have been considered to be at greater risk than men of having a stroke, but recent data refute this notion.¹²⁴ Patients with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score 0 in men or 1 in women usually have an event rate <1%/year, whereas in the presence of a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score ≥6 the risk exceeds 9%.^{119,121} Each year, 17 million people worldwide have a stroke.¹⁶ Approximately 24% of all strokes are due to AF,¹¹⁸ and 10% of ischemic strokes are associated with AF first diagnosed at the time of stroke.¹²⁵ Approximately 7.5% of patients with embolic stroke of undetermined source develop atrial fibrillation over the next 19 months.¹²⁶

Patients with ischemic stroke or TIA should have continuous ECG monitoring after a stroke for at least 72 hours.¹²⁷ In post-stroke monitoring trials, at least 75% of AF episodes were asymptomatic (CRYSTAL-AF and EMBRACE),^{128,129} and subclinical episodes of AF are associated with silent cerebral infarcts, particularly in diabetics.¹³⁰⁻¹³¹ Subclinical AF is associated with clinical AF and an elevated risk of stroke although lower than the risk of clinical AF¹³² (see “Device-detected atrial high rate episodes”). Numbers of AF-related incident ischemic strokes at age ≥80 years have trebled over the last 25 years, despite the introduction of anticoagulants, and are projected to treble again by 2050.¹³³ Paroxysmal AF is a risk-factor for stroke,¹³⁴ although the risk of stroke depends on the burden of AF,¹³⁵ and permanent and persistent AF are probably associated with a higher risk of thromboembolism than paroxysmal AF,¹³⁶ and this true for both non-anticoagulated,¹³⁷ and anticoagulated patients.^{138,139} The risk is similar in patients with or without valvular disease.¹⁴⁰ AT/AF episodes of duration >5min seem to increase the risk of stroke,¹³⁰⁻¹⁴¹ as opposed to very short episodes (<20 sec).¹⁴² Serial ECGs, Holter monitoring mobile outpatient telemetry, external loop recorders, and implantable loop recorders detect post-stroke AF in 23.7% of patients.¹¹⁸ However, AF early after stroke can be caused by a transient neurogenic mechanism, and AF several months post-stroke can be an incidental finding; therefore, it cannot be concluded that the cause of cryptogenic stroke has been identified in all patients found to have post-stroke AF.¹¹⁸

Prior embolic events, intracranial haemorrhage, myocardial infarction, vascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, female gender, and advancing age, but not thyroid disease, are independent risk factors for stroke.¹⁴³ Renal impairment (CrCl <60 mL/min) doubles the risk of stroke and

increases the risk of major bleeding by almost 60% in anticoagulated patients with AF.¹⁴⁴ The morphology of the left atrial appendage may affect the risk of stroke.¹⁴⁵ High-sensitivity troponin, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, and growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) are predictive of stroke and death in AF.¹⁴⁶

Extracranial systemic embolic events constitute 11.5% of clinically recognized thromboembolic events in patients with AF and are associated with a high morbidity and mortality, comparable to that of ischemic stroke.¹⁴⁷ AF is the main cause of coronary embolism, being independently associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction, especially NSTEMI in women.^{148,149}

Mortality

AF is independently associated with a twofold increased risk of all-cause mortality in women and a 1.5-fold increase in men, with an overall 3.5-fold mortality risk increase.³² Patients hospitalized for AF or flutter had high death rates with an average 2.6-year loss in life expectancy.¹⁵⁰ In the large Swedish registry, AF was an independent risk factor of all-cause mortality, and the highest relative risk of mortality was seen in women and in the youngest patients.¹⁵¹ In a European registry (EORP-AF), one-year mortality was 5.7% despite good adherence to anticoagulation.¹⁵² Earlier diagnosis of AF is associated with a higher hazard ratio of subsequent myocardial disease and shorter life expectancy.¹⁵³ AF is independently associated with a 3-fold increased risk of VF.¹⁵⁴ In the ARIC trial, incident AF was associated with an increased risk of sudden and non-sudden cardiac death in the general population.¹⁵⁵ In the RE-LY trial, <10% of deaths were attributed to stroke; main causes of death were sudden cardiac death (22%), and heart failure (15%).¹⁵⁶ In the ARISTOTLE trial, sudden cardiac death and heart failure also accounted for the majority of cardiovascular causes of death in patients with oral anticoagulation.¹⁵⁷ Compared with paroxysmal AF, nonparoxysmal AF is associated with higher prevalence and incidence of HF and higher risk of mortality.¹⁵⁸ Patients with AF or heart failure have a significantly higher risk of postoperative mortality following noncardiac surgery than patients with coronary artery disease, and even minor procedures carry a risk.¹⁵⁹

Diagnosis

Patients may present with palpitations or the arrhythmia may be an incidental finding.¹²⁵ The diagnosis of AF needs to be confirmed by a conventional 12-lead ECG tracing, or rhythm strip showing AF for ≥ 30 s (Table 4). The diagnostic yield increases with duration, dispersion, and number of screenings. Serial long-term (7–14 day) intermittent monitors accumulating at least 28 days of annual monitoring provide estimates of AF burden comparable with implantable loop recorders, which, however, outperform intermittent monitoring and are the gold standard for clinical trials (Figure 6 and Table 5).^{160,161} Several methods and devices are now available for screening.¹⁶² Wrist-worn, optically based heart rate monitors are user-friendly but appropriate validation of the device

used is imperative.¹⁶³ In mechanocardiography, mechanical cardiac activity is recorded with accelerometers and gyroscopes, standard components of modern smartphones. High sensitivity and specificity of this method for AF detection with the use of smartphones has been reported.¹⁶⁴ Smartphone technologies have been developed that can assess heart rate and rhythm using either photoplethysmography or single-lead ECG but usually provide only a brief rhythm assessment without information on AF duration or burden.¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁷ In a recent comparison, an FDA-cleared AF-sensing watch (Apple Watch with KardiaBand) that allows a patient to record a 30-second lead I rhythm strip, was found highly sensitive for detection of AF and assessment of AF duration in an ambulatory population when compared with an insertable cardiac monitor (Reveal).¹⁶⁸ In an evaluation of an Apple Watch Application study, the probability of receiving an irregular pulse notification was low. Among participants who received notification of an irregular pulse, 34% had atrial fibrillation on subsequent ECG patch readings and 84% of notifications were concordant with atrial fibrillation.¹⁶⁹ However, in the VITAL-AF RCT, AF screening using a handheld single-lead ECG (AliveCor KardiaMobile) at primary care visits did not affect new AF diagnoses among all individuals aged 65 years or older compared with usual care.¹⁷⁰

Regardless of the type of hardware and software being used, critical interpretation of available tracings is required to avoid unnecessary interventions from misdiagnosis. Obtaining additional leads in a single-lead device allows for the accurate differentiation of atrial flutter from atrial fibrillation (Figure 7).¹⁷¹ High-amplitude PVCs may also be registered as R waves, and the sensing threshold will dynamically adjust itself relative to the PVC amplitude, leading to undersensing of true sinus QRS complexes (Figure 7).¹⁷² This can be resolved either by lowering the programmed sensitivity to a level that allows sensing of the true QRS complexes while still avoiding P wave oversensing or by increasing the blanking period, which can minimize oversensing of PVCs.

Frequent atrial ectopy, atrial tachycardia, and atrial flutter may present with rapid irregular RR intervals and mimic AF or diagnosed in patients with AF. Most atrial tachycardias and flutters show longer atrial cycle lengths ≥ 200 ms, but patients on antiarrhythmic drugs may have slower atrial cycle lengths during AF. When the ventricular rate is fast, atrioventricular nodal blockade during the Valsalva maneuver, carotid massage, or intravenous adenosine administration can help to unmask atrial activity. Extremely rapid ventricular rates (> 200 bpm) suggest the presence of an accessory pathway or ventricular tachycardia.

In the absence of a prior history of the arrhythmia, AF can be detected by ECG monitoring in approximately one-quarter of all patients with acute ischemic stroke by routine monitoring followed by an intensified or prolonged AF search.¹²⁷ Machine learning, in particular deep neural networks, can predict new-onset AF from the 12-lead ECG in patients with no previous history of AF.¹⁷³

Investigations

Complete **history** (including family and social history), **physical examination**, **12-lead ECG**, **blood pressure measurement**, **echocardiography**, and basic laboratory investigations (**full blood count**, **renal, liver and thyroid function**, **electrolytes, including calcium and magnesium**, **glucose and lipid profile**) are mandatory in every patient who presents with first detected AF (Figure 8, and Table 6). Biomarkers such as high-sensitivity **TnT**, **BNP** and **NT-proBNP**, and **GDF-15** are useful for risk stratification.¹⁵⁷ Elevated **FGF-23** is a new biomarker associated with AF.¹⁷⁴ The CHA₂DS₂-VASC score should be evaluated in all patients with AF. The quality of life of the patient should be also assessed using either the modified EHRA (Table 7),³² or the Canadian Cardiovascular Society¹⁷⁵ scales.

Additional tests in selected cases are:

- **Chest radiography** to exclude lung disease or before starting amiodarone.
- **Ambulatory ECG monitoring** to document AF episodes and detect other initiating arrhythmia. They are not useful in patients with infrequent episodes. Implantable or wireless loop recorders, and smartphone applications may be needed for subclinical AF detection (see Diagnosis).
- **Six-minute walk test** or **treadmill exercise test** to assess rate control.
- **Ischemia testing** if coronary artery disease is suspected.
- **Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring** in case of borderline hypertension.
- **Transesophageal echocardiography** to exclude left atrial appendage thrombus or mobile aortic plaques when cardioversion is planned.
- **Electrophysiology study** when other supraventricular arrhythmia that initiates AF is suspected or when presumed AF presents as a wide-QRS tachycardia
- **Sleep study** when sleep apnea is suspected.
- **Coronary angiography** if CAD is suspected.
- **Brain CT/MRI when a stroke is suspected** when sleep apnea is suspected.
- **LGE CMR of the left atrium** to detect fibrosis or atrial cardiomyopathy.³²

Genetic testing is not generally indicated. It may be considered in patients with multigenerational family members with AF, or in suspicion of inherited cardiomyopathies or channelopathies. In addition (EHRA/HRS/APHRS/LAHS 2022 Consensus Statement on genetic testing), an analysis of SCN5A, KCNQ1, MYL4 and truncating TTN variants may be performed in all index patients in whom the diagnosis of familial (young = age < 60) AF, is established, based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and ECG characteristics. Variant-specific genetic testing may also be recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the disease-causative variant, and predictive genetic testing in related children may be considered in specific settings.⁸⁸

Risk stratification

The main complications of AF are thromboembolism, and impairment of LV function. Reduced LV function predisposes to thromboembolism, and may evolve into tachycardiomyopathy. Baseline echocardiography, therefore, is essential for initial assessment of LA size and LVEF, and follow-up. Arrhythmia-induced impairment of LV function should be considered with fast and persistently elevated ventricular rate. The ventricular rate that causes cardiomyopathy is not known, although rates >100 bpm for prolonged periods are considered to be responsible.¹⁷⁶

Absolute stroke rates for nonanticoagulated patients vary between 1.5% to 10% depending on the presence of risk factors (Table 8). Prior stroke/TIA is the most powerful risk factor and reliably confers a stroke risk averaging 10% per year.¹⁴³ Patients <60 years, with lone AF, have a very low cumulative stroke risk, estimated to be 1.3% over 15 years. The probability of stroke in young patients with lone AF appears to increase with advancing age or development of hypertension. Asymptomatic AF may confer even a worse prognosis than symptomatic AF in this respect.¹⁷⁷

Risk stratification schemes are based on the predisposing factors that have been discussed. The most frequently used score is the CHA₂DS₂VASc score (Table 9).¹⁷⁸ These schemes apply to patients with any form of AF (paroxysmal, persistent, or permanent). Additional scores such as the ATRIA, biomarker-based risk scores for predicting stroke in AF (such as the ABC-stroke score), and the ENGAGE AF-TIMI that has also considered patients on NOACs, and others have also been developed, and some of them have been found superior to CHA₂DS₂VASc score.¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸³ In most stages of chronic kidney disease, predictive performance of the majority of risk scores is poor. The Modified CHADS₂ score (six categories of age, sex, diabetes, history of stroke) has been found superior in a comparative study considering the predictive performance of the AFI, CHADS₂, Modified CHADS₂, CHA₂DS₂-VASc, ATRIA, and GARFIELD-AF risk scores.¹⁸⁴ Clinical risk scores only have a modest ability to predict events in AF, but since recent data suggest that women and men with AF have similar rates of thromboembolic events, excluding gender leads to better precision.¹⁸⁵ However, the use of risk stratification and precision medicine tools does not necessarily result in improved clinical outcomes in patients with AF. In a multicenter, registry-based, randomized, controlled, open-label study in patients with AF, a biomarker-based ABC-AF risk score–guided multidimensional treatment strategy did not improve long-term outcomes in patients with AF.¹⁸⁶

Risk of bleeding and mortality in anticoagulated patients with AF are discussed under “Anticoagulation”.

Therapy: General Principles

General recommendations are provided in Figures 9-11, and Tables 10 and 11.

Prevention of AF

Hypertension treatment is mandatory but the J-curve effect should be kept in mind. In the RE-LY cohort, the risk of major bleeding was increased at low systolic blood pressure values <110 mmHg, with the lowest risk around 120–130 mmHg.¹⁸⁷ Abstinence from alcohol in heavy drinkers (>120g of alcohol daily), reduces AF recurrences.¹⁸⁸ Treatment of sleep apnoea is mandatory.⁶⁵ Tirzepatide, a insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) receptor and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist, has been found beneficial in patients with moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnoea and obesity.¹⁸⁹ Upstream therapy to prevent or delay myocardial remodeling associated with hypertension, heart failure, or inflammation (e.g. after cardiac surgery) may deter the development of new AF (primary prevention) or, once established, its rate of recurrence or progression to permanent AF (secondary prevention).¹⁹⁰ Allopurinol is also protective in patients with hyperuricaemia.³⁸ There has been compelling evidence supporting the role of RAAS in the genesis and perpetuation of AF. Experimental studies have demonstrated the beneficial effects of *ACEIs and ARBs* on AF prevention; however, clinical studies on the efficacy of such therapeutic interventions have produced variable results depending on the clinical background of treated patients.^{191 192} In patients with heart failure and/or LV hypertrophy, ACEIs and ARBs appear particularly useful for primary prevention of AF. Although meta-analyses of secondary prevention trials also suggest a risk reduction after cardioversion with the use of ACEIs and ARBs, no effect was detected in large primary prevention trials,^{193,194} or in a meta-analysis for the prevention of postoperative AF.¹⁹⁵ These agents are indicated for primary prevention in patients with hypertension or heart failure, but their value in secondary prevention is unproven. In patients with systolic heart failure and mild symptoms, *eplerenone* has also reduced the incidence of new onset AF,¹⁹⁶ and in patients with chronic kidney disease and diabetes, *finerenone* reduced the risk of new-onset AF or flutter.¹⁹⁷ Despite experimental evidence for a potential role of *statins*, and *steroids*, their clinical value as single therapeutic agents is not proven.¹⁹⁸ However, in the RACE 3 trial, targeted therapy of underlying conditions with simultaneous use of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists, statins, ACEI/ARB, and cardiac rehabilitation including physical activity, dietary restrictions, and counselling improved sinus rhythm maintenance in patients with persistent AF.¹⁹⁹

Recommendations for primary and secondary prevention are presented in Table 12 and Figure 12.

Acute Therapy

Cardioversion

Restoration of sinus rhythm by cardioversion or ablation reduces LA and RA volumes and mitral and tricuspid regurgitation and improves atrial and ventricular function in patients with AF.²⁰⁰ However, in stable patients presenting to the emergency department with recent-onset, symptomatic atrial fibrillation, a wait-and-see approach is not inferior to early cardioversion in achieving sinus rhythm at 4 weeks, since atrial fibrillation often terminates spontaneously.²⁰¹

Peri-cardioversion anticoagulation Atrial fibrillation patients undergoing cardioversion have an increased thromboembolic risk (up to 7%) that is present regardless of whether cardioversion was successful and whether or not oral anticoagulation or TOE were used prior to cardioversion.²⁰² In patients with AF<48 h from a definite onset a bolus of UFH (5,000 U IV) or LMWH (1mg/kg IV) should be given, especially if the patient is >65 years old or the CHA₂DS₂VASC score is ≥2.²⁰³ If AF occurred for more than 48 h a trans-oesophageal echocardiography (TOE) is necessary to exclude left atrial thrombus. Patients who have been on oral anticoagulation for at least 4 weeks before cardioversion with warfarin (and INR>2) or a direct oral anticoagulant (DOAC) may be subjected to cardioversion without previous TOE.²⁰⁴ However, the prevalence of left atrial thrombus identified by transesophageal echocardiography even among anticoagulated patients anticoagulated with a DOAC or vitamin K antagonist for 3-4 weeks is 3-4%.^{116,117} Thus, a TOE should be performed. Following cardioversion, further anticoagulation depends on underlying risk factors for thromboembolism. In the absence of such risk factors (CHA₂DS₂-VASC score 0 for males or 1 for females), no oral anticoagulation is needed after cardioversion. In patients with AF>48 h or risk factors for thromboembolism, heparin is continued together with warfarin until the INR becomes 2-3, or a DOAC is administered immediately after cardioversion. Thereafter, anticoagulation is continued for 4 weeks and then further anticoagulation depends on the presence of risk factors. Recommendations are provided in Figures 13 and 14, and Table 13.

Direct current cardioversion (DCC) is indicated in hemodynamic instability (Table 14) or when pharmacologic cardioversion has failed. Biphasic, R-wave synchronized shock (at least 150-200 Joules to avoid repeated shocks and occurrence of shock-induced VF) is recommended.

Anteroposterior electrode placement (at least 8 cm from a pacemaker battery, if present) had been found more efficient when monophasic shocks were used, but in a recent RCT using the more effective and safer biphasic shocks anterior–lateral electrode positioning was more effective than anterior–posterior electrode positioning. The superiority of the anterior–lateral electrode position was significant after both an initial low-energy shock and a final higher energy shock.²⁰⁵ Ventricular arrhythmias (in digitalis intoxication or hypokalemia) are rare. A pacing catheter or external pacing pads may be needed in patients with sick sinus syndrome or in elderly patients with structural heart disease. Active compression of the anterior pad has been found more effective for persistent AF

cardioversion than standard anterior-posterior cardioversion.²⁰⁶ Pretreatment with drugs such as ibutilide or amiodarone or flecainide may increase success rates.²⁰⁷⁻²⁰⁹ In patients with an ICD, external cardioversion is more effective than internal cardioversion in restoring sinus rhythm.²¹⁰ Factors that predispose to AF recurrence are age, AF duration before cardioversion, number of previous recurrences, an increased LA size or reduced LA function, and the presence of coronary heart disease or pulmonary or mitral valve disease. Atrial ectopic beats with a long-short sequence, faster heart rates, and variations in atrial conduction also increase the risk of AF recurrence.

Pharmacological cardioversion is less effective than DCC. However, single oral dose Class 1C drugs are safe for cardioversion of recent-onset AF.²¹¹ The same precautions for anticoagulation and thromboembolic risk prevention apply as in DCC. Drugs used are (Figure 15 and Tables 15 and 16):

- **Flecainide** (1.5-3 mg/kg IV over 10-20 min) or **propafenone** (2 mg/kg IV over 10 min) achieve SR in 67-92% and 41-91% of patients, respectively within the next 6 h. They are both contraindicated in patients with CAD or impaired LV function, and are not effective in atrial flutter or persistent AF. They may prolong QRS duration with resultant ventricular tachycardia (and flecainide also the QT) and inadvertently increase the ventricular rate due to conversion to atrial flutter and 1:1 conduction to the ventricles. Flecainide may be superior to propafenone.²¹¹ Orally inhaled flecainide, 120 mg estimated total lung dose (eTLD) flecainide solution via nebulization at a flow rate of 5 L/min, may also achieve cardioversion in up to 47% of patients.²¹²
- Self administered **flecainide acetate oral inhalation** solution using a nebulizer (60-120 mg) results in acute conversion of 35-44% of patients within 90 minutes from the start of inhalation. Cardiac adverse events such as postconversion pauses, bradycardia, and atrial flutter with 1:1 atrioventricular conduction are rare and transient. However, clinical experience is limited.²¹³
- The **“pill in the pocket”** approach refers to oral flecainide (200-300 mg po) or propafenone (450-600 mg po) that may achieve SR in up to 45% of patients who present with a <7 days AF.²¹⁴ They can also be used outside the hospital, once treatment has proved safe in hospital for selected patients without sinus or AV node dysfunction, bundle branch block, QT-interval prolongation, the Brugada syndrome, or structural heart disease, and especially previous MI. Before antiarrhythmic medication is initiated, a beta blocker or nondihydropyridine calcium channel antagonist should be given to prevent rapid AV conduction in the event atrial flutter occurs (<0.2%).
- **Ibutilide** is a Class III agent blocking the rapid component of the rectifier potassium channel (IKr), and also activating the slow inward sodium.²¹⁵ It is a moderately potent drug for acute conversion of AF. In one or two doses, achieves conversion within 90 min in approximately 50% of patients. It prolongs the QTc by approximately 60 ms and there is a 1% risk of torsades de pointes. Patients should be watched for abnormal T-U waves or prominent QT

prolongation, and should be monitored for a minimum of 4 hours if the QTc interval remains prolonged. Co-administration of magnesium (1-4 g) or beta blockade may increase efficacy and diminish the proarrhythmic risk.²¹⁶ It is more effective in atrial flutter.

- **Amiodarone** achieves cardioversion in 40-60% of patients (80-90% with pretreatment), but later than the other drugs. Hypotension and slow ventricular rate may be seen.
- **Dofetilide** is also a pure class III agent (selective I_{Kr} blocking agent), that is moderately effective in cardioverting AF or Afl to SR (30%), and significantly effective in maintaining SR for 1 year (60%).²¹⁷ It is also effective even in AF >7 days duration but the response may be delayed. QTc prolongation also occurs and there is a small but not negligible risk of torsade de points that may translate into increased mortality.²¹⁸ In-hospital monitoring is recommended (FDA) when initiating dofetilide therapy to avoid torsade de pointes ventricular tachycardia, especially in patients with heart failure, hypertrophy, bradycardia and female gender.
- **Vernakalant** blocks early activating potassium channels (I_{Kur}) and frequency-dependent sodium channels. It is relatively atrial selective because the density of I_{Kur} channels is higher in the atria and the effects on sodium channels are rate dependent. In a dose of 3mg/kg IV over 10 min, second infusion of 2 mg/kg IV over 10 min after 15 min rest, may convert AF (of duration ≤7 days or ≤3 days after surgery) in up to 51% of patients within 90 min.²¹⁹ Vernakalant is contraindicated in patients with systolic blood pressure <100 mm Hg, severe aortic stenosis, heart failure (class NYHA III and IV), ACS within the previous 30 days, or QT interval prolongation (uncorrected QT>440 ms). Before its use, the patients should be adequately hydrated. The drug is not contraindicated in patients with stable coronary artery disease, hypertensive heart disease, or mild heart failure. Vernakalant is not approved in the USA.

Acute rate control

When AF cannot be cardioverted or cardioversion is contra-indicated (ie presence of left atrial thrombus), acute rate control (80-100 bpm) can be accomplished by IV

- **b-blockers**, or
- **non-dihydropyridine calcium antagonists**

In the setting of heart failure or hypotension, **digitalis** or **amiodarone** IV may be used (Table 17 and Figure 16). Adding intravenous magnesium to digoxin reduces fast ventricular response in acute onset atrial fibrillation.²²⁰

In preexcitation, only Class I drugs or amiodarone are safe. AF with slow ventricular rates may respond to atropine (0.5-2 mg IV) but temporary pacing may be required.

Chronic Therapy

General principles are presented in Figures 17-20.

Rhythm vs rate control

Despite the established haemodynamic and cardiac remodeling benefits achieved by restoration of sinus rhythm,²⁰⁰ randomized control trials had failed to detect significant mortality and cardiovascular morbidity differences between patients with rate (ie controlling ventricular response with the patient in AF) vs rhythm (is maintenance of SR) control achieved with antiarrhythmic medication.²²¹⁻²²⁸ This is rather surprising in view of the deleterious effects of AF, and has been mainly attributed to the proarrhythmic effects of drugs, that may negate any benefits conferred by maintenance of SR.^{229,230} Assessment of quality of life was also rather inadequate in most trials. In the J-RHYTHM trial, fewer patients requested changes of assigned treatment strategy in the rhythm control vs the rate control group, which was accompanied by improvement in AF-specific quality of life scores.²²⁵ Maintenance of SR also improved quality of life in the SAFE-T trial.²³¹ Finally, follow-up of most trials was relatively short. However, improved survival with maintenance of sinus rhythm was detected in the CHF-STAT trial (amiodarone in heart failure patients).²³² In an extensive population-based, observational trial, rhythm control therapy was associated with lower rates of stroke/TIA particularly among patients with moderate and high risk of stroke.²³³ In the RAFAS RCT in patients with AF and ischaemic stroke, early rhythm control strategy (performed following a stepwise sequential approach with antiarrhythmic drugs, electrical cardioversion, and catheter ablation) decreased the sustained AF and recurrent stroke within 12 months without an increase in the composite adverse outcomes.²³⁴ In the ATHENA trial, cardiovascular mortality was reduced by dronedarone (3.9% vs 2.7%, $p=0.003$).²³⁵ However, dronedarone is contra-indicated in patients with heart failure,²³⁶ and in permanent AF (see drugs for rhythm control).²³⁷ Although initial trials suggested no advantage for a systematic rhythm control strategy in HF with reduced ejection fraction, recent data suggest that select patients may benefit from catheter ablation, by means of improving survival, quality of life, and ventricular function and reducing heart failure hospitalizations compared with antiarrhythmic drugs and rate control therapies.^{28,238,239} Catheter ablation also appears more effective than drugs regardless of the presence of heart failure (see Catheter Ablation). In the EAST-AFNET 4 RCT, early rhythm control with either antiarrhythmic drugs or catheter ablation was associated with a lower risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes than usual care during adequate rate control therapy among patients with early AF and cardiovascular conditions,²⁴⁰ and especially in patients with signs or symptoms of heart failure,²⁴¹ or high morbidity burden ($\text{CHA}_2\text{DS}_2\text{-VASc}$ scores ≥ 4).²⁴² This effect was mediated by attainment of sinus rhythm regardless of the way in which it is achieved.²⁴³ In a retrospective population-based cohort study including 22 635 patients, early initiation of rhythm control was also associated with a lower risk of stroke and heart failure-related admission than rate control.²⁴⁴

Thus, increasing evidence supports early rhythm control for most patients with recently diagnosed AF as well as for those who are symptomatic.²⁴⁵ Considerations for choosing are presented in Figure 21.

Rate control

- **Beta blockers** (such as metoprolol, bisoprolol, nebivolol, and carvedilol) are the most safe drugs.
- **Verapamil** and **diltiazem** are also safe but are contraindicated in heart failure. Verapamil may delay progression of paroxysmal AF, especially in patients with vagal AF.²⁴⁶ Diltiazem has been found superior to carvedilol and metoprolol in patients with permanent AF and preserved LV function.²⁴⁷
- **Digoxin** is only effective at rest. Life-threatening proarrhythmia may occur, especially with overdosing in the elderly. In a recent analysis of the AFFIRM study, digoxin as a time-dependent covariate was associated with a significant increase in all-cause mortality in patients with AF after correcting for clinical characteristics and comorbidities, regardless of gender or of the presence or absence of HF.²⁴⁸ This could be due to relatively high serum digoxin levels (≥ 1.0 ng/mL), required by the study for firm rate control. In another report on the AFFIRM with very careful propensity score matching, no evidence of increased mortality with digoxin was found in patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF,²⁴⁹ and this was also the findings of a study on data from the ORBIT-AF registry.²⁵⁰ However, increased mortality was seen in a retrospective analysis of the ROCKET-AF trial,²⁵¹ in an observation study on data from the TREAT-AF trial regardless of cardiovascular comorbidities and concomitant therapies,²⁵² in the retrospective ATRIA-CRVN study on patients without heart failure,²⁵³ and the ARISTOTLE trial.²⁵⁴ Increased mortality was also detected in a relevant meta-analysis.²⁵⁵ Very low dose digoxin (serum digoxin concentration < 1.2 ng/ml) seems to be safe. In the small RATE-AF RCT (160 patients, ≥ 60 years with AF and heart failure), digoxin (161 μ g/od) resulted to an equal quality of life and less adverse effects compared to bisoprolol (3.2mg/od) at 6 months.²⁵⁶ Thus, the issue is debatable.
- **Amiodarone** IV is the drug of choice (together with IV β -blockers) for slowing the ventricular rate in patients with acute coronary syndromes. In stable patients with AF should not be used for rate response due to its thyroid (in up to 20% of patients) and pulmonary toxicity (2%), unless all other drugs have failed.

Strict rate control (< 80 bpm) has not been found superior to lenient rate control (< 110 bpm).²⁵⁷

However, resting heart rate > 100 bpm should be avoided, especially in patients with heart failure.²⁵⁸

Recommendations are presented in Figure 22, and Tables 17 and 18.

AV nodal modification

In patients in whom drug or pulmonary vein ablation therapy has failed or there are signs of tachymyocardiopathy, ***AV nodal catheter ablation or modification*** followed by ***ventricular*** or ***biventricular pacing*** may be necessary (Table 19 and Figure 23).²⁵⁹ Septal RV or biventricular pacing if LVEF $\leq 35\%$, are superior to apical RV pacing in this respect.²⁶⁰

Rhythm control

In a meta-analysis of 44 randomized trials with 11,322 patients, the efficacy of drugs such as disopyramide, quinidine, flecainide, propafenone, amiodarone, dofetilide, and sotalol, for maintenance of SR was 40-60% per year. All drugs resulted in increased withdrawals due to side effects, and all except amiodarone and propafenone, increased proarrhythmia. No drug reduced mortality, whereas disopyramide and quinidine drugs increased mortality.²⁶¹ In a subsequent meta-analysis, a trend for increased mortality was identified for sotalol and, possibly, amiodarone.²⁶²

- ***Amiodarone*** is the most effective drug,²⁶³ but its long-term use is limited by its toxic effects mainly on the thyroid, lungs and liver (especially at doses >200 mg od). The incidence of interstitial lung disease is 2-10% after 18-24 months of treatment but it may not always be of clinical significance.²⁶⁴ The effect of amiodarone therapy on mortality has been controversial.^{262,264,265} It is the drug of choice in heart failure NYHA III/IV. Proarrhythmia is rare but QT monitoring is advisable. Although it inhibits the clearance of warfarin, a paradoxical increase in stroke has been reported in anticoagulated patients.²⁶⁶
- ***Dronedarone*** is less effective as well as less toxic than amiodarone at least over one year follow-up (dronedarone vs placebo in ADONIS and EURIDIS trials).²⁶⁷ It reduces hospitalization due to paroxysmal AF or atrial flutter (ATHENA trial),²³⁵ even in the presence of ischemic heart disease.²⁶⁸ It is contraindicated in NYHA III or IV or recently decompensated (<4 weeks) heart failure increased mortality in and early termination of the ANROMEDA trial),²³⁶ and in patients with permanent AF (PALLAS trial).²³⁷ The PALLAS trial on patients with >2 years AF, 70% of whom had NYHA I-III heart failure, was stopped due to increased cardiovascular mortality by the drug.²³⁷ Thus, dronedarone is not indicated in patients who cannot or will not be converted into normal sinus rhythm, because it doubles the rate of cardiovascular death, stroke and heart failure. It may cause creatinine levels elevation (2.5% of patients) and, very rarely, severe hepatic impairment, although in a recent Swedish registry on patients with paroxysmal AF no increased risk of death or liver disease was detected.²⁶⁹ Although it mildly prolongs the QT, the risk of torsades is negligible.²⁶² It may be given in patients with recurrent AF for the maintenance of sinus rhythm, and to reduce hospitalization for AF in patients in sinus rhythm with a history of non-permanent AF. Care is needed with concomitant administration of digoxin,²³⁷ and this combination should be probably avoided.
- ***Beta blockers*** (other than sotalol) are safe but modestly effective.

- **Sotalol** a beta blocker with Class III properties at high doses, is equally effective with amiodarone in converting AF to AR (24% vs 27%, respectively) but less effective than maintaining SR (approximately 30% vs 47% at one year, respectively).²³¹ Sotalol should not be used in the presence of ventricular hypertrophy or significant repolarization abnormalities. The main concern is proarrhythmia due to QT prolongation and a trend towards increased mortality has been reported,^{230,262} although not validated in all studies.²⁶⁵ Careful monitoring of the QT is advisable and discontinuation of the drug when the QT>500 ms.
- **Flecainide** approximately doubles the likelihood of maintaining sinus rhythm. It is contraindicated in coronary artery disease and LV dysfunction and cardiac hypertrophy or infiltration,²⁷⁰ and should be stopped if the QRS increases by >25% (risk of monomorphic VT). There has been some indirect evidence from a retrospective, observational study that it might be safer in patients with non-obstructive, asymptomatic CAD, and in the absence of a recent acute coronary syndrome.²⁷¹ Concomitant administration of beta blockers reduces proarrhythmic risk and controls ventricular rate in case of conversion to atrial flutter.^{272 273} Caution is necessary when using sodium channel-blocking drugs as monotherapy in athletes with AF. These drugs may lead to (slow) atrial flutter, with 1 to 1 conduction to the ventricles during high sympathetic tone. Short-term flecainide administration (4 weeks) after cardioversion is less effective than is long-term treatment (80%), but can prevent recurrences of atrial fibrillation.²⁷⁴
- **Propafenone** is safer and has a mild beta blocking activity, but the same precautions as with flecainide should be taken.
- **Dofetilide**, has also been found useful and safe in patients with AF and impaired LV function (DIAMOND trial), since mortality was not different compared to controls (>50% in 3 years).²⁷⁵

The primary goal of rhythm-control drug therapy is to selectively prolong the refractory period in the atrium and avoid the risk of ventricular proarrhythmia. **Ranolazine** is an anti-ischemic drug that was shown to reduce AF in the MERLIN-TIMI 36 trial. It blocks I_{Na} , I_{CaL} , and I_{Kr} , and it is postulated that the late sodium current contributes to the prolongation of the action potential duration associated with reduced I_{Kr} at slow heart rates.²⁷⁶ Theoretically, inhibition of I_{Na} with ranolazine or vernakalant may reduce the risk of TDP associated with I_{Kr} inhibition by drugs such as dofetilide or sotalol.²⁷⁷

Combination therapy with amiodarone plus ranolazine or dronedarone plus ranolazine has been shown experimentally to have marked synergistic effects that result in atrium-selective blockade of sodium channels and suppression of AF.²⁷⁷ Other drugs are also being developed.²⁷⁸

Recommendations for drug usage are presented in Tables 20 and 21, and Figures 24 and 25.

Anticoagulation

Adjusted-dose warfarin and antiplatelet agents reduce stroke by approximately 60% and 20%, respectively, in patients with AF.²⁷⁹ In general, oral anticoagulation is preferred in patients with CHA₂DS₂VASc score ≥ 2 , and no anticoagulation in patients with score 0 (Table 22 and Figures 26 and 27). These criteria are superior for selection of anticoagulation than detection of atrial tachyarrhythmias by implantable devices such as ICDs.²⁸⁰ Low risk patients (score 0 for male and 1 for female) have a low risk of stroke ($<1\%$ per year) and may be left without anticoagulation, since the benefit of anticoagulation does not outweigh the bleeding risk (net clinical benefit).¹⁷⁷ In patients with one stroke risk factor (CHA₂DS₂VASc score 1 for men and 2 in women), the risk of bleeding should also be considered, and anticoagulation should be individualized since there is a significant increase in events rate in the presence of an additional risk factor.^{281,282} In the SINGLE-AF RCT, among patients with AF at intermediate risk for stroke (1 in men and 2 in women), DOAC therapy led to a lower risk of stroke, systemic embolism, major bleeding, or death from cardiovascular causes at 24 months than no anticoagulation.²⁸³ In a retrospect study of Swedish Registries, men and women with AF and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score 0 or 1 who were on anticoagulation had a lower risk of dementia than those who were not, and patients age >65 years benefited from anticoagulation irrespective of stroke risk score.²⁸⁴ Oral anticoagulation is not associated with reduced risk of stroke/TE among patients with moderate/severe anaemia, whereas the risk of bleeding is increased.²⁸⁵ Anaemia, therefore, should be treated in these patients. Patients older than 65 years, and especially women, are at high risk of ischemic stroke,²⁸⁶ and anticoagulation reduces mortality.²⁸⁷⁻²⁸⁹ The duration of AF is also important in patients with CHA₂DS₂VASc score ≥ 2 .²⁹⁰ Older patients should receive anticoagulation when indicated.^{291,292} In the very elderly patients (≥ 85 years), the stroke-free survival improved by 3.5% along with an increased risk of ICH.²⁹³ Therefore, risk stratification beyond CHA₂DS₂-VA is needed to identify patients who may not benefit from OAC therapy.

Aspirin

The protective value of acetylsalicylic acid as monotherapy has been questioned, and it may increase risk of stroke in the elderly (>75 years).^{294 295} Warfarin is superior to aspirin in the elderly (>75 years) offering a 52% reduction in yearly risk of a combined end-point of stroke, intracranial haemorrhage and peripheral embolism, (1.8% vs 3.8%) (BAFTA trial).²⁸⁸ Thus, aspirin for stroke prevention in AF should be limited to patients who refuse any form of oral anticoagulation. In addition, it might be useful in patients with subclinical AF detected by an implanted device, and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score < 4 .²⁹⁶ In patients on DOACs addition of aspirin is not beneficial without a clear indication.²⁹⁷

Aspirin with clopidogrel

They offer increased protection compared to aspirin alone, albeit at an increased risk of major bleeding,²⁹⁸ and are preferred when warfarin is contraindicated. Still, however, aspirin and clopidogrel

offer less protection than warfarin (relative risk 1.44 for stroke, peripheral embolism, MI, and vascular death).²⁹⁸ In patients who sustain an ischemic stroke despite INR 2-3, targeting a higher INR should be considered (3-3.5) rather than adding an antiplatelet agent, since major bleeding risk starts at INR>3.5.

Warfarin

Warfarin is a racemic mixture of isomers that inhibits synthesis of vitamin K–dependent coagulation factors (Table 23). The effective dose of warfarin varies significantly among individuals, due to genetic variations in its receptor, metabolism via the cytochrome P450 system, and interactions with other drugs, vitamins, and green vegetables. Despite anticoagulation of more elderly patients with AF, intracerebral haemorrhage is considerably lower than in the past, ranging from 0.1 to 0.6%.²⁹⁹ The risk increases with INR>3.5. Recommended INR values for AF are 2-3. Pharmacogenetic testing for guiding doses, by means of genotyping for variants CYP2C9 and VKORC1 that are associated with reduced clearance and thus a decrease in warfarin requirement, is not clinically useful.³⁰⁰ Patients initiating warfarin may be at an increased risk of stroke during the first 30 days of treatment, probably due to rapid deactivation of proteins S and C two endogenous anticoagulants.³⁰¹ In high risk cases, warfarin should be started with concomitant LMW heparin administration for the initial 3-5 days of treatment. Increased levels of coronary calcification has been recently reported in patients on long-term therapy with vitamin K antagonists (VKA),³⁰² and an eventual more rapid deterioration of renal function may be resulting from warfarin-induced vascular calcification.³⁰³ The efficacy of the treatment with VKAs is directly related to the time in therapeutic range (TTR), ie the percent time with international normalized ratio (INR) between 2.0 and 3.0. A target threshold TTR exists (estimated between 58% and 65%) below which there appears to be little benefit of OAC over antiplatelet therapy.³⁰⁴

The SAME-TT2R2 [Sex (female); Age, 60 years; Medical history(more than two comorbidities); Treatment (interacting drug, e.g. Amiodarone); Tobacco use (doubled), and Race (doubled)] score is useful in identifying individuals who will not have good INR control (score $\geq$ 2).³⁰⁵ In the absence of bleeding, oral vitamin K is necessary when INR is >10.³⁰⁶ If bleeding is present, IV vitamin K (5-10 mg) for major bleeding, or 2-5 mg oral vitamin K (or 1-2 mg of the IV preparation in a cup of orange juice) for non-major bleeding are given.³⁰⁷ In major bleeding, four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (4-PCC) is preferred to fresh frozen plasma, since >1500 mL of fresh frozen plasma are needed to achieve a meaningful increase in coagulation factor levels.^{308,309} In a trial for reversal of VKA-associated major bleeding, the reported efficacy of 4-PCCs was 72%, with 8% thrombotic events, and 6% mortality.³¹⁰ The additional use of vitamin K 5 to 10 mg administered by slow IV injection is helpful.³⁰⁶

Direct oral anticoagulants (DOAC)

They are direct thrombin (dabigatran) or factor Xa (rivaroxaban, apixaban, edoxaban) inhibitors (Tables 23 and 24). Thrombin catalyses the final step in the coagulation cascade by converting fibrinogen to fibrin. Factor Xa, in conjunction with factor Va, mediates activation of prothrombin to thrombin. In patients with *AF not in the context of rheumatic mitral disease or a mechanical valve*, standard-dose DOAC use results in lower incidence of stroke, death, and intracranial haemorrhage with no difference in major bleeding, compared to warfarin, and the benefit is also maintained in the elderly.^{311,312} Compared with warfarin, DOACs appear to have more favorable efficacy and safety profiles, especially in younger patients and patients with lower body weight or creatinine clearance.³¹² There is no need for frequent laboratory monitoring and dose adjustments, and no effect on absorption by food. Actually it is enhanced with edoxaban, and, especially, rivaroxaban.³¹³ Results of clinical trials are presented in Table 25. Lower stroke rates (thromboembolic or haemorrhagic) 0.7% per year may be seen in lower risk patients in clinical practice,³¹⁴ and all DOAC are associated with a significant risk reduction of MI,³¹⁵ and osteoporotic fractures,³¹⁶ compared with VKA. In a meta-analysis of randomized trials, apixaban and edoxaban offered a reduced rate of gastrointestinal bleeding compared to warfarin the elderly (≥ 75 years), whereas dabigatran (both 150 mg bd and 110 mg bd) was associated with an increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding compared to warfarin.³¹⁷ In patients, >75 years of age apixaban was the only DOAC that reduced systemic embolism as well as intracranial and major bleeding compared with warfarin, while major bleeding rates were the lowest with apixaban, intermediate with dabigatran, and highest with rivaroxaban.³¹¹ In the largest comparison so far, apixaban was associated with lower risk for gastro-intestinal bleeding and similar rates of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism, intracranial haemorrhage, and all-cause mortality compared with dabigatran, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban. This finding was consistent for patients aged 80 years or older and those with chronic kidney disease.³¹⁸ In patients aged ≥ 75 years, switching from warfarin to DOACs is beneficial.³¹⁹ A history of sporadic falls does not constitute a contraindication for DOAC therapy.¹¹ Women have a higher baseline endogenous factor Xa activity in comparison with men, but results from edoxaban-treated patients indicate that the efficacy of DOAC versus warfarin is preserved in women in comparison with men.³²⁰ In an individual patient data meta-analysis of 71 683 patients with atrial fibrillation, standard-dose non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants reduced systemic embolic events risk by approximately 30% versus warfarin, a benefit not seen for ischemic stroke. Systemic embolic events accounted for approximately 10% of thromboembolic events and had mortality rates comparable with ischemic stroke.³²¹

Specific antidotes such as idarucizumab, andexanet alfa, and ciraparantag have now been developed. However, the half-life of the DOACs is ~ 12 h, and thus even among patients with major bleeding, temporary interruption of the DOAC is usually an effective strategy for managing bleeding.^{307,313} Furthermore, with the development of specific antidotes, the main problem of DOACs is the considerably higher cost than warfarin. DOAC are indicated only in patients with nonvalvular

AF. There has been indirect evidence from observational studies that they may also be effective in patients with rheumatic mitral stenosis and AF,^{322,323} but in the INVICTUS RCT among patients with rheumatic heart disease–associated AF, vitamin K antagonist therapy led to a lower rate of a composite of cardiovascular events or death than rivaroxaban therapy, without a higher rate of bleeding.³²⁴ They also appear safer and more effective compared with VKA in patients with non-valvular AF and concomitant aspirin therapy,³²⁵ and are preferred to warfarin in the elderly, especially patients ≥ 90 years old.^{287,326} However, in frail older patients already on warfarin, switching to an NOAC was associated with more bleeding complications without an associated reduction in thromboembolic complications (FRAIL-AF RCT).³²⁷

It should be noted that all major clinical trials have been tried in patients without severe renal impairment ($\text{CrCl} < 15\text{--}30$ mL/min), and renal function should always be considered, especially with dabigatran. However, in patients with CrCl 25–30 mL/min, apixaban caused less bleeding than warfarin, with even greater reductions in bleeding than in patients with $\text{CrCl} > 30$ mL/min.³²⁸ Dabigatran and rivaroxaban are not indicated in patients on haemodialysis because they have been shown to precipitate inadvertent bleeding.³²⁹ Apixaban is approved in the US (not in Europe) for stable, on dialysis patients, but at the usual dose of 5 mg bd it may lead to subtherapeutic levels.³³⁰ Renal function declines with anticoagulation by approximately 1.16 mL/min GFR, and this is more pronounced with warfarin than DOAC due to inhibition of vitamin K-dependent gamma-carboxyglutamic acid (Gla/MPG).^{303,331}

Liver function testing is recommended once a year, but in clinical practice no excess hepatotoxicity, compared to vitamin K antagonists, has been demonstrated,³³² and DOACs might be safer than warfarin in this population (Figure 28).^{333,334} However, as opposed to warfarin, they are still contraindicated in significant liver disease (bilirubin or transaminases ≥ 2 ULN) including cirrhosis (Child-Turcotte-Pugh category C).^{313,335} DOAC do not interact with food, and rivaroxaban, in particular, shows increased bioavailability when taken with food.³³⁶ They do interact with inhibitors (or inducers) of P-glycoprotein transporters and cytochrome P450 (CYP)3A4. Caution is required when they are co-administered with enzyme inducers and drugs such as verapamil, diltiazem, amiodarone and dronedarone.³³⁷ The concomitant use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors with DOACs increased the risk of major bleeding, particularly in the first few months of use.³³⁸ Direct-acting antivirals (such as those used for COVID-19) also inhibit DOAC transport through P-glycoprotein inhibition leading to a theoretical increase in bleeding risk. During their use anticoagulation with alternative drugs (such as LMWH) is recommended.³³⁹

Dabigatran is administered at a dose of 150 mg bd ($\text{CrCl} > 30$ mL/min), or 75 mg bd (CrCl 15–30 mL/min) based on the results of the Re-LY trial (Table 25).³⁴⁰ Doses of 75 mg twice daily were approved for patients with chronic kidney disease stage 4 ($\text{eGFR} < 30$ but ≥ 15 mL/min). However, in the long-term RELY-ABLE trial, during 2.3 years of continued treatment with dabigatran, there was a higher rate of major bleeding with dabigatran 150 mg twice daily in comparison with 110 mg, and

similar rates of stroke and death.³⁴¹ The European Medicines Agency (EMA) has approved both the 110 mg bd and 150 mg bd doses for non-valvular AF. Dabigatran might be considered in valvular heart disease other than mitral stenosis and prosthetic heart valves where it is clearly contraindicated.^{342,343} Elective cardioversion may be performed in patients taking dabigatran for at least three weeks. Dabigatran is excreted via the kidneys and no dosing recommendation is given for clearance <15 mL/min. In the elderly a reduced dose is reasonable (75 mg bd), especially for those >80 years old. It can be safely used together with aspirin.³⁴⁴ A higher risk of major and gastrointestinal haemorrhage compared to warfarin has been seen in African Americans and patients with chronic kidney disease, but still the risk of intracranial haemorrhage is lower.³⁴⁵ In Asians, dabigatran has been associated with a lower risk of acute kidney injury compared to warfarin.³⁴⁶ Main side effects are dyspepsia and stomach pain (11%), and transaminase elevations 0.9-2% although with a frequency similar to that caused by warfarin. There is no evidence of liver toxicity as happened with ximelagartan. Despite initial concerns, the risk of MI, if anything, is lower with dabigatran compared to warfarin.^{315,347} Dabigatran is contraindicated in combination with dronedarone, and its dose should be reduced in co-administration with verapamil.³⁴⁸

Measurement assays Diluted *thrombin time* and *ecarin clotting assay* are precise methods to assess the anticoagulant effect, and, consequently, expected plasma levels of dabigatran (Table 26). Plasma levels <30 ng/mL are considered sub-therapeutic.³¹³ *aPTT* and *PT*, measured in samples soon after the last dose, are prolonged by dabigatran but the correlation is not linear to guide dosage. However, in the presence of a normal *aPTT* dabigatran is unlikely to contribute to bleeding, and *aPTT* can be used in emergencies as a rough estimate.^{307,313}

Antidotes Due to the short half life of dabigatran, interruption of the drug is usually an effective strategy in cases of bleeding.^{307,313}

Non-specific haemostatic agents are prothrombin complex concentrates (PCC) and activated prothrombin complex concentrates (aPCC) in a dose of 50 units/Kg.³⁰⁷ PCCs are plasma-derived products that contain 3 (factors II, IX, and X) or 4 (addition of factor VII) clotting factors in addition to variable amounts of heparin and the natural coagulation inhibitors protein C and protein S. aPCC contains mostly activated factor VII along with mainly nonactivated factors II, IX, and X. Prothrombin complex concentrates, and especially aPCC carry an absolute increase of thromboembolic events, and in practice they are rarely needed.³¹³ Fresh frozen plasma is no longer considered a useful reversal strategy.³¹³

Specific antidotes are also available (see Bleeding on AC Therapy).³⁴⁹ *Idarucizumab*, a monoclonal antibody fragment, completely reverses the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran within minutes and has been shown effective in initial clinical trials (2.5 g IV infusions no more than 15 min apart).³⁵⁰ The achieved rate of haemostasis is approximately 76.7% and the mortality rate 17.4%. Thromboembolic events occur in 3.8% of patients.³⁵¹ *Ciraparantag* (*aripazine*) binds in a similar way to the new oral factor Xa inhibitors, and to dabigatran.

General measures are RBC substitution (if necessary), platelet substitution (in case of thrombocytopenia $\leq 60 \times 10^9/L$), tranexamic acid (1 g IV repeat every 6 h, if necessary), and desmopressin in special cases such as coagulopathy or thrombopathy (0.3 mg/kg IV infusion (max dose 20 mg). In emergencies, gastric lavage in recent drug ingestion, hemodialysis, oral charcoal within 2 hours following dabigatran ingestion can also be tried.

Apixaban an oral factor Xa inhibitor, is approved in Europe and by the FDA for nonvalvular AF, and is possibly the most cost-effective and safer new oral anticoagulant.^{352,353} Apixaban reduced the risk of stroke or systemic embolism without significantly increasing the risk of major bleeding or intracranial haemorrhage in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation for whom vitamin K antagonist therapy was unsuitable (apixaban vs aspirin, AVERROES trial).¹²³ In the ARISTOTLE trial, apixaban was found superior to warfarin in preventing embolic or hemorrhagic stroke, and resulted in less bleeding and lower mortality (11% reduction, $P=0.047$).³⁵⁴ Benefits were seen in both paroxysmal and persistent/permanent AF,³⁵⁵ as well in a cohort of patients with valvular disease (mainly MR and TR).³⁵⁶ Rates of intracranial bleeding were significantly lower in patients treated with apixaban than with warfarin regardless of renal function. Benefits of apixaban are irrespective of concomitant aspirin use,³⁵⁷ or of patients' age.³⁵⁸ A substudy of the ARISTOTLE trial has shown that cardioversion of AF can be safely performed in apixaban-treated patients.³⁵⁹ The drug is metabolized in the liver via P450-dependent and -independent mechanisms and 25% is excreted renally. It should not be used in severe hepatic impairment. The usual dose is 5 mg bd, but 2.5 mg bd should be used in patients with at least 2-dose reduction criteria, such as $Cr \geq 1.5 \text{ mg/dL}$, ≥ 80 years of age, and body weight $\leq 60 \text{ kg}$. In patients with only 1 dose-reduction criterion, 5 mg bd are appropriate.³⁶⁰ Perhaps, it might be prudent to use the 2.5 mg dose in patients with stage 5/5 chronic kidney disease ($eGFR < 30 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$).³⁶¹ Apixaban is not recommended in patients receiving concomitant treatment with strong inhibitors of both CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein, such as azole antimycotics and HIV protease inhibitors, and should also be used with caution in patients taking rifampicin, phenytoin, carbamazepine, and phenobarbital. Diltiazem results in increased Xa inhibitor levels. There are limited clinical data on patients with a $CrCl$ of 15 to 29 ml/min, and the drug is not recommended in patients with a $CrCl$ of $< 15 \text{ ml/min}$. No dose reduction is needed in patients taking verapamil.³⁴⁸

Measurement assays *Anti-factor Xa assays* may be used as estimate of the anticoagulant effect, and, consequently, expected plasma levels of apixaban. Plasma levels $< 30 \text{ ng/mL}$ are considered sub-therapeutic.³¹³ aPTT and PT are prolonged by apixaban but cannot be used to guide dosage, since the correlation is not linear.³⁰⁷ *Diluted prothrombin time* appears as the best test to use in emergency situations.³⁶²

Antidotes. Due to the short half life of apixaban, interruption of the drug is usually an effective strategy in cases of bleeding.^{307,313} Xa inhibitors are not removed by dialysis, being protein bound. General measures are as described for dabigatran. aPCC and 4-F PCC may be used as non-specific agents.³⁶³

Andexanet alfa a recombinant protein that binds and sequesters factor Xa inhibitors has been successfully tried for apixaban and rivaroxaban (see Bleeding on AC Therapy).^{349,364} It is given as 300-800 mg IV bolus that can be followed by an infusion of 4mg/min for 120 min.³⁶³ In a meta-analysis of trials and registries on the use of 4PCC, idarucizumab, and andexanet, the average rate of haemostasis was 78.5% and the mortality rate 17.7%. Thromboembolic events occurred in 4.6 % of patients, more frequently with andexanet (10.4%).³⁵¹ *Ciraparantag (aripazine)* reverses Xa inhibitors within 10 to 30 minutes. Sustained reversal was achieved with 60 mg ciraparantag for apixaban and 180 mg ciraparantag for rivaroxaban and was well-tolerated.³⁶⁵ Ciraparantag also reverses dabigatran, edoxaban and enoxaparin.

Rivaroxaban, an oral factor Xa inhibitor, has been approved in Europe and by the FDA for nonvalvular AF. In the ROCKET-AF trial, rivaroxaban, was not inferior to warfarin (INR 2-3) in patients with nonvalvular AF for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism, and offered a lower rate of intracranial bleeding, but a higher rate of non-fatal gastrointestinal bleeding.³⁶⁶ In a substudy of this trial, rivaroxaban demonstrated equal safety and efficacy with warfarin in patients >75 year old.³⁶⁷ However, in a large cohort of Medicare patients, rivaroxaban increased intracranial and extracranial bleeding and, in patients >75 years old mortality, when compared with dabigatran 150 mg bd.³⁶⁸ The half-life of rivaroxaban is 7-11 hours, but factor Xa is inhibited for up to 24 hours, allowing once daily dosage. Its bioavailability increases with food. The drug is metabolized in the liver via P450-dependent and -independent mechanisms, and is not recommended in patients receiving concomitant treatment with strong inhibitors of both CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein inhibitors (see apixaban). The drug is not recommended in patients with a CrCl of <15 ml/min. No dose reduction is needed in patients taking verapamil.³⁴⁸

For assessment of anticoagulant effect and antidotes see Apixaban.

Edoxaban, was also noninferior to warfarin with respect to prevention of stroke or systemic embolism and was associated with significantly lower rates of bleeding and death from cardiovascular causes (ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 trial), and is now approved by the FDA. Both the 30 and 60 mg doses were not inferior to warfarin, and in the intention to treat analysis with the 60 mg dose there was a trend favoring edoxaban both in men and women.³²⁰ In elderly patients (≥80 years of age) and are not considered to be appropriate candidates for oral anticoagulant therapy, a once-daily 15-mg dose of edoxaban appears to be a safe option (ELDERCARE AF RCT).³⁶⁹ Amiodarone increases edoxaban plasma levels via P-glycoprotein inhibition, and the lower dose should be used in patients on amiodarone.³⁷⁰ Edoxaban appears to demonstrate greater efficacy compared with warfarin in patients who were vitamin K antagonists naive than vitamin K antagonists experienced.³⁷¹ The dose of edoxaban should be reduced in co-administration with dronedarone or verapamil.³⁴⁸

For assessment of anticoagulant effect and antidotes see Apixaban.

Betrixaban has also been found equivalent to warfarin.³⁷²

Transition between DOAC/Warfarin

Transitioning from one anticoagulant to another is a high-risk period for both strokes and bleeding. A reasonable strategy is to reduce the DOAC to half dose, start warfarin and stop the DOAC when the INR is ≥ 2 . When the patient is on warfarin, the DOAC is started after cessation of therapy and three-daily INR measurements to detect a value < 2 .^{373,374}

XI Inhibitors

Inhibition of XI, a factor that resides in the intrinsic pathway where it is activated by FXIIa or thrombin with significant affinity to thrombotic mechanisms but with a limited role in haemostasis (ie contributing to clot progression but not to clot consolidation), is under clinical evaluation.³⁷⁵ XIa factor inhibition is promising since it attenuates thrombosis with minimal disruption of hemostasis, thus theoretically being associated with less risk of hemorrhagic complications. However, the first comparison RCT (OCEANIC-AF RCT) was stopped prematurely because asundexian, an XIa inhibitor, was associated with a higher incidence of stroke or systemic embolism, albeit fewer major bleeding events, than apixaban in patients with AF.³⁷⁶ Factor XI pathway inhibition may prove to be particularly attractive in patients with atrial fibrillation who require concomitant antiplatelet therapy. Abecimab reduced bleeding compared with rivaroxaban in patients with concomitant antiplatelet therapy, but the efficacy of this agent is still unknown (AXALEA-TIMI 17 RCT).³⁷⁷

Perioperative anticoagulation

The bleeding risk depends on the type of surgery.

Warfarin Usually major surgical procedures require an INR of at least < 1.5 . Warfarin has a half-life of 36-42 h and should be stopped for 3-4 days before surgery when the INR is < 2 , and 5 days when it is > 2 .³⁷⁸ In urgent cases oral or IV vitamin K (for dosing see Warfarin) may be considered. In need of urgent reversal, prothrombin plasma concentrates may also be added, and is preferable to fresh frozen plasma.^{308,309} Bridging to UFH or LMWH that is discontinued ≥ 12 h before and restarted 24 h after the operation, has been recommended only in patients with certain mechanical heart valves and high risk of thrombosis. In a meta-analysis, however, heparin bridging for invasive procedures and surgery in patients receiving vitamin K antagonists for AF, prosthetic heart valves, or VTE, conferred a > 5 -fold increased risk for bleeding, whereas the risk of thromboembolic events was not significantly different between bridged and nonbridged patients.³⁷⁹ The use of therapeutic dose LMWH was associated with an increased risk of bleeding compared with prophylactic or intermediate dose.³⁷⁹ Thus, bridging is usually not required (see chapter 70).³⁸⁰⁻³⁸² In the continued-warfarin group, the INR in the day of surgery should be ≤ 3 , except for patients with one or more mechanical valves, for whom an INR ≤ 3.5 is permitted (BRUISE CONTROL trial).³⁸³ In patients with AF, normal renal function, and platelet count $> 100 \times 10^9/L$, even major surgery can be safely accomplished with warfarin cessation without bridging when the INR is < 1.8 (BRIDGE trial).

Warfarin is then resumed the evening after the procedure.³⁸¹ It has been postulated that during minor surgery, hemostasis is primarily dependent on capillary and platelet function as opposed to the coagulation cascade itself, and antithrombotic drugs that interfere with the former (e.g., heparin and antiplatelet drugs) are less well tolerated than those that do not. Another explanation is the concept of an “anticoagulant stress test.” That is, if patients undergo surgery while receiving full-dose anticoagulation therapy, any excessive bleeding will be detectable and appropriately managed while the wound is still open.³⁸⁴ There are limited data on safety of cardiac surgery or other major surgery with a very high risk of thromboembolism and bleeding, in patients who are on warfarin. Currently, these patients are bridged with heparin prior to surgery. In the need of emergent CABG, fresh frozen plasma, and vitamin K may be used to reduce the risk of bleeding.

Non-vitamin K anticoagulants Pre-operative interruption of DOAC depends on the risk of bleeding and renal function.³⁷⁸ In low-risk patients with normal renal function 24-48h interruption of dabigatran and 24h interruption of Xa inhibitors is sufficient. In patients at high bleeding risk dabigatran is interrupted 120 h (Cr Cl<30 ml/min) to 48 h (CrCl>80 ml/min), and Xa inhibitors 72h (CrCl<30 ml/min) to 48 CrCl>30 ml/min).³⁷⁸ There has been evidence that continuation or short-interruption of DOAC are safe strategies for most invasive procedures (BRUISE CONTROL-2 RCT).³⁸⁵ Bridging with heparin is, on most occasions, not necessary and may increase the risk of bleeding.³⁸⁶ In an analysis of data from the RE-LY trial, interruption of dabigatran (2 days) or warfarin (5 days) for allowance of surgery was not associated by a significant occurrence of stroke and systemic embolism although heparin bridging was used in <80% of patients on dabigatran, and major bleeding was not different in the two treatment groups.³⁸⁷ However, discontinuation of rivaroxaban in the ROCKET AF for at least 3 days was associated with a higher incidence of stroke compared to discontinuation of warfarin.³⁸⁸ Thus, in patients with a CHADS₂DS₂VASC score >4, ie >5% annual risk of stroke, bridging therapy with LMWH should be considered. Procedures with low haemorrhagic risk (dental extraction, skin biopsy, cataract surgery) can be safely performed without interruption of NOACs, especially if they are carried out 12 h after last dosing. For pacemaker and ICD implantation, a 24 h discontinuation with reinitiation 48 h after implantation (24 h in patients with a CHADS₂DS₂VASC score >4) is appropriate.

If urgent surgery or intervention is required, the risk of bleeding must be weighed against the clinical need for the procedure. Surgery or intervention should be deferred, if possible, until at least 12 h and ideally 24 h after the last dose. Specific agents are now available for urgent reversal of anticoagulation. Non-specific agents, such as prothrombin complex concentrates should not be given for prophylactic reversal due to their uncertain benefit-risk. Reinitiation of these agents should be delayed for 24-48 hours and once complete hemostasis is assured, since within 1 to 2 hours of reinitiation the patient will be anticoagulated. For procedures with immediate and complete haemostasis NOACs can be resumed 6-8 h after the intervention. Recommendations are provided in Tables 26 and 27, and Figures 29-33.

Bleeding on Anticoagulant Therapy

Risk factors for bleeding with anticoagulant and antiplatelet therapy are presented in Table 28 and Figure 34.

The risk of bleeding is assessed by several schemes such as the HAS-BLED, ATRIA, HEMORR₂HAGES, ORBIT.^{389 390} The most popular is the HAS-BLED where a score ≥ 3 or an indicates “high risk” (Table 28). The ABC-bleeding score, using age, history of bleeding, and three biomarkers, haemoglobin, cTn-hs, and GDF-15 (growth differentiation factor-15) has been shown to perform better than HAS-BLED and ORBIT scores in this respect, and predicts the risk of death in anticoagulated patients.^{182,391} The DOAC score has been developed for patients taking DOAC and has also outperformed the HAS-BLED. A score ≥ 5 indicates moderate risk whereas a score ≥ 10 high risk (Table 28).³⁹² A history of falls should not be considered an absolute contraindication to anticoagulation.²⁸¹ Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) increase the risk of both serious bleeding and thromboembolism in anticoagulated patients with AF.³⁹³ Nuisance bleeding, ie bruising, haemorrhoidal bleeding, is not associated with a higher risk of major bleeding, and ,thus, not a reason for interrupting anticoagulation.³⁹⁴ In anticoagulated patients with AF, bleeding is associated with new cancer diagnoses. It is important to proceed to timely investigations in patients with bleeding after anticoagulation for AF, rather than attributing bleeding as an expected adverse effect.³⁹⁵

Antidotes and general measures are summarized in Table 29 and Figures 35 and 36.

Anticoagulation may be restarted within one week after an episode of GI bleeding.³⁹⁶

Management of stroke

Risk factors for thromboembolic complications and recurrent intracranial haemorrhage are presented in Table 30.

Ischaemic stroke

AF-related ischaemic strokes are often fatal or disabling, with increased risk of early recurrence within 48 h to 2 weeks, or haemorrhagic transformation, especially in the first days after large cardio-embolic lesions and acute recanalization therapy.^{32,397} Non-contrast computed tomography is the most sensitive and specific method for detecting ischemic stroke and excluding intracerebral haemorrhage.³⁹⁸ Detection of only severe hypoattenuation on CT is a contraindication for fibrinolysis. High burden (>10) of cerebral microbleeds on diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance may also be a contraindication, but routine use of MRI to exclude cerebral microbleeds before administration of IV alteplase is not recommended.³⁹⁸ The CT hyperdense middle cerebral artery sign should not be used as a criterion to withhold IV alteplase.³⁹⁸

If the patient is fully anticoagulated, fibrinolysis should not be performed due to the risk of haemorrhage, and endovascular treatment should be considered. Intraarterial, catheter-based treatment administered within 6-12h hours after acute ischaemic stroke using aspiration and stent retrievers has

improved neurologic recovery, and reduced mortality compared to IV fibrinolysis, especially in the presence of a proximal cerebral arterial occlusion on CT or MRI perfusion scan.^{399,400} It can be delivered with or without concomitant IV fibrinolysis, and there is emerging evidence that following CT perfusion or MRI perfusion-diffusion confirmation of the diagnosis, it might be useful up to 16 h after symptoms onset.^{401,402} Low-intensity monitoring is non-inferior to standard monitoring (that is burdensome for nurses and disrupts the sleep of patients) in patients with a mild or moderate level of neurological impairment who receive thrombolysis treatment for acute ischaemic stroke.⁴⁰³

Anticoagulation does not increase the risk of intracerebral hemorrhagic complications when INR is ≤ 1.7 .³⁴⁸ In patients who present with stroke while taking new anticoagulants, if the aPTT is prolonged in a patient taking dabigatran or the prothrombin time with an Xa inhibitor, it should be assumed that the patient is anticoagulated, and thrombolysis should probably not be administered. However, in recent studies on patients with a ischemic stroke and a DOAC taken within the last 48 h, thrombolysis with rt-PA or intra-arterial treatment had similar risk of symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage to that in patients on subtherapeutic VKA treatment (INR <1.7) or in those without previous anticoagulation.^{404 405} Thus, patients with a last DOAC intake 24-48 h earlier or with plasma levels <30 ng/mL can be treated with fibrinolysis.³¹³ Of note, age >80 years is not an exclusion criterion, provided it can be given within the first 3 hours. Anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents should be withheld the first 24-48 h following fibrinolysis. However, tirofiban infusion in patients who underwent thrombolysis within 4.5 hours after onset increased the likelihood of an excellent functional outcome but at the expense of a relatively low increase (1.7%) of intracranial hemorrhage.⁴⁰⁶

Eligible patients presenting within 4.5 h after the onset of ischaemic stroke should be considered for IV alteplase (tPA, 0.9 mg/Kg, with 10% bolus, and the remainder over 60 min, maximum dose 90 mg). In addition, in patients in whom a small core volume of cerebral infarction is disproportionate to a larger area of hypoperfusion (defined on perfusion MRI or CT a ratio >1.2 between the volume of hypoperfusion and the volume of the ischemic core, an absolute difference in volume >10 ml, and an ischemic-core volume <70 ml), the time for fibrinolysis can be extended to 9h.^{399,407} Tenecteplase (0.25 mg per kilogram, administered as a single bolus, with a maximum dose of 25 mg), a more fibrin-selective agent, is superior to alteplase in patients subjected to fibrinolysis within 6 h after the onset of ischemic stroke,⁴⁰⁸ but this was not verified in another comparison within 4.5 h after stroke.⁴⁰⁹ Reteplase (a bolus of 18 mg followed 30 minutes later by a second bolus of 18 mg) may also be superior to alteplase.⁴¹⁰ Fibrinolysis offers recanalization rate of $<50\%$, and large thrombi in vessels such as the distal internal carotid artery or the first segment of the middle cerebral artery respond poorly.⁴¹¹ Beyond 4.5 hours and up to 24 hours after stroke onset, treatment with tenecteplase has resulted in less disability and similar survival as compared with standard medical treatment, but at a higher incidence of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage.⁴¹²

Thrombectomy is superior to medical therapy, especially in young patients.^{412,413}

Although alteplase combined with thrombectomy has not been found superior to thrombectomy alone, tenecteplase plus thrombectomy in patients with acute ischemic stroke due to large-vessel occlusion and who had presented within 4.5 hours after onset, offered a higher percentage of patients with functional independence at 90 days. Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage within 48 hours occurred in 8.5% of the patients in the tenecteplase–thrombectomy group and in 6.7% of those in the thrombectomy-alone group; mortality at 90 days was 22.3% and 19.9%, respectively (BRIDGE-TNK RCT).⁴¹⁴

Labetalol (10–20 mg IV over 1–2 minutes, may repeat 1 time), or nicardipine (5 mg/h IV, titrate up by 2.5 mg/h every 5–15 minutes, maximum 15 mg/h) are recommended only when the blood pressure exceeds 180/110 mm Hg.⁴¹⁵ However, in patients who are not candidates for fibrinolysis, blood pressure lowering in acute stroke is not established to be useful with systolic blood pressure of 140 to 220 mm Hg and without evidence of nonstroke end-organ damage, with the possible exception of an early (<6h) BP lowering strategy.⁴¹⁶ Thus, treatment of hypertension in this setting should be individualized. In patients who are candidates for fibrinolysis a pretreatment BP<180/110 mm Hg is mandatory.

Anticoagulation should be started within 48h after a minor or moderate stroke or on day 6 or 7 after a major stroke (ELAN RCT).⁴¹⁷ Late initiation (> 48h for minor, 3–4 days for moderate, 12–14 days for major stroke) might be preferable in patients with parenchymal haemorrhage due to haemorrhagic transformation of the stroke.^{418,419} In patients with a TIA, anticoagulation, with either a vitamin K antagonist or a DOAC, can be initiated 1 day after the onset of neurological symptoms.^{313,348} In the presence of high risk for hemorrhagic conversion (ie, large infarct, hemorrhagic transformation on initial imaging, uncontrolled hypertension, or haemorrhage tendency), it is reasonable to delay initiation of oral anticoagulation beyond 14 days (AHA/ASA 2014 GL for prevention of stroke IIa-B).⁴²⁰ If anticoagulation is unsuitable or not feasible, dual antiplatelet therapy is recommended for secondary prevention (CHANCE trial).⁴²¹ Since dabigatran 150 mg bd resulted in a significant reduction in both ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke, should the acute ischaemic stroke occur whilst the patient is taking dabigatran 110 mg bd, or rivaroxaban or apixaban (neither of which significantly reduced ischaemic stroke compared with warfarin, in their respective trials), the use of dabigatran 150 mg bd instead, may be reasonable, but no direct data exist. Elective noncardiac surgery may best be avoided for 9 months following a stroke, if possible.⁴²²

In patients suffering an ischaemic stroke despite anticoagulation, switching from one DOAC to another or from a DOAC to warfarin has not been proven of benefit (Table 31).

Intracerebral haemorrhage

Forms of intracranial haemorrhage are presented in Figure 37. Intracerebral haemorrhage is generally associated with higher mortality and morbidity than recurrent ischaemic stroke. Anticoagulation-related intracranial haemorrhage is associated with > 40% mortality,⁴²³ and it is probably lower with DOAC than VKA.²⁹⁸ The risk is higher in patients with hypertension and, especially, cerebral amyloid

angiopathy which in the elderly is associated with cognitive impairment and spontaneous lobar haematomas.⁴²⁴ In the case of intracerebral haemorrhage, reversal of anticoagulation (INR<1.3) is needed with vitamin K 10 mg IV, to be repeated if the INR remains >1.4 at 24 to 48 h.⁴²⁵ If INR remains >1.4, four-factor PCCs are preferred to fresh frozen plasma. In patients receiving a DOAC, a specific antidote such as idarucizumab for dabigatran and andexanet alfa for Xa inhibitors are preferable. PCCs, both activated (aPCC) and 4-factor, are a reasonable treatment for the management of apixaban- or rivaroxaban-related intracerebral haemorrhage when a target-specific antidote is not available.⁴²⁶ In patients with severe bleeding, particularly intracranial haemorrhage, during treatment with DOACs, failure to achieve effective hemostasis after administration of either 4PCC or specific reversal agents (idarucizumab or andexanet alfa) is associated with considerable mortality (20.2% vs 15.4% for extracranial haemorrhage).³⁵¹

Protamine (1 mg for every 100 units of UFH) is used for unfractionated as well as for LMW heparin, and cryoprecipitate should be administered to patients who have received thrombolytics. Platelet transfusions are not recommended for patients who take antiplatelet agents, and may be detrimental (PATCH trial).⁴²⁷ Thrombocytopenia is treated with transfusions if platelet count is <50,000/μl, (or 100,000/μl, if neurosurgical procedures are needed).⁴²⁵ Tranexamic acid administration causes a reduction in early deaths and serious adverse events, but does not affect functional status 90 days after intracerebral haemorrhage (TICH-2 trial).⁴²⁸ Tranexamine and amionocaproid acid are alternatives if blood products are being refused by the patient.⁴²⁹ Intensive treatment to lower the blood pressure with a target systolic level of <180 mm Hg is recommended,⁴³⁰ but there has been evidence that values <160-140 mm Hg may reduce haematoma enlargement and improve functional outcomes.^{431 432} After documentation of cessation of bleeding low-dose heparin may be started 1-4 days from onset.⁴³⁰ The timing of resumption of oral anticoagulant is controversial but for most patients waiting 4-8 weeks is prudent.⁴¹⁹ It may be started after 2 weeks since in certain patients it is associated with a significant reduction in ischemic stroke/all-cause mortality rates.^{433,434} DOAC are probably preferred if the haemorrhage happened on warfarin. In Asian patients, where the prevalence of intracranial haemorrhage is much higher than in non-Asians, warfarin was found beneficial following an event only in patients with CHA₂DS₂-VASc score ≥6.⁴³⁵

Catheter ablation

There is strong evidence for improved rhythm control and quality of life after catheter ablation in appropriately selected patients (Table 33). Ablation offers improved rates of SR maintenance compared to antiarrhythmic therapy, being more beneficial in younger patients. Is effective both in men and women, although a greater reduction in AF burden occurs in men.⁴³⁶ It is indicated in AF-induced tachycardiomyopathy,⁴³⁷ and is usually associated with improved left ventricular function and reduced hospitalizations and mortality compared to antiarrhythmic therapy in patients with AF and

heart failure with or without reduced ejection fraction. Its effect on mortality in patients without heart failure is not established in RCTs. However, in meta-analyses, compared with medical therapy, catheter ablation appeared to reduce risks for ischemic stroke after 30 days, mortality, and heart failure (HF) and hospitalization, but at the expense of an increased risk for perioperative stroke.^{239,438} Data mainly from registries and observational studies indicate that average success rates following AF (70% paroxysmal) ablation are approximately 60% and 70% after a single and multiple procedures, respectively, with an average follow-up of 14 months, compared to 50% with antiarrhythmic drug therapy.⁴³⁹⁻⁴⁴¹ In the CABANA RCT, in the intention-to-treat analysis, over a median follow-up of 48.5 months, catheter ablation did not significantly reduce the primary composite end point of death, disabling stroke, serious bleeding, or cardiac arrest that occurred in 8.0% (n = 89) of patients in the ablation group vs 9.2% (n = 101) of patients in the drug therapy group (P = 0.30).^{442,443} The main problem in interpreting this trial is the high rate of treatment crossovers.⁴⁴⁴ This, together with the lower-than-expected event rates suggest that, in clinical practice, a reduction in the composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, stroke, major bleeding, or cardiac arrest by catheter ablation may be seen in patients who meet the CABANA eligibility criteria but not in lower-risk patients.⁴⁴⁵ Over 5 years of follow-up, AF recurrence was reduced by approximately 50% in catheter ablation patients compared with drug therapy, regardless of their baseline AF type.⁴⁴⁶ In adult patients with congenital heart disease the one-year success rate is 40%.⁴⁴⁷ Cryoballoon ablation has also resulted in a significantly lower rate of AF recurrence than antiarrhythmic drug therapy over a follow-up period of one to three years in three recent randomized trials (EARLY-AF, STOP-AF, and Cryo-FIRST).⁴⁴⁸⁻⁴⁵⁰ Compared to sham procedures, catheter ablation has been found superior in reducing arrhythmia recurrences and burden and improving quality of life using cryoballoon or PFA techniques (SHAM-PVI and PFA-SHAM RCTs).^{451,452} However, in the PVI-SHAM-AF RCT, catheter ablation did not demonstrate superiority over a sham procedure for improving atrial fibrillation-related quality of life at 6 months.⁴⁵³

In the AVANT GUARD RCT, in patients with persistent AF (continuous AF for 7 days to 365 days), the risk of recurrence of atrial arrhythmia was significantly lower among those who received PV and posterior wall isolation with a PFA catheter than among those who received antiarrhythmic therapy with Class I or III, drugs (excluding amiodarone).⁴⁵⁴ Catheter ablation has also been found superior to drug therapy in delaying the progression from paroxysmal to permanent AF (ATTEST RCT).⁴⁵⁵

We should acknowledge that antiarrhythmic drugs may also affect the arrhythmogenicity of the pulmonary veins.^{456,457} In meta-analyses of randomized clinical trials including 1212 patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, catheter ablation use was associated with a significant reduction in atrial arrhythmias (average 30%) and hospitalizations compared with use of antiarrhythmic drugs, without increasing risk of serious adverse events.^{458,459} Registries data also suggest that ablation may decrease thromboembolic events and, possibly, mortality in patients at high thromboembolic risk.⁴⁶⁰ The

RAFAS RCT in patients with AF and ischaemic stroke also suggested that catheter ablation is beneficial by means of reducing recurrent stroke.²³⁴

Long-term (≥ 10 years) success rates range from 25 to 80% in paroxysmal AF multiple ablation procedures and antiarrhythmic drugs..⁴⁶¹⁻⁴⁶⁴ Success rates are lower (52-69%) in patients with persistent AF.⁴⁶⁵⁻⁴⁶⁷ However, recurrences following catheter ablation for persistent AF are most commonly (63%) paroxysmal in nature.⁴⁶⁸ According to data from the Danish Register, over the last 8 years, the risk of recurrent AF after ablation has almost halved. Hypertension, female sex, cardioversion 1 year prior to ablation, and AF duration for more than 2 years all increased the associated risk of recurrent AF. Patients with diabetes, chronic kidney disease, AF duration over 2 years, and patients with heart failure all experienced no improvement in risk of recurrent AF over time.⁴⁶⁹ Patients with CMR-detected atrial fibrosis have a higher risk of recurrence following ablation.⁴⁷⁰

Lifestyle modification (sleep apnoea test, weight reduction, alcohol reduction, smoking cessation, and optimal hypertension and hypercholesterolaemia therapy) before catheter ablation reduces both repeat ablations and direct current cardioversions by half until 12 months after index ablation.⁴⁷¹

Techniques

Current catheter ablation strategies fall into 2 broad categories: pulmonary vein isolation (and, perhaps, ablation of non-PV triggers) to prevent AF initiation, and atrial substrate modification to impede AF perpetuation. PV isolation is achieved with PV antral ablation assisted by dedicated circumferential catheters, or circumferential PV isolation with the assistance of electroanatomic mapping or by cryoablation with a balloon. Irrigated-tip RF ablation, irrigated-tip catheter using a contact force-sensing, and cryoballoon ablation have been reported to yield similar outcomes after AF ablation (FreezeAF, FIRE AND ICE, CIRCA-DOSE, and RACE-AF trials).⁴⁷²⁻⁴⁷⁵ Radiofrequency energy might be associated with fewer patients developing persistent AF on a 3-year follow-up.⁴⁷⁶ Nonthermal pulsed field ablation is a novel approach with preliminary results showing durable efficacy, apparently eliminating oesophageal damage. The most common adverse events reported for PFA are pericardial effusion, vasovagal response, and hemolysis, compared with pericardial effusion, ischemic stroke, and esophageal damage for RF ablation.⁴⁷⁷ No significant difference in 1-year freedom from atrial arrhythmias between thermal (radiofrequency/cryoballoon) and pulsed field ablation has been shown (ADVENT RCT).⁴⁷⁸ In the SINGLE SHOT CHAMPION RCT with continuous rhythm monitoring, PFA was associated with a lower recurrence rate of atrial arrhythmia than cryoablation (37 vs 50%, $P=0.046$) at 1 year among patients with PAF.⁴⁷⁹

The coronary sinus is another potential arrhythmogenic source but in a randomized comparison, empirical isolation of the coronary sinus with both endo- and epicardial lesions as an adjunct to pulmonary venous isolation and roofline ablation was not associated with improved freedom from atrial arrhythmias.⁴⁸⁰ Superior vena isolation has also failed to improve outcomes, and

at the expense of increased complications (CAVAC AF RCT).⁴⁸¹ Additional linear ablation from the superior vena cava to the right atrial septum and cavotricuspid isthmus ablation may improve the rhythm outcome of patients with persistent AF undergoing cryoballoon PVI (CRAIAL RCT). However, this trial only included patients with LA diameter ≤ 45 mm, and intermittent rhythm monitoring techniques were used.⁴⁸² The left atrial appendage has also been described as a potential site of atrial arrhythmia and atrial fibrillation initiation but recent data do not support an empirical left atrial appendage isolation strategy for patients with recurrent atrial fibrillation after previous ablation.⁴⁸³

Additional linear lesions along the roof of the left atrium connecting the superior aspects of the left and right upper PV isolation lesions, along the region between the mitral annulus and the left inferior PV (mitral isthmus) and the intervenous ridge, or ablation of complex fractionated electrograms carry a potentially increased risk of complications or proarrhythmia, and none of them has been conclusively demonstrated to be beneficial.⁴⁸⁴ There has been evidence that posterior wall lines, especially as part of a low voltage (<0.5 mV) ablation approach may be beneficial in patients with persistent AF.^{467,485} Other RCTs have refuted this possibility, and the extended approach may even be associated with stroke.⁴⁸⁶⁻⁴⁸⁸ Thus, substrate modification is unlikely to add benefit in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation without structural atrial disease. In patients with advanced persistent atrial fibrillation and significant atrial remodeling, the effect of this approach is still under study.

Other techniques such as autonomic denervation by targeting areas of the major ganglionated autonomic plexi, the ligament of Marshall, and renal denervation are also under study.^{489,490}

Oral amiodarone treatment for two months following ablation reduces atrial arrhythmia related hospitalization and cardioversion rates during the blanking period but does not significantly reduce recurrence of atrial tachyarrhythmias at the 6-month follow-up.⁴⁹¹ Freedom from AF recurrence during the blanking period is highly predictive of longer-term success in catheter ablation.⁴⁹²

Hybrid ablation techniques combine minimally invasive epicardial surgical ablation with endocardial catheter ablation and has been proposed for long-standing, persistent AF. Previous observational studies indicated equal efficacy with conventional ablation and a higher complication rate.^{493,494} The CONVERGE RCT on patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF did report a higher efficacy of hybrid ablation albeit at the cost of a non-statistically significant higher complication rate.⁴⁹⁵ Similar results were reported by other randomized and observational trials.^{496,497}

Following ablation, aggressive control of weight, blood pressure, lipids, blood sugar, and sleep apnea is important for a favorable outcome.⁴⁹⁸ Current guidelines state that **anticoagulation** should be continued indefinitely after atrial fibrillation ablation on the basis of the patient's stroke risk profile and not the apparent success of the procedure.^{1,2} Sustained anticoagulation is recommended in patients with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of ≥ 2 .⁴⁹⁹ However there has been recent

evidence that among patients who had had successful catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation at least 1 year earlier and had risk factors for stroke, discontinuation of anticoagulation resulted in a lower risk for the composite outcome of stroke, systemic embolism, and major bleeding (ALONE-AF RCT),⁵⁰⁰ while continuation of rivaroxaban did not result in a significantly lower incidence of a composite of stroke, systemic embolism, or new covert embolic stroke than treatment with aspirin (OCEAN RCT).⁵⁰¹

Complications

Complications of catheter ablation occur in approximately 4% of cases and are mainly dependent on the operators experience (<25 procedures per year), age of the patient (>80 years), female gender, CHADS2 score of ≥ 2 , and adopted techniques (Table 34).^{11,502,503} The average mortality rate due to complications associated with the AF ablation procedure is 0.04 - 0.3%, depending on the technique, and is mainly due to stroke, atrio-oesophageal fistulae, tamponade, and pneumonia.^{478,502-505}

Pericarditis occurs in up to 3.7% of patients, with RF ablation and younger age as risk factors.⁵⁰⁶

Tamponade occurs in 0.67% (in men) to 1.24% (in women) of patients, 16% of which require surgical intervention.⁵⁰⁷ Asymptomatic cerebral emboli may be detected in up to 25% of patients,⁵⁰⁸ and stroke and TIA in 0.1 to 0.3%.^{478,502} Post-procedure neurocognitive dysfunction may be seen in up to 14% of patients, depending on ablation techniques and anticoagulation strategies, but is usually transient, with complete recovery at 12 months.⁵⁰⁹ In the AXAFA-AFNET 5 trial, acute ischemic brain lesions or chronic white matter damage detected on magnetic resonance imaging were detected in 26% of patients subjected to RF or cryo-ablation, but not associated with cognitive decline at 3 months after first ablation on uninterrupted oral anticoagulation. Cognitive dysfunction 3 to 6 weeks before and 3 months after ablation was linked to older age.⁵¹⁰ Pulmonary vein stenosis used to occur with ostial ablation but not with antral or circumferential lesions. Atrio-oesophageal fistula occur in approximately 0.04% of all patients with RF, (0.001% with cryoablation), and carry a 65% mortality depending on immediate surgical repair. Fever is the most common initial symptom and the diagnosis is established by chest CT.⁵¹¹ Circumflex coronary artery injury may result following extensive ablation near the distal coronary sinus and the base of the left atrial appendage as well as in the anterior left atrium. It may result in VF or sinus dysfunction requiring permanent pacing.⁵¹² Iatrogenic arrhythmias may occur in 4-20% of cases, being higher with linear or fractionated electrogram ablation techniques, but they do not always require repeat ablation.⁵¹³

Cryoballoon-mediated PV isolation might reduce thromboembolic complications and oesophageal injury, but is associated with an *approximately* 4% risk of phrenic nerve pulse that is usually reversible within the next 12 months (up to 97%).^{472-475,514} Cough and haemoptysis following bronchial injury are very rare.⁵¹⁵

Pulsed field ablation appears to avoid esophageal damage.⁵¹⁶ Reported mortality is 0.04%. Other major reported complications are pericardial tamponade (0.16%), vascular events (0.30%), coronary arterial spasm (0.10%) and stroke (0.10%). Acute kidney injury related to haemolysis is a

rare complication of PFA, and haemolysis-related acute renal failure necessitating hemodialysis in 0.02%.⁵⁰⁵ A threshold of 70,⁵¹⁷ or even 54 PFA deliveries per procedure,⁵¹⁸ has been found to be associated with the highest haemolysis severity, particularly in patients with baseline glomerular filtration rate <50 mL/min. Thus, prompt careful titration of PFA deliveries, and fluid infusion of ≥ 2 L normal saline immediately after the procedure,⁵¹⁹ are necessary for the safety of this approach. Prophylactic nitroglycerin is needed when delivering pulsed field ablation near coronary arteries (ie cavotricuspid and mitral isthmus) to avoid spasm.⁵²⁰ A possibly higher risk of stroke with PFA (0.25%) versus RFA (0.16%) was detected in the EMBOL-AF Registry,⁵²¹ and in a high-volume, single-center registry, PFA was associated with a significantly higher risk of stroke/TIA at 30 days (0.47%) compared with RFA (0.2%). However, patients receiving PFA were twice as likely to undergo posterior wall isolation.⁵²²

Surgical ablation

Since its introduction, the MAZE procedure has gone through 4 modifications (Maze I-IV) using cut-and-sew techniques that ensure transmural lesions to isolate the PV, connect these dividing lines to the mitral valve annulus, and create electrical barriers in the RA that prevent macro-reentrant rhythms from becoming sustained.⁵²³ The Cox MAZE IV procedure is now mainly used either as concomitant ablation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery or as a stand-alone procedure. In a meta-analysis of 23 RCTs, concomitant AF surgery, usually combined with left atrial appendage exclusion or excision was shown to result in increased freedom from AF without affecting the risk of stroke or reducing mortality.⁵²⁴ However, reports on registries and an analysis of the STS database have suggested a reduced incidence of stroke and mortality following surgical ablation of AF.⁵²⁵ Series on the stand-alone Cox MAZE IV have shown success rates of 70-90%. Risks include death (less than 1% when performed as an isolated procedure), the need for permanent pacing (with right-sided lesions), recurrent bleeding requiring reoperation, impaired atrial transport function, transient ischemic attacks delayed atrial arrhythmias (especially atrial flutter), and atrioesophageal fistula. Variations of the maze procedure using minimally invasive thoracoscopic approaches and hybrid techniques utilizing radiofrequency or cryoablation energy have also been successfully used.⁵⁰³

Surgical ablation of AF may also be considered in patients with symptomatic AF undergoing cardiac surgery, especially when mitral valve procedures are undertaken in experienced centers (Figure 38, and Table 40). The value of minimally invasive surgical ablation (thoracoscopic) of AF without concomitant cardiac surgery after failure of or compared to conventional catheter ablation is not established.^{526 527,528} The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2017 Guidelines recommend surgical ablation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery (Class I-A/B) or as a stand-alone operation (Class IIa-B).⁵²³ Recommendations are provided in Table 35 and Figure 38.

Left atrial appendage closure

The left atrial appendage (LAA) is considered the main (but not the only) site of thrombus formation in AF, and various surgical techniques have been used for ligation, excision or stapling of the LAA in patients with AF. Minimally invasive epicardial techniques and interventional trans-septal techniques have been developed for occlusion of the appendage orifice to reduce the stroke risk.⁵²⁹ The Watchman FLX and the Amulet devices are both U.S. FD, and European Medicines Agency approved and are the 2 most-used devices for percutaneous closure worldwide. The Watchman FLX is a single-closure system device consisting of a self-expanding nitinol cage covered with a flat, porous polyethylene terephthalate membrane on the atrial surface secured with twelve “J”-shaped fixation anchors in 2 rows located circumferentially. The Amulet is a double-closure system consisting of a self-expanding nitinol lobe surrounded by 6 to 10 pairs of stabilizing wires and connected by means of an articulated waist to an external disc that seals the LAA orifice. Concerns have also been raised about device-related thrombus that may be detected in 3.7 to 7.2% of patients and require immediate anticoagulation due to the increased risk of stroke,^{530,531} and a procedure-related mortality of up to 0.56%.⁵³² Hypercoagulability disorders, renal insufficiency, nonparoxysmal AF, and device implantation depth >10 mm from the pulmonary vein limbus are more important predictors of device-related thrombus.⁵³¹ Peri-device leak detected by TEE following implantation are associated with adverse events, primarily thromboembolism.⁵³³ In the PRAGUE-17 RCT, left appendage occlusion was non-inferior to DOACs in high risk patients, but one procedural death (0.5%) was reported.⁵³⁴ At 4-years follow-up, rates of stroke, cardiovascular death, and bleeding were similar in the two treatment groups.⁵³⁵ In the Amulet Observational Study using the Amplatzer Amulet device, the ischaemic stroke rate was reduced by 67% compared to the predicted risk. Major adverse events (≤ 7 days post-procedure) occurred in 4.0%, including death (0.3%), stroke (0.4%), major vascular (1.3%), and device embolization (0.2%).⁵³⁶ In the ADVENT RCT, among patients who underwent catheter-based atrial fibrillation ablation, left atrial appendage closure was associated with a lower risk of non-procedure-related major or clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding than oral anticoagulation and was noninferior to oral anticoagulation with respect to a composite of death from any cause, stroke, or systemic embolism at 36 months.⁵³⁷ Improvement in implantation techniques has led to a reduction of peri-procedural complications (EVOLUTION and CAP Registries).⁵³⁸⁻⁵⁴⁰ In the CLOSURE-AF in patients with AF at high risk for stroke and bleeding, left atrial appendage closure was not noninferior to medical care with regard to a composite end point of stroke, systemic embolism, major bleeding, or cardiovascular or unexplained death.⁵⁴¹ In the CHAMPION-AF RCT, among relatively lower-risk patients with AF who were candidates for anticoagulation, device-based left atrial appendage closure with different devices was noninferior to NOAC therapy with respect to a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, stroke, or systemic embolism and was superior to NOAC therapy for non-procedure-related bleeding at 3 years.⁵⁴² In the SWISS-

AREPO RCT, Amulet with a dual sealing system appeared less prone to side leaks, yet to greater intradevice leaks and pericardial effusions, compared to Watchman 2.5 or FLX devices.⁵⁴³ At three years follow-up there was no difference in ischaemic stroke, but there was a lower composite of cardiovascular death, stroke, transient ischemic attack, or systemic embolism at 3 years in the Amulet group.⁵⁴⁴ In the Amulet IDE RCT, the 5-year clinical outcomes were similar between the Amulet and Watchman 2.5 devices, including the composite of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism (approximately 7%), although strokes in patients with the Amulet were less severe.⁵⁴⁵

Percutaneous closure is indicated in patients with a relative or absolute contraindication to anticoagulation and AF in the context of a CHADS2 score of ≥ 1 or CHA2-DS2-VASc score ≥ 2 .⁵⁴⁶⁻⁵⁴⁹ It seems that closure is beneficial in patients with high bleeding risk and low stroke risk, whereas the benefit is uncertain in patients with high stroke risk.⁵⁵⁰ Surgical excision of the LAA may be considered in patients undergoing open heart surgery. LAA closure during routine cardiac surgery is significantly associated with an increased risk of early post-operative AF,⁵⁵¹ and may^{552,553} or may not⁵⁵¹ reduce the risk of stroke. In a retrospective analysis, left atrial appendage exclusion performed during isolated coronary artery bypass graft surgery in patients with AF significantly reduced 30-day readmissions for strokes, but it increased the risk of 30-day readmission along with an increased risk of respiratory failure and acute kidney injury, postoperatively, apparently due to the loss of the hormonal and hemodynamic effects of the LAA.⁵⁵⁴ However, in the LAAOS II RCT, among patients with AF who were scheduled to undergo cardiac surgery for another indication, occlusion of the left atrial appendage during surgery was associated with improved outcomes in terms of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism,⁵⁵⁵ independent of the use of anticoagulation.⁵⁵⁶ Similar results were provided by the ATLAS trial.⁵²⁹ In valvular patients with CGADS-VASC score ≥ 2 but without AF, routine prophylactic left atrial appendage closure is not beneficial.⁵⁵⁷ Short-term anticoagulation (approximately 6-8 weeks) may be given after occlusion. In this setting, DOAC monotherapy may be a safer than DOAC plus aspirin after LAA occlusion,⁵⁵⁸ and a DOAC may be safer than DAPT.^{559,560} Recommendations are presented in Tables 36 and 37.

Atrial Pacing

Atrial-based pacing is associated with a lower risk of AF and stroke than ventricular-based pacing in patients requiring pacemakers for bradyarrhythmias, but the value of pacing as a primary therapy for prevention of recurrent AF has not been proven (Table 38).⁵⁶¹ Pacing in the interatrial septum instead of the appendage, as well as antitachycardia algorithms for suppression of ectopic activity have produced promising results,^{562,563} but the evidence is still inconclusive. There is no hard data to support the use of bi-atrial or multisite right atrial pacing for prevention of AF.

AF in Specific Conditions

Post-operative AF

AF is the most common complication after cardiac surgery, 30% after CABG, 40% after valve surgery, and 50% after combined CABG and valve surgery, with a peak incidence of post-operative AF between post-operative days 2 and 4.⁵⁶⁴⁻⁵⁶⁶ After noncardiac surgery, depending on the type of the operation, 3% to 16% of patients develop acute AF.⁵⁶⁶ Post-operative AF is associated with increased mortality and risk of stroke,^{567,568} and anticoagulation is necessary for AF persisting longer than 48h. In general, minimization of inotropes and optimization of fluid and electrolyte status (especially hypokalemia and hypomagnesemia) has been proposed for all patients.⁵⁶⁹ However, in a meta-analysis the benefits of anticoagulation in patients developing post-operative AF after coronary artery bypass grafting were questioned.⁵⁷⁰ **b-Blocker** therapy is most effective when provided both before and after cardiac surgery;^{571,572} withdrawal of b-blockers is a significant risk factor for the development of post-operative AF and should be avoided. Treatment should be started at least 1 week before surgery with a β_1 -blocker without intrinsic sympathomimetic activity. However, in an analysis of the STS database, preoperative β -blockade within 24 hours of isolated coronary artery bypass surgery has been found to be associated with a small but finite increase in the rate of postoperative AF without a reduction of morbidities or mortality.⁵⁷³ Beta blockers are not recommended for non-cardiac surgery.⁵⁷² Prophylactic **amiodarone** started orally before the operation also decreases the incidence of postoperative AF and reduces the incidence of stroke in most^{571,574} but not all studies.⁵⁷⁵ IV amiodarone is the drug of choice for pharmacologic conversion of post-operative AF.⁵⁶⁹ Recently, perioperative infusion of **carpetide** a renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor with strong natriuretic activity, reduced postoperative AF.⁵⁷⁶ **IV magnesium**, and **steroids** have also been beneficial,^{577,578} and IV magnesium may increase the success of cardioversion in patients in whom amiodarone was ineffective.⁵⁶⁴ **Colchicine** (1 mg bd the third post-op day followed by 0.5 mg bd (0.25 bd in patients <70 kg) for one month reduced the incidence of AF by 45% (COPPS substudy),⁵⁷⁹ but not in the COP-AF RCT.⁵⁸⁰ Use of **statins** has also been found to reduce post-operative AF.⁵⁸¹ There has also been limited evidence that routine of atrial or bi-atrial pacing reduces AF.⁵⁸² Recommendations are provide in Figures 39-41 and Table 39.

Device-detected atrial high rate episodes

European and US guidelines recommend opportunistic screening for atrial fibrillation in people aged ≥ 65 years, especially when they are at high risk of stroke.^{32,583} The cause of stroke remains unexplained in 20% to 40% of cases. Among these unexplained strokes, 10% to 30% may be caused by AF that has eluded detection.¹⁶ Discovery of subclinical AF usually defined as high-rate episodes of atrial tachyarrhythmias of at least 180 bpm with a duration of at least 5-6 minutes detected by implantable devices and wearable monitors¹⁶ is common, especially in populations known to have an increased risk of stroke (or recurrent stroke). Atrial high rate episodes may be detected in up to 10%

of patients with ICDs or pacemakers and hypertension but no history of AF,¹³⁰ and could be as high as 30% in patients with additional risk factors for AF.⁵⁸⁴ The detected incidence also depends on the monitoring time. In patients with nonspecific symptoms potentially compatible with AF, such as fatigue, dyspnea, and/or palpitations, and a CHADS score ≥ 3 , implantable cardiac monitors detect AF in 30-40% of them within the next 18 to 30 months (REVEAL AF study).⁵⁸⁵ Detection of AT/AF episodes of duration ≥ 5 min appears to increase the risk of stroke by approximately 1.2%.^{586,587} However, the effect of brief AF episodes of < 5 min on stroke risk has not been rigorously evaluated and remains unknown, but less than that of clinical AF.^{142,158} Longer AF duration times, at least 5.5 h,⁵⁸⁸ or 24h,⁵⁸⁹ are associated with stroke, and in a meta-analysis subclinical AF (detected in up to 35% of patients with a pacemaker) was strongly associated with clinical AF and an elevated risk of stroke although lower than the risk of clinical AF.¹³² In the STROKESTOP RCT, screening for AF (> 30 s) detected by a hand-held single-lead electrocardiogram showed a significant benefit by reducing hard clinical outcomes.⁵⁹⁰ However, in the LOOP RCT, screening for AF (> 6 min) with an implantable loop recorder resulted in a three-times increase in AF detection and anticoagulation initiation but no significant reduction in the risk of stroke or systemic arterial embolism.⁵⁹¹ It seems that the CHA₂DS₂-VASC score impacts stroke risk much more than the duration of detected AF episodes.⁵⁹² The potential benefit of anticoagulation in patients with atrial high rate episodes is under study (Figure 42 and Table 40).¹⁶ In the NOAH-AFNET 6 RCT on patients with device-detected high rate atrial episodes, anticoagulation with edoxaban failed to reduce the incidence of a composite of cardiovascular death, stroke, or systemic embolism but increased the risk of bleeding.⁵⁸⁷ However, in the ARTESIA trial, among patients with subclinical atrial fibrillation (at least 1 episode of ≥ 6 minutes, but no episodes > 24 hours) CHA₂DS₂-VASC score ≥ 3 , apixaban resulted in a lower risk of stroke or systemic embolism (0.78% per patient-year) than aspirin (1.24%) but a higher risk of major bleeding (1.71 vs 0.94 %).⁵⁸⁶ A meta-analysis of these two trials found that oral anticoagulation with edoxaban or apixaban reduces the relative risk of stroke by approximately 32% and increases the relative risk of major bleeding by approximately 62%, without any effect on cardiovascular or total mortality.⁵⁹³

Cryptogenic stroke

Routine anticoagulation in patients with acute ischaemic or embolic stroke of undetermined aetiology is not recommended, since neither rivaroxaban or dabigatran have been found superior to aspirin (NAVIGATE ESUS and RESPECT-ESUS RCTs).⁵⁹⁴ Search for AF in these patients should be performed (Table 41), but it should be noted that new-onset AF is identified in approximately 13% of stroke patients during the in-hospital phase,¹¹⁸ and in these patients anticoagulation may not be indicated.⁵⁹⁵ Clinical risk scores such as the C2HEST (CAD/COPD (1 point each), Hypertension (1 point), Elderly (≥ 75 years, 2 points), Systolic heart failure (2 points), and Thyroid disease (hyperthyroidism, 1 point), have been proposed for the detection of patients prone to AF.⁵⁹⁶

Acute coronary syndromes

Recommendation for the management of AF in patients with an ACS and stable CAD are discussed in the relevant Chapters.

Heart failure

The prevalence of atrial fibrillation in heart failure is 5-50% depending on its severity, and is associated with increased mortality. For a detailed discussion and recommendations see the Chapter on HF.

Adult congenital heart disease

Atrial arrhythmias (AF, atria tachycardia and atrial flutter) occur in 15-40% of patients with congenital heart disease, especially after surgical repair (see ACHD).⁵⁹⁷ In patients with sustained AF, anticoagulation is recommended in most patients.^{32,598} Recommendations are presented in Table 42.

Valve disease

AF is frequently seen in mitral and progressed aortic valve disease. Paroxysmal or persistent AF constitutes an indication for percutaneous or surgical intervention in mitral valve disease.

Recommendations for anticoagulation are presented in Table 43 and Figure 43. DOAC may be used in aortic or tricuspid valve disease and MR, but not in mitral stenosis.⁵⁹⁹ Successful catheter ablation may reduce functional MR with restoration of SR.¹¹³ See also Part II on valve diseases.

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

Patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) are at greater risk of developing AF compared with the general population, and around 20-40% develop AF with an annual incidence of 2-4%. AF is associated with increased risk for mortality, stroke, and severe functional disability, particularly in patients with outflow obstruction, ≤ 50 years of age, or those developing chronic AF.⁶⁰⁰ Stroke risk scoring systems (such as CHA2DS2-VASc score) are not informative in HCM given the substantial potential for stroke events in patients with low scores, and therefore should not be used for anticoagulation decisions in this disease.⁶⁰¹ A rhythm control strategy with catheter ablation seems preferable.⁶⁰² Fast AF may also predispose to hemodynamic collapse and VF, and electrical or pharmacological cardioversion is indicated in the absence of atrial thrombus in patients presenting with acute onset AF. When myectomy is considered, addition of maze-III procedure may also eliminate AF without increased peri-operative mortality.⁶⁰¹ A detailed discussion and recommendations for HOCM as well as other cardiomyopathies are provided in Chapter 39.

Diabetes mellitus

Opportunistic screening for AF is recommended even asymptomatic patients with diabetes. Following catheter ablation for AF, semaglutide may reduce arrhythmia recurrence and improve metabolic outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation, overweight, and type 2 diabetes.⁶⁰³ Recommendations are provided in Figure 44 and Table 44.

Hyperthyroidism

AF occurs in 10–25% of patients, with hyperthyroidism especially in men and the elderly. Treatment is aimed primarily at restoring a euthyroid state, which may be associated with a spontaneous reversion to sinus rhythm. If a rhythm control strategy is selected, thyroid function should be normalized prior to cardioversion to reduce the risk of recurrence. Beta blockers are the drug of choice. Amiodarone may cause both hyper and hypothyroidism. Hypothyroidism typically occurs within the first 1-24 months and the prevalence is as high as 22% especially in patients with anti-thyroid antibodies.⁶⁰⁴ There are two types of amiodarone-induced hyperthyroidism: type I, where there is an excess iodide-induced production of T4 and T3; and type II, where there is a destructive thyroiditis with a transient excess release of T4 and T3, and, later, reduced thyroid function. Although amiodarone may be continued when hypothyroidism has been successfully treated with replacement therapy, it is necessary to discontinue amiodarone if hyperthyroidism develops. Thyrotoxicosis may also occur after cessation of amiodarone therapy. Anticoagulation is recommended until thyroid function has returned to normal and sinus rhythm can be maintained (Table 45).

Chronic Kidney Disease and dialysis

In patients with chronic kidney disease the predictive ability of all tests is lower, and the Modified CHADS₂ score might be preferable.¹⁸⁴ In patients with concomitant diabetes, *finerenone* reduced the risk of new-onset AF or flutter.¹⁹⁷ In an extensive, retrospective cohort study on dialysis patients with AF, warfarin did not reduce stroke risk, and was associated with a higher bleeding risk.⁶⁰⁵ Warfarin may have an unfavorable risk/benefit ratio in patients with end-stage chronic kidney disease as opposed to those with non-end-stage disease.⁶⁰⁶ In addition, the risk of stroke may be only modestly higher in patients undergoing hemodialysis with new-onset AF,⁶⁰⁷ and clinically relevant bleeding events are 10-fold more frequent than stroke or systemic embolism among this population on anticoagulation.⁶⁰⁸ Thus, the use of anticoagulation is highly controversial.^{337,348} However warfarin with a careful INR monitoring or apixaban (5 mg bd) that is an FDA-approved option should be used in patients with high CHA₂DS₂VAsc scores.⁶⁰⁸⁻⁶¹⁰ Recommendations are provided in Table 46 and Figure 45.

Liver disease

Patients with liver disease are not “auto-anticoagulated” and thus protected from thrombotic events. However, patients with liver disease have largely been excluded from trials of oral anticoagulants. Because all currently approved anticoagulants undergo metabolism in the liver, hepatic dysfunction may cause increased bleeding. Thus, the optimal anticoagulation strategy for patients with AF or VTE who have liver disease remains unclear.³³⁵ Recommendations are presented in Table 47.

Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome

AF may predispose patients with WPW syndrome to sudden cardiac death, and AV nodal blocking agents are contraindicated. A detailed discussion, and recommendations are provided the Chapter on AVRT.

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

AF is common in patients with chronic lung disease and has adverse prognostic implications in the context of acute exacerbations associated with hypoxia. Treatment of the underlying pulmonary disease and correction of metabolic imbalance are the primary considerations, as antiarrhythmic therapy and electrical cardioversion are likely to be ineffective until respiratory decompensation has been corrected. Multifocal atrial tachycardia is common in severe COPD and may be mistaken for AF. Agents used to relieve bronchospasm such as theophylline and β -adrenergic agonists, may precipitate AF, and controlling the rate of ventricular response may be difficult in this situation. Non-selective β -blockers, propafenone, and adenosine are generally contraindicated in patients with bronchospasm, and nondihydropyridine calcium channel antagonists are the preferred alternative (Table 48). β -1 selective blockers (e.g. nebivolol, bisoprolol, and metoprolol) in small doses are also tolerated and effective.⁶¹¹

Elderly

AF occurs with increasing incidence in the elderly, and increasing age is a potent risk factor for stroke. Although most AF trials have enrolled patients without an upper age limit, the mean age of study cohorts is 5 to 10 years younger than the average age of patients with AF in the general population. Therefore, it is unknown whether the findings of studies guiding management can be generalized to patients ≥ 75 years of age, and especially those ≥ 85 years of age.⁶¹² DOAC are preferred to warfarin in the elderly, especially patients ≥ 90 years old (see previous discussion of DOAC).

Young Patients

Supraventricular reentrant arrhythmias are seen in approximately 8% of AF patients referred for catheter ablation.⁶¹³ Especially in patients with an onset of unexplained AF before 30 years of age, electrophysiological study to evaluate and treat reentrant supraventricular tachyarrhythmias is indicated. In patients with an onset of AF before 45 years of age without obvious risk factors for AF, referral for genetic counseling, genetic testing for rare pathogenic variants, and surveillance for cardiomyopathy or arrhythmia syndromes may be also reasonable.

Physical activity and athletes

The intensity of physical activity displays a U-shaped relationship with incident AF, which may indicate that the positive antiarrhythmic effects of physical activity are partially negated when exercise is too strenuous.^{69,614} Professional athletes should be counselled that long-lasting intense sports participation may promote AF, while moderate physical activity is recommended to prevent AF

(Table 49). However, it is unknown whether prescribed reduction of endurance sports leads to lower rates of AF. They should undergo standard evaluation and treatment as other adults.⁶¹⁵ For practical purposes only the pill in the pocket approach and catheter ablation are acceptable treatment options.

Cancer

AF may occur in patients with cancer in different settings, and it may be a marker of cancer type or occult cancer, or it may develop in patients undergoing surgery, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy.⁶¹⁶ Specific recommendations are provided in Table 50, and Figure 46. For a detailed discussion on Cardio-oncology see relevant Chapters.

Non-cardiac surgery

Patients with AF undergoing NCS have higher risks of all-cause mortality, HF, and ischemic stroke within 30 days of surgery than patients without preexisting AF.⁶¹⁷ Specific recommendations are provided in Tables 51 and 52.

Other conditions

Recommendations for patients with AF and class III **obesity** (BMI ≥ 40 kg/m²) recommendations are provided in Table 53. AF in **genetic channelopathies** is discussed in the relevant chapters.

AF in Pregnancy

AF is increasingly becoming the most common newly diagnosed sustained arrhythmia during pregnancy, especially in patients with previously detected AF and without pre-existing heart disease.⁶¹⁸ AF or atrial flutter occurs in 1.3% of patients with structural heart disease with a peak at the end of the second trimester and is associated with unfavorable maternal outcome and lower fetal birth weight.⁶¹⁹ In patients with previously diagnosed AF, 52% experience new episodes during pregnancy. AF during pregnancy is well tolerated in most patients without congenital or valvular disease, but more foetal complications occur in those women who develop arrhythmias during pregnancy.

Drug therapy IV ibutilide or flecainide are usually effective for acute cardioversion and may be considered, although the experience during pregnancy is limited (Figure 48). Amiodarone may cause neonatal hypothyroidism (9% of newborns), hyperthyroidism, goiter and growth retardation. All drugs should, if possible, be avoided during the period of organogenesis in the first trimester of pregnancy. For long-term therapy beta-1 selective beta blockers may be used as discussed in the Chapter on Cardiovascular Disease in Pregnancy, and Appendix 5.

Direct current cardioversion Several case reports have demonstrated successful cardioversion of maternal AF, without harm to the foetus.⁶²⁰ General anaesthesia with intraprocedural monitoring of the foetus is preferred, and beyond 26 weeks of gestation the left lateral tilt position is recommended to minimize aortocaval compression.⁶¹⁸ Prior anticoagulation or TOE exclusion of left atrial thrombus is mandatory when AF is >48h, and anticoagulation is maintained for 4 weeks. In AF <48h one IV

UFH or LMWH is given pericardioversion. Energy requirements in pregnant and non-pregnant women are similar.

Anticoagulation is recommended in patients with ≥ 2 risk points of the CHADS₂ score or 2 risk points of the CHA₂DS₂VASC score. Vitamin K antagonists can be teratogenic in up to 7% of fetuses and in many cases should be substituted with UFH or LMWH for the first trimester. However, the risk is very low when doses ≤ 5 mg/day are adequate.⁶²¹ Warfarin crosses the placenta freely, and the foetus may be overdosed even when the mother is in the therapeutic INR range. LMWH do not cross the placenta barrier, and has been used for treatment and prophylaxis of venous thrombo-embolism during pregnancy, without adverse foetal effects. Perhaps, the most practical policy is to recommend subcutaneous administration of weight-adjusted therapeutic doses of LMWH during the first trimester and during the last month of pregnancy. The new oral thrombin antagonists such as dabigatran have shown fetotoxicity with high doses and should not be used.⁶²²

Anticoagulation protocols and dosing for pregnant patients with atrial fibrillation deemed at high risk of thromboembolic events are similar to those employed for mechanical valve. Thus warfarin is used for up to 36 h before delivery when UFH is started. Low-dose warfarin (< 5 mg daily) use has a significantly lower risk for foetal embryopathy than higher-dose warfarin. When higher-dose warfarin (> 5 mg daily) is needed to achieve goal INR, one may consider transitioning to heparin (UFH or LMWH) during the first trimester (6-12 weeks) vs continuation of warfarin.⁶¹⁸ For a detailed list on the use of drugs in pregnancy see the Chapter on Cardiovascular Disease in Pregnancy, and Appendix 5. Recommendations in general are provided in Table 54 and Figures 47 and 48.

References

1. Joglar JA, et al. 2023 ACC/AHA/ACCP/HRS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2023. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001193
2. Van Gelder IC, et al. 2024 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J*. 2024. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae176
3. Padfield GJ, et al. Progression of paroxysmal to persistent atrial fibrillation: 10-year follow-up in the Canadian Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. *Heart rhythm*. 2017;14:801-807. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.01.038
4. Chugh SS, et al. Worldwide epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: a Global Burden of Disease 2010 Study. *Circulation*. 2014;129:837-847. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005119
CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005119 [pii]
5. Roth GA, et al. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990-2019: Update From the GBD 2019 Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;76:2982-3021. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.010
6. Tsao CW, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2022 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2022;145:e153-e639. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001052
7. Ohsawa M, et al. Rapid increase in estimated number of persons with atrial fibrillation in Japan: an analysis from national surveys on cardiovascular diseases in 1980, 1990 and 2000. *J Epidemiol*. 2005;15:194-196. doi: JST.JSTAGE/jea/15.194 [pii]
8. Zhou Z, et al. An epidemiological study on the prevalence of atrial fibrillation in the Chinese population of mainland China. *J Epidemiol*. 2008;18:209-216. doi: JST.JSTAGE/jea/JE2008021 [pii]
9. Timmis Chair Writing Group A, et al. European Society of Cardiology: cardiovascular disease statistics 2021. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43:716-799. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab892
10. Svennberg E, et al. Mass Screening for Untreated Atrial Fibrillation: The STROKESTOP Study. *Circulation*. 2015;131:2176-2184. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014343
11. Volgman S, A., et al. Management of Atrial Fibrillation in Patients 75 Years and Older: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. *JACC*. 2022.
12. Weng LC, et al. Genetic Predisposition, Clinical Risk Factor Burden, and Lifetime Risk of Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2017. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.031431
13. Schnabel RB, et al. 50 year trends in atrial fibrillation prevalence, incidence, risk factors, and mortality in the Framingham Heart Study: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2015;386:154-162. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61774-8
14. Lip GYH, et al. CHA2DS2-VASc or a non-sex score (CHA2DS2-VA) for stroke risk prediction in atrial fibrillation: contemporary insights and clinical implications. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:3718-3720. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae540
15. Dewland TA, et al. Incident atrial fibrillation among Asians, Hispanics, blacks, and whites. *Circulation*. 2013;128:2470-2477. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002449
16. Noseworthy PA, et al. Subclinical and Device-Detected Atrial Fibrillation: Pondering the Knowledge Gap: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;140:e944-e963. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000740
17. Gautier A, et al. New-onset atrial fibrillation and chronic coronary syndrome in the CLARIFY registry. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:366-375. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad556
18. Spona DC, et al. Circadian patterns of atrial fibrillation and disease progression assessed by implanted loop recorders. *Eur Heart J*. 2025;46:5160-5173. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf386
19. Dieleman JL, et al. US Health Care Spending by Payer and Health Condition, 1996-2016. *JAMA*. 2020;323:863-884. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.0734
20. Freeman JV, et al. National Trends in Atrial Fibrillation Hospitalization, Readmission, and Mortality for Medicare Beneficiaries, 1999-2013. *Circulation*. 2017. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.022388
21. Johnsen SP, et al. Cost of illness of atrial fibrillation: a nationwide study of societal impact. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2017;17:714. doi: 10.1186/s12913-017-2652-y
22. Roberts JD, et al. Epigenetic Age and the Risk of Incident Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2021;144:1899-1911. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056456
23. Kaneko H, et al. Association of Blood Pressure Classification Using the 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Blood Pressure Guideline With Risk of Heart Failure and Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2021;143:2244-2253. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.052624
24. Niiranen TJ, et al. Hypertension and Atrial Fibrillation: A Frontier Review From the AF-SCREEN International Collaboration. *Circulation*. 2025;151:863-877. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.124.071047
25. Du X, et al. Is atrial fibrillation a preventable disease? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69:1968-1982. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.02.020
26. Romiti GF, et al. Prevalence, management and impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 4,200,000 patients. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42:3541-3554. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab453
27. Al-Khatib SM, et al. Advancing Research on the Complex Interrelations Between Atrial Fibrillation and Heart Failure: A Report From a US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Virtual Workshop. *Circulation*. 2020;141:1915-1926. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.045204

28. Gopinathannair R, et al. Managing Atrial Fibrillation in Patients With Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2021;14:HAE000000000000078. doi: 10.1161/HAE.000000000000078
29. Rosenberg MA, et al. Diastolic dysfunction and risk of atrial fibrillation: a mechanistic appraisal. *Circulation.* 2012;126:2353-2362. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.113233
- 126/19/2353 [pii]
30. Murakoshi N, et al. Prognostic impact of supraventricular premature complexes in community-based health checkups: the Ibaraki Prefectural Health Study. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36:170-178. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu407
- ehu407 [pii]
31. Alvarez-Garcia J, et al. Electrophysiological Effects of Selective Atrial Coronary Artery Occlusion in Humans. *Circulation.* 2016;133:2235-2242. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.021700
32. Hindricks G, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J.* 2020. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa612
33. Mandalenakis Z, et al. Atrial Fibrillation Burden in Young Patients with Congenital Heart Disease. *Circulation.* 2017. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.029590
34. Chatterjee NA, et al. Genetic Obesity and the Risk of Atrial Fibrillation: Causal Estimates from Mendelian Randomization. *Circulation.* 2017;135:741-754. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.024921
35. Force ESC, et al. European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)/European Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (EACPR) position paper on how to prevent atrial fibrillation endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS). *Europace.* 2017;19:190-225. doi: 10.1093/europace/euw242
36. Alonso A, et al. Chronic kidney disease is associated with the incidence of atrial fibrillation: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Circulation.* 2011;123:2946-2953. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.020982
- CIRCULATIONAHA.111.020982 [pii]
37. Tanner RM, et al. Association of the metabolic syndrome with atrial fibrillation among United States adults (from the REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke [REGARDS] Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2011;108:227-232. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2011.03.026
- S0002-9149(11)01267-7 [pii]
38. Singh JA, et al. Comparative effectiveness of allopurinol and febuxostat for the risk of atrial fibrillation in the elderly: a propensity-matched analysis of Medicare claims data. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40:3046-3054. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz154
39. Voskoboinik A, et al. Alcohol Abstinence in Drinkers with Atrial Fibrillation *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:20-28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1817591
40. Csengeri D, et al. Alcohol consumption, cardiac biomarkers, and risk of atrial fibrillation and adverse outcomes. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42:1170-1177. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa953
41. Marcus GM, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Intravenous Alcohol to Assess Changes in Atrial Electrophysiology. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol.* 2021;7:662-670. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2020.11.026
42. Brunner S, et al. Acute Alcohol Consumption and Arrhythmias in Young Adults: The MunichBREW II Study. *Eur Heart J.* 2024. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae695
43. Lee SR, et al. Lower risk of stroke after alcohol abstinence in patients with incident atrial fibrillation: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42:4759-4768. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab315
44. Gawalko M, et al. Diet and risk of atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. *Eur Heart J.* 2024;45:4259-4274. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae551
45. Bhatt DL, et al. Cardiovascular Risk Reduction with Icosapent Ethyl for Hypertriglyceridemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:11-22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1812792
46. Albert CM, et al. Effect of Marine Omega-3 Fatty Acid and Vitamin D Supplementation on Incident Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2021;325:1061-1073. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.1489
47. Qian F, et al. Omega-3 Fatty Acid Biomarkers and Incident Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2023;82:336-349. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2023.05.024
48. Gencer B, et al. Efficacy and safety of lowering LDL cholesterol in older patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet.* 2020;396:1637-1643. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32332-1
49. Martinez-Gonzalez MA, et al. Extravirgin olive oil consumption reduces risk of atrial fibrillation: the PREDIMED (Prevencion con Dieta Mediterranea) trial. *Circulation.* 2014;130:18-26. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.006921
50. Marcus GM, et al. Caffeine and Cardiovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2026;154:e345-e355. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001454
51. Chieng D, et al. The impact of coffee subtypes on incident cardiovascular disease, arrhythmias, and mortality: long-term outcomes from the UK Biobank. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2022.
52. Marcus GM, et al. Acute Effects of Coffee Consumption on Health among Ambulatory Adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2023;388:1092-1100. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2204737
53. Wong CX, et al. Caffeinated Coffee Consumption or Abstinence to Reduce Atrial Fibrillation: The DECAF Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2025. doi: 10.1001/jama.2025.21056
54. Sun Y, et al. Sweetened Beverages, Genetic Susceptibility, and Incident Atrial Fibrillation: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2024:e012145. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.123.012145

55. Reinert MS, et al. Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome and the Long-Term Risk of Arrhythmias. *Circulation*. 2026;153:493-503. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.125.078039
56. Cappola AR, et al. Thyroid and Cardiovascular Disease: Research Agenda for Enhancing Knowledge, Prevention, and Treatment. *Thyroid*. 2019;29:760-777. doi: 10.1089/thy.2018.0416
57. Baumgartner C, et al. Thyroid Function Within the Normal Range, Subclinical Hypothyroidism, and the Risk of Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2017;136:2100-2116. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.028753
58. van den Berg MP, et al. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, atrial fibrillation, and the role of senile amyloidosis. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:1287-1293. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz057
59. Power DA, et al. Cardiovascular Complications of Interatrial Conduction Block: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2022;79:1199-1211. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.01.030
60. Shinzato K, et al. Atrial amyloidosis identified by biopsy in atrial fibrillation: prevalence and clinical presentation. *Eur Heart J*. 2025. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf332
61. Fradley MG, et al. Recognition, Prevention, and Management of Arrhythmias and Autonomic Disorders in Cardio-Oncology: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2021;144:e41-e55. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000986
62. Tisdale JE, et al. Drug-Induced Arrhythmias: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2020:CIR0000000000000905. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000905
63. Lin AL, et al. Cannabis, cocaine, methamphetamine, and opiates increase the risk of incident atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2022. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac558
64. Holt A, et al. Cannabis for chronic pain: cardiovascular safety in a nationwide Danish study. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:475-484. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad834
65. Yeghiazarians Y, et al. Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Cardiovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2021:CIR0000000000000988. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000988
66. Wang N, et al. Long-term night shift work is associated with the risk of atrial fibrillation and coronary heart disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2021. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab505
67. Coromilas EJ, et al. Worldwide Survey of COVID-19-Associated Arrhythmias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2021;14:e009458. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.120.009458
68. Ahlehoff O, et al. Psoriasis and risk of atrial fibrillation and ischaemic stroke: a Danish Nationwide Cohort Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33:2054-2064. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehr285
- ehr285 [pii]
69. Mozaffarian D, et al. Physical activity and incidence of atrial fibrillation in older adults: the cardiovascular health study. *Circulation*. 2008;118:800-807. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.785626
- CIRCULATIONAHA.108.785626 [pii]
70. Newman W, et al. Risk of atrial fibrillation in athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2021. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2021-103994
71. Flannery MD, et al. Atrial fibrillation in former world-class rowers: role of environmental and genetic factors. *Eur Heart J*. 2025;46:5114-5125. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf369
72. Qureshi WT, et al. Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Risk of Incident Atrial Fibrillation: Results From the Henry Ford Exercise Testing (FIT) Project. *Circulation*. 2015;131:1827-1834. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014833
- CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014833 [pii]
73. Garnvik LE, et al. Physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and cardiovascular outcomes in individuals with atrial fibrillation: the HUNT study. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:1467-1475. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa032
74. Elliott AD, et al. Association between physical activity and risk of incident arrhythmias in 402 406 individuals: evidence from the UK Biobank cohort. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:1479-1486. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz897
75. Kivimäki M, et al. Long working hours as a risk factor for atrial fibrillation: a multi-cohort study. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:2621-2628. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx324
76. Gao QY, et al. Association between daytime napping and incident arrhythmias: A prospective cohort study and mendelian randomization analysis. *Heart rhythm*. 2024;21:743-751. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2024.02.004
77. Hesselund AK, et al. Hypokalaemia and atrial fibrillation detected by implanted loop recorders. *Eur Heart J*. 2025;46:5129-5139. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf623
78. Khan AM, et al. Low serum magnesium and the development of atrial fibrillation in the community: the framingham heart study. *Circulation*. 2013;127:33-38. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.082511
- CIRCULATIONAHA.111.082511 [pii]
79. Nielsen JB, et al. J-shaped association between QTc interval duration and the risk of atrial fibrillation: results from the Copenhagen ECG study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:2557-2564. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.03.032
80. Maguy A, et al. Autoimmune Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2023;148:487-498. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.062776
81. Wang J, et al. Epilepsy and long-term risk of arrhythmias. *Eur Heart J*. 2023;44:3374-3382. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad523
82. Fox CS, et al. Parental atrial fibrillation as a risk factor for atrial fibrillation in offspring. *JAMA*. 2004;291:2851-2855. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.23.2851
- 291/23/2851 [pii]
83. Oyen N, et al. Familial aggregation of lone atrial fibrillation in young persons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:917-921. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.03.046
- S0735-1097(12)01499-4 [pii]
84. Lubitz SA, et al. Genetic Risk Prediction of Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2016. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.024143

85. Orlov MV, et al. Is competitive atrial pacing a possible trigger for atrial fibrillation? Observations from the RATE registry. *Heart rhythm*. 2021;18:3-9. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.07.028
86. Kronborg MB, et al. Atrial pacing minimization in sinus node dysfunction and risk of incident atrial fibrillation: a randomized trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2023;44:4246-4255. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad564
87. Haimovich JS, et al. Incidence, Risk Factors, and Outcomes in Stressor-Associated Atrial Fibrillation: Insights From the VITAL-AF Trial. *Circulation*. 2026;153:367-378. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.125.076421
88. Wilde AAM, et al. European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)/Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)/Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS)/Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS) Expert Consensus Statement on the state of genetic testing for cardiac diseases. *Europace*. 2022. doi: 10.1093/europace/ euac030
89. Yamada N, et al. Mutant KCNJ3 and KCNJ5 Potassium Channels as Novel Molecular Targets in Bradyarrhythmias and Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2019;139:2157-2169. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.036761
90. Gudbjartsson DF, et al. A frameshift deletion in the sarcomere gene MYL4 causes early-onset familial atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:27-34. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw379
91. Roselli C, et al. Multi-ethnic genome-wide association study for atrial fibrillation. *Nat Genet*. 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0133-9
92. Lau DH, et al. Novel mechanisms in the pathogenesis of atrial fibrillation: practical applications. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:1573-1581. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv375
93. Allessie M, et al. Electrical, contractile and structural remodeling during atrial fibrillation. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2002;54:230-246. doi: S0008636302002584 [pii]
94. Kato T, et al. Connexins and atrial fibrillation: filling in the gaps. *Circulation*. 2012;125:203-206. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.075432
CIRCULATIONAHA.111.075432 [pii]
95. Gal P, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of atrial fibrosis: redefining atrial fibrillation to a syndrome. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:14-19. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv514
96. Voigt N, et al. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of atrial arrhythmogenesis in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2014;129:145-156. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.006641
97. Li N, et al. Ryanodine receptor-mediated calcium leak drives progressive development of an atrial fibrillation substrate in a transgenic mouse model. *Circulation*. 2014;129:1276-1285. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.006611
CIRCULATIONAHA.113.006611 [pii]
98. Wijffels MC, et al. Atrial fibrillation begets atrial fibrillation. A study in awake chronically instrumented goats. *Circulation*. 1995;92:1954-1968.
99. Gupta DK, et al. Left atrial structure and function in atrial fibrillation: ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1457-1465. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv500
100. Platonov PG, et al. Low atrial fibrillatory rate is associated with poor outcome in patients with mild to moderate heart failure. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2012;5:77-83. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.111.964395
CIRCEP.111.964395 [pii]
101. Naser JA, et al. Prevalence and incidence of diastolic dysfunction in atrial fibrillation: clinical implications. *Eur Heart J*. 2023;44:5049-5060. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad592
102. Lubitz SA, et al. Long-term outcomes of secondary atrial fibrillation in the community: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2015;131:1648-1655. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014058
103. Haissaguerre M, et al. Spontaneous initiation of atrial fibrillation by ectopic beats originating in the pulmonary veins. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;339:659-666. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199809033391003
104. Kumagai K, et al. Electrophysiologic properties of pulmonary veins assessed using a multielectrode basket catheter. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:2281-2289. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.01.051
S0735109704006825 [pii]
105. Katriotis DG, et al. Arrhythmogenicity of the pulmonary veins: An anatomic perspective. *Heart rhythm*. 2025. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2025.09.041
106. Katriotis D, et al. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation the search for substrate-driven end points. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;55:2293-2298. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.03.016
S0735-1097(10)01098-3 [pii]
107. Mandapati R, et al. Stable microreentrant sources as a mechanism of atrial fibrillation in the isolated sheep heart. *Circulation*. 2000;101:194-199.
108. Lee S, et al. Simultaneous Batrial High-Density (510-512 Electrodes) Epicardial Mapping of Persistent and Long-Standing Persistent Atrial Fibrillation in Patients: New Insights Into the Mechanism of Its Maintenance. *Circulation*. 2015;132:2108-2117. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017007
CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017007 [pii]
109. Katriotis D, et al. Identification and catheter ablation of extracardiac and intracardiac components of ligament of Marshall tissue for treatment of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2001;12:750-758.
110. Valderrabano M, et al. Effect of Catheter Ablation With Vein of Marshall Ethanol Infusion vs Catheter Ablation Alone on Persistent Atrial Fibrillation: The VENUS Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2020;324:1620-1628. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.16195
111. Katriotis DG, et al. Autonomic denervation added to pulmonary vein isolation for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:2318-2325. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.06.053

112. Wijesurendra RS, et al. Lone Atrial Fibrillation Is Associated With Impaired Left Ventricular Energetics That Persists Despite Successful Catheter Ablation. *Circulation*. 2016;134:1068-1081. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.022931
113. Gertz ZM, et al. Evidence of atrial functional mitral regurgitation due to atrial fibrillation: reversal with arrhythmia control. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:1474-1481. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.06.032
- S0735-1097(11)02506-X [pii]
114. Patlolla SH, et al. Incidence and Burden of Tricuspid Regurgitation in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2022;80:2289-2298. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.09.045
115. Park S, et al. Atrial fibrillation and kidney function: a bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42:2816-2823. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab291
116. Lurie A, et al. Prevalence of Left Atrial Thrombus in Anticoagulated Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC*. 2021.
117. Frenkel D. Prevalence of Left Atrial Thrombus Detection by Transesophageal Echocardiography: A Comparison of Continuous Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulant Versus Warfarin Therapy in Patients Undergoing Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation. *JACCCEP*. 2016;2:295-303.
118. Sposato LA, et al. Diagnosis of atrial fibrillation after stroke and transient ischaemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2015;14:377-387. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(15)70027-X
119. Christiansen CB, et al. Atrial fibrillation and risk of stroke: a nationwide cohort study. *Europace*. 2016;18:1689-1697. doi: 10.1093/europace/euv401
120. Rivard L, et al. Atrial Fibrillation and Dementia: A Report From the AF-SCREEN International Collaboration. *Circulation*. 2022;145:392-409. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.055018
121. Quinn GR, et al. Wide Variation in Reported Rates of Stroke Across Cohorts of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2016. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.024057
122. Kuhne M, et al. Silent brain infarcts impact on cognitive function in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43:2127-2135. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac020
123. Connolly SJ, et al. Apixaban in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:806-817. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1007432
124. Teppo K, et al. Ischaemic stroke in women with atrial fibrillation: temporal trends and clinical implications. *Eur Heart J*. 2024. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae198
125. Freedman B, et al. Screening for Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the AF-SCREEN International Collaboration. *Circulation*. 2017;135:1851-1867. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026693
126. Bahit MC, et al. Predictors of Atrial Fibrillation Development in Patients With Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source: An Analysis of the RE-SPECT ESUS Trial. *Circulation*. 2021;144:1738-1746. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.055176
127. Schnabel RB, et al. Searching for Atrial Fibrillation Poststroke: A White Paper of the AF-SCREEN International Collaboration. *Circulation*. 2019;140:1834-1850. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.040267
128. Gladstone DJ, et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with cryptogenic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370:2467-2477. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1311376
129. Sanna T, et al. Cryptogenic stroke and underlying atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370:2478-2486. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1313600
130. Healey JS, et al. Subclinical atrial fibrillation and the risk of stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366:120-129. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1105575
131. Brambatti M, et al. Temporal relationship between subclinical atrial fibrillation and embolic events. *Circulation*. 2014;129:2094-2099. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.007825
- CIRCULATIONAHA.113.007825 [pii]
132. Mahajan R, et al. Subclinical device-detected atrial fibrillation and stroke risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2018. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx731
133. Yiin GS, et al. Age-specific incidence, outcome, cost, and projected future burden of atrial fibrillation-related embolic vascular events: a population-based study. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1236-1244. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.010942
134. Friberg L, et al. Stroke in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: report from the Stockholm Cohort of Atrial Fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:967-975. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehn599
- ehn599 [pii]
135. Go AS, et al. Association of Burden of Atrial Fibrillation With Risk of Ischemic Stroke in Adults With Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. The KP-RHYTHM Study. *JAMA Cardiol* 2018. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2018.1176
136. Ganesan AN, et al. The impact of atrial fibrillation type on the risk of thromboembolism, mortality, and bleeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:1591-1602. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw007
137. Vanassche T, et al. Risk of ischaemic stroke according to pattern of atrial fibrillation: analysis of 6563 aspirin-treated patients in ACTIVE-A and AVERROES. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:281-287a. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu307
138. Steinberg BA, et al. Higher risk of death and stroke in patients with persistent vs. paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: results from the ROCKET-AF Trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:288-296. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu359
139. Link MS, et al. Stroke and Mortality Risk in Patients With Various Patterns of Atrial Fibrillation: Results From the ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Trial (Effective Anticoagulation With Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 48). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2017;10. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.116.004267

140. Breithardt G, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes with rivaroxaban vs. warfarin in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation but underlying native mitral and aortic valve disease participating in the ROCKET AF trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:3377-3385. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu305
 141. Boriani G, et al. Device-detected atrial fibrillation and risk for stroke: an analysis of >10,000 patients from the SOS AF project (Stroke preventiOn Strategies based on Atrial Fibrillation information from implanted devices). *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:508-516. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh491
 142. Swiryn S, et al. Clinical Implications of Brief Device-Detected Atrial Tachyarrhythmias in a Cardiac Rhythm Management Device Population: Results from the Registry of Atrial Tachycardia and Atrial Fibrillation Episodes. *Circulation*. 2016;134:1130-1140. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.020252
 143. Group SRIAFW. Independent predictors of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. *Neurology*. 2007;69:546-554. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000267275.68538.8d
 144. Apostolakis S, et al. Renal function and outcomes in anticoagulated patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation: the AMADEUS trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:3572-3579. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh328
- eh328 [pii]
145. Di Biase L, et al. Does the left atrial appendage morphology correlate with the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation? Results from a multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:531-538. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.04.032
- S0735-1097(12)01781-0 [pii]
146. Wallentin L, et al. Growth differentiation factor 15, a marker of oxidative stress and inflammation, for risk assessment in patients with atrial fibrillation: insights from the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation (ARISTOTLE) trial. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1847-1858. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.011204
 147. Bekwelem W, et al. Extracranial Systemic Embolic Events in Patients With Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation: Incidence, Risk Factors, and Outcomes. *Circulation*. 2015;132:796-803. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013243
 148. Soliman EZ, et al. Atrial Fibrillation and Risk of ST-Segment-Elevation Versus Non-ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Circulation*. 2015;131:1843-1850. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014145
 149. Shibata T, et al. Prevalence, Clinical Features, and Prognosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction Attributable to Coronary Artery Embolism. *Circulation*. 2015;132:241-250. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.015134
- CIRCULATIONAHA.114.015134 [pii]
150. Ngo LTH, et al. Long-term outcomes after hospitalization for atrial fibrillation or flutter. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:2133-2141. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae204
 151. Andersson T, et al. All-cause mortality in 272,186 patients hospitalized with incident atrial fibrillation 1995-2008: a Swedish nationwide long-term case-control study. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:1061-1067. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs469
 152. Lip GY, et al. Prognosis and treatment of atrial fibrillation patients by European cardiologists: one year follow-up of the EURObservational Research Programme-Atrial Fibrillation General Registry Pilot Phase (EORP-AF Pilot registry). *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:3365-3376. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu374
- ehu374 [pii]
153. Paludan-Muller C, et al. Atrial fibrillation: age at diagnosis, incident cardiovascular events, and mortality. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:2119-2129. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae216
 154. Bardai A, et al. Sudden cardiac arrest associated with use of a non-cardiac drug that reduces cardiac excitability: evidence from bench, bedside, and community. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:1506-1516. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh304
- eh304 [pii]
155. Chen LY, et al. Atrial fibrillation and the risk of sudden cardiac death: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study and cardiovascular health study. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173:29-35. doi: 10.1001/2013.jamainternmed.744
 156. Marijon E, et al. Causes of death and influencing factors in patients with atrial fibrillation: a competing-risk analysis from the randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulant therapy study. *Circulation*. 2013;128:2192-2201. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000491
 157. Sharma A, et al. The Use of Biomarkers to Predict Specific Causes of Death in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: Insights from the ARISTOTLE Trial. *Circulation*. 2018. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034125
 158. Chen LY, et al. Atrial Fibrillation Burden: Moving Beyond Atrial Fibrillation as a Binary Entity: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000568
 159. van Diepen S, et al. Mortality and readmission of patients with heart failure, atrial fibrillation, or coronary artery disease undergoing noncardiac surgery: an analysis of 38 047 patients. *Circulation*. 2011;124:289-296. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.011130
- CIRCULATIONAHA.110.011130 [pii]
160. Diederichsen SZ, et al. Comprehensive Evaluation of Rhythm Monitoring Strategies in Screening for Atrial Fibrillation: Insights From Patients at Risk Monitored Long Term With an Implantable Loop Recorder. *Circulation*. 2020;141:1510-1522. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.044407
 161. Aguilar M, et al. Influence of Monitoring Strategy on Assessment of Ablation Success and Postablation Atrial Fibrillation Burden Assessment: Implications for Practice and Clinical Trial Design. *Circulation*. 2022;145:21-30. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056109
 162. Spatz ES, et al. Wearable Digital Health Technologies for Monitoring in Cardiovascular Medicine. *N Engl J Med*. 2024;390:346-356. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra2301903

163. Wang R, et al. Accuracy of Wrist-Worn Heart Rate Monitors. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2016. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.3340
164. Jaakkola J, et al. Mobile Phone Detection of Atrial Fibrillation With Mechanocardiography. The MODE-AF Study (Mobile Phone Detection of Atrial Fibrillation). *Circulation.* 2018.
165. Sana F, et al. Wearable Devices for Ambulatory Cardiac Monitoring. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.01.046
166. Guo Y, et al. Mobile Health Technology to Improve Care for Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC.* 2020.
167. Svennberg E, et al. How to use digital devices to detect and manage arrhythmias: an EHRA practical guide. *Europace.* 2022;24:979-1005. doi: 10.1093/europace/euac038
168. Wasserlauf J, et al. Smartwatch Performance for the Detection and Quantification of Atrial Fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2019.
169. Perez MV, et al. Large-Scale Assessment of a Smartwatch to Identify Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1909-1917. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1901183
170. Lubitz SA, et al. Screening for Atrial Fibrillation in Older Adults at Primary Care Visits: VITAL-AF Randomized Controlled Trial. *Circulation.* 2022;145:946-954. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057014
171. Ahmed AS, et al. Using a smartwatch to identify the morphology of atrial flutter. *HeartRhythm Case Rep.* 2020;6:808-809. doi: 10.1016/j.hrcr.2020.06.021
172. Padanilam MS, et al. Challenges of Interpreting Smartwatch and Implantable Loop Recorder Tracings. *Circulation.* 2021;144:351-1354.
173. Raghunath S, et al. Deep Neural Networks Can Predict New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation From the 12-Lead ECG and Help Identify Those at Risk of Atrial Fibrillation-Related Stroke. *Circulation.* 2021;143:1287-1298. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047829
174. Chua W, et al. Data-driven discovery and validation of circulating blood-based biomarkers associated with prevalent atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40:1268-1276. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy815
175. Dorian P, et al. Validation of a new simple scale to measure symptoms in atrial fibrillation: the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Severity in Atrial Fibrillation scale. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2009;2:218-224. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.108.812347
- CIRCEP.108.812347 [pii]
176. Shinbane JS, et al. Tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy: a review of animal models and clinical studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1997;29:709-715. doi: S073510979600592X [pii]
177. Lip GY. How to Manage Occult Atrial Fibrillation Detected on Long-Term Monitoring. *Circulation.* 2016;133:1290-1295.
178. Lip GY, et al. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the euro heart survey on atrial fibrillation. *Chest.* 2010;137:263-272. doi: 10.1378/chest.09-1584
- chest.09-1584 [pii]
179. Asperg S, et al. Comparison of the ATRIA, CHADS2, and CHA2DS2-VASc stroke risk scores in predicting ischaemic stroke in a large Swedish cohort of patients with atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:3203-3210. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw077
180. Oldgren J, et al. Performance and Validation of a Novel Biomarker-Based Stroke Risk Score for Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation.* 2016;134:1697-1707. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.022802
181. Fanola CL, et al. A novel risk prediction score in atrial fibrillation for a net clinical outcome from the ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 randomized clinical trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2017. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw565
182. Berg DD, et al. Performance of the ABC Scores for Assessing the Risk of Stroke or Systemic Embolism and Bleeding in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation in ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48. *Circulation.* 2019;139:760-771. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.038312
183. Benz AP, et al. Biomarker-Based Risk Prediction With The ABC-AF Scores in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Not Receiving Oral Anticoagulation. *Circulation.* 2021. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.053100
184. de Jong Y, et al. Validation of risk scores for ischaemic stroke in atrial fibrillation across the spectrum of kidney function. *Eur Heart J.* 2021. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab059
185. Champs A, et al. Gender and contemporary risk of adverse events in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2024;45:3707-3717. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae539
186. Oldgren J, et al. Biomarker-Based ABC-AF Risk Scores for Personalized Treatment to Reduce Stroke or Death in Atrial Fibrillation: A Registry-Based, Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Study. *Circulation.* 2025;152:1457-1469. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.125.076725
187. Bohm M, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes, bleeding risk, and achieved blood pressure in patients on long-term anticoagulation with the thrombin antagonist dabigatran or warfarin: data from the RE-LY trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41:2848-2859. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa247
188. Katritsis DG, et al. Catheter Ablation of Atypical Atrioventricular Nodal Reentrant Tachycardia. *Circulation.* 2016;134:1655-1663. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.024471
189. Malhotra A, et al. Tirzepatide for the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Obesity. *N Engl J Med.* 2024. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2404881
190. Lau DH, et al. Modifiable Risk Factors and Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation.* 2017;136:583-596. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.023163
191. Zografos T, et al. Inhibition of the renin-angiotensin system for prevention of atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2010;33:1270-1285. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8159.2010.02832.x
- PACE2832 [pii]

192. Marott SC, et al. Antihypertensive treatment and risk of atrial fibrillation: a nationwide study. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1205-1214. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs507
193. Disertori M, et al. Valsartan for prevention of recurrent atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:1606-1617. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0805710
- 360/16/1606 [pii]
194. Yusuf S, et al. Irbesartan in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:928-938. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1008816
195. Chen S, et al. Association of Preoperative Renin-Angiotensin System Inhibitors With Prevention of Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation and Adverse Events: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2:e194934. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.4934
196. Swedberg K, et al. Eplerenone and atrial fibrillation in mild systolic heart failure: results from the EMPHASIS-HF (Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization And Survival Study in Heart Failure) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59:1598-1603. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.11.063
197. Filippatos G, et al. Finerenone Reduces Onset of Atrial Fibrillation in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease and Type 2 Diabetes. *JACC*. 2021.
198. Rahimi K, et al. Effect of statins on atrial fibrillation: collaborative meta-analysis of published and unpublished evidence from randomised controlled trials. *Bmj*. 2011;342:d1250. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d1250
- bmj.d1250 [pii]
199. Rienstra M, et al. Targeted therapy of underlying conditions improves sinus rhythm maintenance in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation: results of the RACE 3 trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:2987-2996. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx739
200. Soulat-Dufour L, et al. Restoring Sinus Rhythm Reverses Cardiac Remodeling and Reduces Valvular Regurgitation in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC*. 2022.
201. Pluymaekers N, et al. Early or Delayed Cardioversion in Recent-Onset Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380:1499-1508. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1900353
202. McIntyre WF, et al. Thromboembolic events around the time of cardioversion for atrial fibrillation in patients receiving antiplatelet treatment in the ACTIVE trials. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:3026-3032. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz521
203. Katritsis D, et al. Anticoagulation for Cardioversion of Acute Onset Atrial Fibrillation: Time to Revise Guidelines?*. *JACCCEP*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2016.03.002
204. Mahaffey KW, et al. Initiating anticoagulation with the intention of cardioverting: does drug choice matter? *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:2972-2974. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy303
205. Schmidt AS, et al. Anterior-Lateral Versus Anterior-Posterior Electrode Position for Cardioverting Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2021;144:1995-2003. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056301
206. Squara F, et al. Active compression versus standard anterior-posterior defibrillation for external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: A prospective randomized study. *Heart rhythm*. 2021;18:360-365. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.11.005
207. Pre- and post-treatment with amiodarone for elective electrical cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Europace*. 2019;21:863. doi: 10.1093/europace/euz113
208. Hayashi M, et al. Enhancing electrical cardioversion and preventing immediate reinitiation of hemodynamically deleterious atrial fibrillation with class III drug pretreatment. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2005;16:740-747. doi: 10.1046/j.1540-8167.2005.40748.x
209. Oto E, et al. Predictors of sinus rhythm after electrical cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: results from a data mining project on the Flec-SL trial data set. *Europace*. 2017;19:921-928. doi: 10.1093/europace/euw144
210. Luker J, et al. Internal Versus External Electrical Cardioversion of Atrial Arrhythmia in Patients With Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation*. 2019;140:1061-1069. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.041320
211. Ibrahim OA, et al. Single-dose oral anti-arrhythmic drugs for cardioversion of recent-onset atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Europace*. 2021. doi: 10.1093/europace/euab014
212. Ruskin JN, et al. Orally Inhaled Flecainide for Conversion of Atrial Fibrillation to Sinus Rhythm: INSTANT Phase 2 Trial. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*. 2024;10:1021-1033. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2024.02.021
213. Crijns H, et al. Open-Label, Multicenter Study of Flecainide Acetate Oral Inhalation Solution for Acute Conversion of Recent-Onset, Symptomatic Atrial Fibrillation to Sinus Rhythm. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2022:CIRCEP121010204. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.121.010204
214. Alboni P, et al. Outpatient treatment of recent-onset atrial fibrillation with the "pill-in-the-pocket" approach. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351:2384-2391. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041233
- 351/23/2384 [pii]
215. Vinson DR, et al. Ibutilide effectiveness and safety in the cardioversion of atrial fibrillation and flutter in the community emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2017.
216. Fragakis N, et al. Acute beta-adrenoceptor blockade improves efficacy of ibutilide in conversion of atrial fibrillation with a rapid ventricular rate. *Europace*. 2009;11:70-74. doi: 10.1093/europace/eun302
- eun302 [pii]
217. Singh S, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral dofetilide in converting to and maintaining sinus rhythm in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter: the symptomatic atrial fibrillation investigative research on dofetilide (SAFIRE-D) study. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2385-2390.

218. Abraham JM, et al. Safety of oral dofetilide for rhythm control of atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2015;8:772-776. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.114.002339
CIRCEP.114.002339 [pii]
219. Camm AJ, et al. A randomized active-controlled study comparing the efficacy and safety of vernakalant to amiodarone in recent-onset atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:313-321. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.07.046
S0735-1097(10)04413-X [pii]
220. Ho KM, et al. Use of intravenous magnesium to treat acute onset atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis. *Heart.* 2007;93:1433-1440. doi: hrt.2006.111492 [pii]
10.1136/hrt.2006.111492
221. Wyse DG, et al. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347:1825-1833. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa021328
347/23/1825 [pii]
222. Hohnloser SH, et al. Rhythm or rate control in atrial fibrillation--Pharmacological Intervention in Atrial Fibrillation (PIAF): a randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2000;356:1789-1794. doi: S014067360003230X [pii]
223. Carlsson J, et al. Randomized trial of rate-control versus rhythm-control in persistent atrial fibrillation: the Strategies of Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation (STAF) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2003;41:1690-1696. doi: S0735109703003322 [pii]
224. Van Gelder IC, et al. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with recurrent persistent atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347:1834-1840. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa021375
347/23/1834 [pii]
225. Ogawa S, et al. Optimal treatment strategy for patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: J-RHYTHM Study. *Circ J.* 2009;73:242-248. doi: JST.JSTAGE/circj/CJ-08-0608 [pii]
226. Opolski G, et al. Rate control vs rhythm control in patients with nonvalvular persistent atrial fibrillation: the results of the Polish How to Treat Chronic Atrial Fibrillation (HOT CAFE) Study. *Chest.* 2004;126:476-486. doi: 10.1378/chest.126.2.476
126/2/476 [pii]
227. Roy D, et al. Rhythm control versus rate control for atrial fibrillation and heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2667-2677. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0708789
358/25/2667 [pii]
228. Gillinov AM, et al. Rate Control versus Rhythm Control for Atrial Fibrillation after Cardiac Surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:1911-1921. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1602002
229. Corley SD, et al. Relationships between sinus rhythm, treatment, and survival in the Atrial Fibrillation Follow-Up Investigation of Rhythm Management (AFFIRM) Study. *Circulation.* 2004;109:1509-1513. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000121736.16643.11
01.CIR.0000121736.16643.11 [pii]
230. Lafuente-Lafuente C, et al. Antiarrhythmics for maintaining sinus rhythm after cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;CD005049. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005049.pub4
231. Singh BN, et al. Amiodarone versus sotalol for atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:1861-1872. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041705
232. Deedwania PC, et al. Spontaneous conversion and maintenance of sinus rhythm by amiodarone in patients with heart failure and atrial fibrillation: observations from the veterans affairs congestive heart failure survival trial of antiarrhythmic therapy (CHF-STAT). The Department of Veterans Affairs CHF-STAT Investigators. *Circulation.* 1998;98:2574-2579.
233. Tsadok MA, et al. Rhythm versus rate control therapy and subsequent stroke or transient ischemic attack in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Circulation.* 2012;126:2680-2687. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.092494
CIRCULATIONAHA.112.092494 [pii]
234. Parkash R, et al. Randomized Ablation-Based Rhythm-Control Versus Rate-Control Trial in Patients with Heart Failure and Atrial Fibrillation: Results from the RAFT-AF trial. *Circulation.* 2022. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057095
235. Hohnloser SH, et al. Effect of dronedarone on cardiovascular events in atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:668-678. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0803778
360/7/668 [pii]
236. Kober L, et al. Increased mortality after dronedarone therapy for severe heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2678-2687. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0800456
358/25/2678 [pii]
237. Connolly SJ, et al. Dronedarone in high-risk permanent atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365:2268-2276. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1109867
238. Reddy YNV, et al. Management of Atrial Fibrillation Across the Spectrum of Heart Failure With Preserved and Reduced Ejection Fraction. *Circulation.* 2022;146:339-357. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.057444
239. Montane B, et al. Catheter and Surgical Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation : A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2025. doi: 10.7326/ANNALS-25-00253
240. Kirchhof P, et al. Early Rhythm-Control Therapy in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383:1305-1316. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2019422
241. Rillig A, et al. Early Rhythm Control Therapy in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation and Heart Failure. *Circulation.* 2021. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056323

242. Rillig A, et al. Early Rhythm Control in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and High Comorbidity Burden. *Circulation*. 2022;146:836-847. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.060274
243. Eckardt L, et al. Attaining sinus rhythm mediates improved outcome with early rhythm control therapy of atrial fibrillation: the EAST-AFNET 4 trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43:4127-4144. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac471
244. Kim D, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of Early Rhythm Control Versus Rate Control for Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2021;10:e023055. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.023055
245. Camm AJ, et al. The Increasing Role of Rhythm Control in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC*. 2022.
246. Koldenhof T, et al. Rate control drugs differ in the prevention of progression of atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2022;24:384-389. doi: 10.1093/europace/euab191
247. Ulimoen SR, et al. Calcium channel blockers improve exercise capacity and reduce N-terminal Pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels compared with beta-blockers in patients with permanent atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:517-524. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh429
- eht429 [pii]
248. Whitbeck MG, et al. Increased mortality among patients taking digoxin--analysis from the AFFIRM study. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:1481-1488. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs348
249. Gheorghiade M, et al. Lack of evidence of increased mortality among patients with atrial fibrillation taking digoxin: findings from post hoc propensity-matched analysis of the AFFIRM trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:1489-1497. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh429
250. Allen LA, et al. Digoxin Use and Subsequent Outcomes Among Patients in a Contemporary Atrial Fibrillation Cohort. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:2691-2698. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.04.045
251. Washam JB, et al. Digoxin use in patients with atrial fibrillation and adverse cardiovascular outcomes: a retrospective analysis of the Rivaroxaban Once Daily Oral Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared with Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation (ROCKET AF). *Lancet*. 2015;385:2363-2370. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61836-5
252. Turakhia MP, et al. Increased mortality associated with digoxin in contemporary patients with atrial fibrillation: findings from the TREAT-AF study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:660-668. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.060
253. Freeman JV, et al. Digoxin and risk of death in adults with atrial fibrillation: the ATRIA-CVRN study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2015;8:49-58. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.114.002292
254. Lopes RD, et al. Digoxin and Mortality in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018.
255. Vamos M, et al. Meta-Analysis of Effects of Digoxin on Survival in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation or Heart Failure: An Update. *Am J Cardiol*. 2019;123:69-74. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.09.036
256. Kotecha D, et al. Effect of Digoxin vs Bisoprolol for Heart Rate Control in Atrial Fibrillation on Patient-Reported Quality of Life: The RATE-AF Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2020;324:2497-2508. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.23138
257. Van Gelder IC, et al. Lenient versus strict rate control in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:1363-1373. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1001337
- NEJMoa1001337 [pii]
258. Li SJ, et al. Prognostic Significance of Resting Heart Rate and Use of beta-Blockers in Atrial Fibrillation and Sinus Rhythm in Patients With Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction: Findings From the Swedish Heart Failure Registry. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2015;8:871-879. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.115.002285
259. Baddour LM, et al. Update on Cardiovascular Implantable Electronic Device Infections and Their Prevention, Diagnosis, and Management: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association: Endorsed by the International Society for Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases. *Circulation*. 2024;149:e201-e216. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001187
260. Brignole M, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients undergoing atrioventricular junction ablation for permanent atrial fibrillation: a randomized trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:2420-2429. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehr162
- ehr162 [pii]
261. Lafuente-Lafuente C, et al. Antiarrhythmic drugs for maintaining sinus rhythm after cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166:719-728. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.7.719
- 10.1001/archinte.166.7.719
262. Freemantle N, et al. Mixed treatment comparison of dronedarone, amiodarone, sotalol, flecainide, and propafenone, for the management of atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2011;13:329-345. doi: 10.1093/europace/euq450
- euq450 [pii]
263. Roy D, et al. Amiodarone to prevent recurrence of atrial fibrillation. Canadian Trial of Atrial Fibrillation Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:913-920. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200003303421302
264. Tsaban G, et al. Amiodarone and pulmonary toxicity in atrial fibrillation: a nationwide Israeli study. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:379-388. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad726
265. Andersen SS, et al. Antiarrhythmic therapy and risk of death in patients with atrial fibrillation: a nationwide study. *Europace*. 2009;11:886-891. doi: 10.1093/europace/eup119
- eup119 [pii]
266. Flaker G, et al. Amiodarone, anticoagulation, and clinical events in patients with atrial fibrillation: insights from the ARISTOTLE trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:1541-1550. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.07.967
267. Singh BN, et al. Dronedarone for maintenance of sinus rhythm in atrial fibrillation or flutter. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:987-999. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa054686
- 10.1056/NEJMoa054686

268. Pisters R, et al. Effect of dronedarone on clinical end points in patients with atrial fibrillation and coronary heart disease: insights from the ATHENA trial. *Europace*. 2014;16:174-181. doi: 10.1093/europace/eut293
269. Friberg L. Safety of dronedarone in routine clinical care. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:2376-2384. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.02.601
- S0735-1097(14)01752-5 [pii]
270. Birnie DH, et al. HRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of arrhythmias associated with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Heart rhythm*. 2014;11:1305-1323. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2014.03.043
271. Kiani S, et al. The Feasibility and Safety of Flecainide Use Among Patients With Varying Degrees of Coronary Disease. *JACC*. 2023.
272. Myerburg RJ, et al. Reversal of proarrhythmic effects of flecainide acetate and encainide hydrochloride by propranolol. *Circulation*. 1989;80:1571-1579.
273. Capucci A, et al. Flecainide-metoprolol combination reduces atrial fibrillation clinical recurrences and improves tolerability at 1-year follow-up in persistent symptomatic atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2016;18:1698-1704. doi: 10.1093/europace/euv462
274. Kirchhof P, et al. Short-term versus long-term antiarrhythmic drug treatment after cardioversion of atrial fibrillation (Flec-SL): a prospective, randomised, open-label, blinded endpoint assessment trial. *Lancet*. 2012;380:238-246. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60570-4
- S0140-6736(12)60570-4 [pii]
275. Pedersen OD, et al. Efficacy of dofetilide in the treatment of atrial fibrillation-flutter in patients with reduced left ventricular function: a Danish investigations of arrhythmia and mortality on dofetilide (diamond) substudy. *Circulation*. 2001;104:292-296.
276. Scirica BM, et al. Effect of ranolazine, an antianginal agent with novel electrophysiological properties, on the incidence of arrhythmias in patients with non ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: results from the Metabolic Efficiency With Ranolazine for Less Ischemia in Non ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 36 (MERLIN-TIMI 36) randomized controlled trial. *Circulation*. 2007;116:1647-1652. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.724880 [pii]
- 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.724880
277. Zimetbaum P. Antiarrhythmic drug therapy for atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2012;125:381-389. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.019927
- 125/2/381 [pii]
278. Hanley CM, et al. Status of Antiarrhythmic Drug Development for Atrial Fibrillation: New Drugs and New Molecular Mechanisms. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2016;9:e002479. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.115.002479
- CIRCEP.115.002479 [pii]
279. Hart RG, et al. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;146:857-867. doi: 10.1161/12/857 [pii]
280. Martin DT, et al. Randomized trial of atrial arrhythmia monitoring to guide anticoagulation in patients with implanted defibrillator and cardiac resynchronization devices. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:1660-1668. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv115
- ehv115 [pii]
281. Lip GY, et al. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. *JAMA*. 2015;313:1950-1962. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.4369
- 2293300 [pii]
282. Sulzgruber P, et al. Oral Anticoagulation in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation and a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 1. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:3010-3012. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz650
283. Kim D, et al. Anticoagulation for Atrial Fibrillation with Intermediate Stroke Risk. *N Engl J Med*. 2026;395:1155-1165. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2607978
284. Demeestere J, et al. Anticoagulation in low-risk patients with atrial fibrillation: beyond prevention of ischaemic stroke. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:2336-2338. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz414
285. Bonde AN, et al. Oral anticoagulation among atrial fibrillation patients with anaemia: an observational cohort study. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:3782-3790. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz155
286. Chao TF, et al. Should atrial fibrillation patients with 1 additional risk factor of the CHA2DS2-VASc score (beyond sex) receive oral anticoagulation? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:635-642. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.11.046
- S0735-1097(14)07391-4 [pii]
287. Chao TF, et al. Oral Anticoagulation in Very Elderly Patients with Atrial Fibrillation - A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Circulation*. 2018. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.031658
288. Mant J, et al. Warfarin versus aspirin for stroke prevention in an elderly community population with atrial fibrillation (the Birmingham Atrial Fibrillation Treatment of the Aged Study, BAFTA): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;370:493-503. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61233-1 [pii]
- 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61233-1
289. Kuroda M, et al. Effect of 15-mg Edoxaban on Clinical Outcomes in 3 Age Strata in Older Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Prespecified Subanalysis of the ELDERCARE-AF Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2022;7:583-590. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2022.0480
290. Kaplan RM, et al. Stroke Risk as a Function of Atrial Fibrillation Duration and CHA2DS2-VASc Score. *Circulation*. 2019;140:1639-1646. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.041303
291. Andreotti F, et al. Antithrombotic therapy in the elderly: expert position paper of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Thrombosis. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:3238-3249. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv304

292. Spruit JR, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Older and Frail Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Decade of Experience. *Drugs Aging*. 2024. doi: 10.1007/s40266-024-01138-5
293. Binding C, et al. Temporal trends in the use of oral anticoagulants and clinical outcomes in older, elderly, and very elderly patients with atrial fibrillation: a Danish nationwide study. *Eur Heart J*. 2026;47:3625-3635. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf877
294. Sjalander S, et al. Atrial fibrillation patients do not benefit from acetylsalicylic acid. *Europace*. 2014;16:631-638. doi: 10.1093/europace/eut333
295. Ben Freedman S, et al. Misperceptions of aspirin efficacy and safety may perpetuate anticoagulant underutilization in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:653-656. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu494
296. Lopes RD, et al. Apixaban vs Aspirin According to CHA2DS2-VASc Score in Subclinical Atrial Fibrillation: Insights From ARTESiA. *JACC*. 2024.
297. Schaefer JK, et al. Adverse Events Associated With the Addition of Aspirin to Direct Oral Anticoagulant Therapy Without a Clear Indication. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2021;181:817-824. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.1197
298. Connolly S, et al. Clopidogrel plus aspirin versus oral anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation in the Atrial fibrillation Clopidogrel Trial with Irbesartan for prevention of Vascular Events (ACTIVE W): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2006;367:1903-1912. doi: S0140-6736(06)68845-4 [pii]
- 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68845-4
299. Bjorck F, et al. Outcomes in a Warfarin-Treated Population With Atrial Fibrillation. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2016;1:172-180. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.0199
300. Furie B. Do pharmacogenetics have a role in the dosing of vitamin K antagonists? *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369:2345-2346. doi: 10.1056/NEJMe1313682
301. Azoulay L, et al. Initiation of warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: early effects on ischaemic strokes. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1881-1887. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehf499
302. Weijs B, et al. Patients using vitamin K antagonists show increased levels of coronary calcification: an observational study in low-risk atrial fibrillation patients. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:2555-2562. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehr226
- ehr226 [pii]
303. Bohm M, et al. Changes in Renal Function in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: An Analysis From the RE-LY Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:2481-2493. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.577
304. Connolly SJ, et al. Benefit of oral anticoagulant over antiplatelet therapy in atrial fibrillation depends on the quality of international normalized ratio control achieved by centers and countries as measured by time in therapeutic range. *Circulation*. 2008;118:2029-2037. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.750000
305. Apostolakis S, et al. Factors affecting quality of anticoagulation control among patients with atrial fibrillation on warfarin: the SAME-TT(2)R(2) score. *Chest*. 2013;144:1555-1563. doi: 10.1378/chest.13-0054
306. Guyatt GH, et al. Executive summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141:7S-47S. doi: 10.1378/chest.1412S3
- 141/2_suppl/7S [pii]
307. Tomaselli GF, et al. 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on Management of Bleeding in Patients on Oral Anticoagulants: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:3042-3067. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.09.1085
308. Garcia DA, et al. Reversal of warfarin: case-based practice recommendations. *Circulation*. 2012;125:2944-2947. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.081489
- 125/23/2944 [pii]
309. Hickey M, et al. Outcomes of urgent warfarin reversal with frozen plasma versus prothrombin complex concentrate in the emergency department. *Circulation*. 2013;128:360-364. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.001875
- CIRCULATIONAHA.113.001875 [pii]
310. Sarode R, et al. Efficacy and safety of a 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate in patients on vitamin K antagonists presenting with major bleeding: a randomized, plasma-controlled, phase IIIb study. *Circulation*. 2013;128:1234-1243. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002283
311. Malik AH, et al. Meta-Analysis of Direct-Acting Oral Anticoagulants Compared With Warfarin in Patients >75 Years of Age. *Am J Cardiol*. 2019;123:2051-2057. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2019.02.060
312. Carnicelli AP, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants Versus Warfarin in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: Patient-Level Network Meta-Analyses of Randomized Clinical Trials With Interaction Testing by Age and Sex. *Circulation*. 2022;145:242-255. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056355
313. Steffel J, et al. 2021 European Heart Rhythm Association Practical Guide on the Use of Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *Europace*. 2021;23:1612-1676. doi: 10.1093/europace/euab065
314. Camm AJ, et al. XANTUS: a real-world, prospective, observational study of patients treated with rivaroxaban for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:1145-1153. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv466
- ehv466 [pii]
315. Ji-Young Lee C, et al. Risk of Myocardial Infarction in Anticoagulated Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC*. 2018.
316. De Caterina R, et al. Vitamin K antagonists and osteoporotic fractures: insights from comparisons with the NOACs. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:1109-1111. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa077

317. Sharma M, et al. Efficacy and Harms of Direct Oral Anticoagulants in the Elderly for Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation and Secondary Prevention of Venous Thromboembolism: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Circulation*. 2015;132:194-204. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013267
318. Lau WCY, et al. Comparative Effectiveness and Safety Between Apixaban, Dabigatran, Edoxaban, and Rivaroxaban Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation : A Multinational Population-Based Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2022;175:1515-1524. doi: 10.7326/M22-0511
319. Nicolau AM, et al. Outcomes in Older Patients After Switching to a Newer Anticoagulant or Remaining on Warfarin: The COMBINE-AF Substudy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2025;86:426-439. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2025.05.060
320. Zelniker TA, et al. Comparison of the Efficacy and Safety Outcomes of Edoxaban in 8040 Women Versus 13 065 Men With Atrial Fibrillation in the ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Trial. *Circulation*. 2021;143:673-684. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.052216
321. Al Said S, et al. Systemic Embolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation: An Individual Patient Data Meta-analysis of 71 683 Participants Randomized to NOAC Versus Warfarin. *Circulation*. 2026;153:567-575. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.125.075275
322. Kim JY, et al. Outcomes of Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Patients With Mitral Stenosis. *JACC*. 2019.
323. Dawwas GK, et al. Effectiveness and Safety of Direct Oral Anticoagulants Versus Warfarin in Patients With Valvular Atrial Fibrillation : A Population-Based Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2021. doi: 10.7326/M20-6194
324. Connolly SJ, et al. Rivaroxaban in Rheumatic Heart Disease-Associated Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2022. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2209051
325. Bennaghmouch N, et al. The Efficacy and Safety of the Use of Non-Vitamin-K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants in Patients with Non-Valvular Atrial Fibrillation and Concomitant Aspirin Therapy: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. *Circulation*. 2017. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.028513
326. Okumura K, et al. Low-Dose Edoxaban in Very Elderly Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383:1735-1745. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2012883
327. Joosten LPT, et al. Safety of Switching From a Vitamin K Antagonist to a Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulant in Frail Older Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: Results of the FRAIL-AF Randomized Controlled Trial. *Circulation*. 2024;149:279-289. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.066485
328. Stanifer JW, et al. Apixaban Versus Warfarin in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease. *Circulation*. 2020;141:1384-1392. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.044059
329. Chan KE, et al. Dabigatran and rivaroxaban use in atrial fibrillation patients on hemodialysis. *Circulation*. 2015;131:972-979. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014113
330. Mavrakanas TA, et al. Apixaban Pharmacokinetics at Steady State in Hemodialysis Patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017;28:2241-2248. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016090980
331. Yao X, et al. Renal Outcomes in Anticoagulated Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:2621-2632. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.09.1087
332. Douros A, et al. Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants and Risk of Serious Liver Injury. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018.
333. Lee S-R, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Liver Disease. *JACC*. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.04.052
334. Lee SR, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Liver Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:3295-3308. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.04.052
335. Qamar A, et al. Oral Anticoagulation in Patients With Liver Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018.
336. Vranckx P, et al. Significance of drug-drug and drug-food interactions of oral anticoagulation. *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev*. 2018.
337. Pokorney SD, et al. Oral Anticoagulation and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and End-Stage Renal Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75:1299-1308. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.01.019
338. Rahman AA, et al. Concomitant Use of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors With Oral Anticoagulants and Risk of Major Bleeding. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2024;7:e243208. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.3208
339. Testa S, et al. Direct oral anticoagulant plasma levels' striking increase in severe COVID-19 respiratory syndrome patients treated with antiviral agents: The Cremona experience. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18:1320-1323. doi: 10.1111/jth.14871
340. Connolly SJ, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:1139-1151. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0905561
NEJMoa0905561 [pii]
341. Connolly SJ, et al. The Long-Term Multicenter Observational Study of Dabigatran Treatment in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation (RELY-ABLE) Study. *Circulation*. 2013;128:237-243. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.001139
342. Ezekowitz MD, et al. Comparison of Dabigatran and Warfarin in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Valvular Heart Disease: The RE-LY Trial (Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulant Therapy). *Circulation*. 2016;134:589-598. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.020950
343. Eikelboom JW, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with mechanical heart valves. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369:1206-1214. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1300615
344. Ezekowitz MD, et al. Dabigatran with or without concomitant aspirin compared with warfarin alone in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (PETRO Study). *Am J Cardiol*. 2007;100:1419-1426. doi: S0002-9149(07)01461-0 [pii]
10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.06.034

345. Hernandez I, et al. Risk of bleeding with dabigatran in atrial fibrillation. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2015;175:18-24. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.5398
- 1921753 [pii]
346. Chan YH, et al. Acute Kidney Injury in Asians With Atrial Fibrillation Treated With Dabigatran or Warfarin. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:2272-2283. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.08.063
347. Larsen TB, et al. Efficacy and safety of dabigatran etexilate and warfarin in "real-world" patients with atrial fibrillation: a prospective nationwide cohort study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:2264-2273. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.03.020
348. Diener HC, et al. Choosing a particular oral anticoagulant and dose for stroke prevention in individual patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation: part 1. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;852-859. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw069
349. van Es N, et al. Reversal agents for current and forthcoming direct oral anticoagulants. *Eur Heart J.* 2023;44:1795-1806. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad123
350. Van der Wall SJ, et al. Idarucizumab for Dabigatran Reversal in the Management of Patients With Gastrointestinal Bleeding. *Circulation.* 2019;139:748-756. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.036710
351. Gómez-Outes A, et al. Meta-Analysis of Reversal Agents for Severe Bleeding Associated With Direct Oral Anticoagulants. *JACC.* 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.04.061
352. Dorian P, et al. Cost-effectiveness of apixaban vs. current standard of care for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:1897-1906. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu006
353. Fralick M, et al. Effectiveness and Safety of Apixaban Compared With Rivaroxaban for Patients With Atrial Fibrillation in Routine Practice: A Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020. doi: 10.7326/M19-2522
354. Granger CB, et al. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365:981-992. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoal107039
355. Al-Khatib SM, et al. Outcomes of apixaban vs. warfarin by type and duration of atrial fibrillation: results from the ARISTOTLE trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34:2464-2471. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh135
- eh135 [pii]
356. Avezum A, et al. Apixaban in Comparison With Warfarin in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Valvular Heart Disease: Findings From the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation (ARISTOTLE) Trial. *Circulation.* 2015;132:624-632. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014807
357. Alexander JH, et al. Apixaban vs. warfarin with concomitant aspirin in patients with atrial fibrillation: insights from the ARISTOTLE trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:224-232. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh145
358. Halvorsen S, et al. Efficacy and safety of apixaban compared with warfarin according to age for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: observations from the ARISTOTLE trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:1864-1872. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu046
359. Flaker G, et al. Efficacy and safety of apixaban in patients after cardioversion for atrial fibrillation: insights from the ARISTOTLE Trial (Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63:1082-1087. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.09.062
360. Alexander JH, et al. Apixaban 5 mg Twice Daily and Clinical Outcomes in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Advanced Age, Low Body Weight, or High Creatinine: A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2016;1:673-681. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.1829
361. Xu Y, et al. Associations of Apixaban Dose With Safety and Effectiveness Outcomes in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Severe Chronic Kidney Disease. *Circulation.* 2023;148:1445-1454. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.065614
362. Verheugt FW, et al. Oral anticoagulants for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: current status, special situations, and unmet needs. *Lancet.* 2015;386:303-310. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60245-8
363. Cao D, et al. Reversal and removal of oral antithrombotic drugs in patients with active or perceived imminent bleeding. *Eur Heart J.* 2023;44:1780-1794. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad119
364. Milling TJ, Jr., et al. Final Study Report of Andexanet Alfa for Major Bleeding With Factor Xa Inhibitors. *Circulation.* 2023;147:1026-1038. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057844
365. Ansell J, et al. Ciraparantag Reverses Apixaban and Rivaroxaban Activity in Healthy Older People *Eur Heart J.* 2021.
366. Sherwood MW, et al. Gastrointestinal Bleeding in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Treated With Rivaroxaban or Warfarin: ROCKET AF Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;66:2271-2281. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.09.024
367. Halperin JL, et al. Efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban compared with warfarin among elderly patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation in the Rivaroxaban Once Daily, Oral, Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared With Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation (ROCKET AF). *Circulation.* 2014;130:138-146. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005008
368. Graham DJ, et al. Stroke, Bleeding, and Mortality Risks in Elderly Medicare Beneficiaries Treated With Dabigatran or Rivaroxaban for Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176:1662-1671. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.5954
- 2560376 [pii]
369. Okumura K, et al. Low-Dose Edoxaban in Very Elderly Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *NEJM.* 2020.
370. Steffel J, et al. Edoxaban vs. warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation on amiodarone: a subgroup analysis of the ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36:2239-2245. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv201
371. O'Donoghue ML, et al. Edoxaban vs. warfarin in vitamin K antagonist experienced and naive patients with atrial fibrillation dagger. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36:1470-1477. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv014
372. Connolly SJ, et al. Betrixaban compared with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: results of a phase 2, randomized, dose-ranging study (Explore-Xa). *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34:1498-1505. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh1039

- eht039 [pii]
373. Ruff CT, et al. Transition of patients from blinded study drug to open-label anticoagulation: the ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:576-584. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.05.028
- S0735-1097(14)02842-3 [pii]
374. Raval AN, et al. Management of Patients on Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants in the Acute Care and Periprocedural Setting: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000477
 375. Greco A, et al. Pharmacology and Clinical Development of Factor XI Inhibitors. *Circulation*. 2023;147:897-913. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.062353
 376. Piccini JP, et al. Asundexian versus Apixaban in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *NEJM*. 2024.
 377. Al Said S, et al. Abelaclimab Versus Rivaroxaban in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation on Antiplatelet Therapy: A Prespecified Analysis of the AZALEA-TIMI 71 Trial. *Circulation*. 2025;152:290-296. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.125.074037
 378. Doherty JU, et al. 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Periprocedural Management of Anticoagulation in Patients With Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.11.024
 379. Siegal D, et al. Periprocedural heparin bridging in patients receiving vitamin K antagonists: systematic review and meta-analysis of bleeding and thromboembolic rates. *Circulation*. 2012;126:1630-1639. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.105221 [pii]
- 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.105221
380. Steinberg BA, et al. Use and outcomes associated with bridging during anticoagulation interruptions in patients with atrial fibrillation: findings from the Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation (ORBIT-AF). *Circulation*. 2015;131:488-494. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.011777
 381. Douketis JD, et al. Perioperative Bridging Anticoagulation in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:823-833. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501035
 382. Di Biase L, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy for Patients Undergoing Cardiac Electrophysiological and Interventional Procedures. *JACC*. 2024.
 383. Birnie DH, et al. Pacemaker or defibrillator surgery without interruption of anticoagulation. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:2084-2093. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1302946
 384. Birnie DH, et al. Management of anticoagulation around pacemaker and defibrillator surgery. *Circulation*. 2014;129:2062-2065. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.006027
 385. Birnie DH, et al. Continued vs. interrupted direct oral anticoagulants at the time of device surgery, in patients with moderate to high risk of arterial thrombo-embolic events (BRUISE CONTROL-2). *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:3973-3979. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy413
 386. Beyer-Westendorf J, et al. Peri-interventional management of novel oral anticoagulants in daily care: results from the prospective Dresden NOAC registry. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1888-1896. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv557
- eht557 [pii]
387. Healey JS, et al. Periprocedural bleeding and thromboembolic events with dabigatran compared with warfarin: results from the Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy (RE-LY) randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2012;126:343-348. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.090464
- CIRCULATIONAHA.111.090464 [pii]
388. Patel MR, et al. Outcomes of discontinuing rivaroxaban compared with warfarin in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: analysis from the ROCKET AF trial (Rivaroxaban Once-Daily, Oral, Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared With Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:651-658. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.09.057
- S0735-1097(12)05667-7 [pii]
389. Roldan V, et al. Predictive value of the HAS-BLED and ATRIA bleeding scores for the risk of serious bleeding in a "real-world" population with atrial fibrillation receiving anticoagulant therapy. *Chest*. 2013;143:179-184. doi: 10.1378/chest.12-0608
- 10.1378/chest.12-0608
390. O'Brien EC, et al. The ORBIT bleeding score: a simple bedside score to assess bleeding risk in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:3258-3264. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv476
 391. Hijazi Z, et al. The novel biomarker-based ABC (age, biomarkers, clinical history)-bleeding risk score for patients with atrial fibrillation: a derivation and validation study. *Lancet*. 2016;387:2302-2311. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00741-8
 392. Aggarwal R, et al. Development and Validation of the DOAC Score: A Novel Bleeding Risk Prediction Tool for Patients With Atrial Fibrillation on Direct-Acting Oral Anticoagulants. *Circulation*. 2023;148:936-946. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.064556
 393. Kent AP, et al. Concomitant Oral Anticoagulant and Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug Therapy in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:255-267. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.04.063
 394. O'Brien EC, et al. Prognostic Significance of Nuisance Bleeding in Anticoagulated Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2018. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.031354
 395. Grewal K, et al. Bleeding and New Malignancy Diagnoses After Anticoagulation for Atrial Fibrillation: A Population-Based Cohort Study. *Circulation*. 2025;151:773-782. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.124.070865
 396. Halvorsen S, et al. Management of antithrombotic therapy after bleeding in patients with coronary artery disease and/or atrial fibrillation: expert consensus paper of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Thrombosis. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1455-1462. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw454

397. Benz AP, et al. Outcomes of patients with atrial fibrillation and ischemic stroke while on oral anticoagulation. *Eur Heart J*. 2023;44:1807-1814. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad200
 398. Powers WJ, et al. 2018 Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2018. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000158
 399. Patel P, et al. Hyperacute Management of Ischemic Strokes. *JACC*. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.03.006
 400. Lanzer P, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy 2020: open issues *Eur Heart J*. 2020. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/suaa161
 401. Albers GW, et al. Thrombectomy for Stroke at 6 to 16 Hours with Selection by Perfusion Imaging. *N Engl J Med*. 2018.
 402. Campbell BCV, et al. Extending thrombolysis to 4.5-9 h and wake-up stroke using perfusion imaging: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet*. 2019. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31053-0
 403. Anderson CS, et al. Safety and efficacy of low-intensity versus standard monitoring following intravenous thrombolytic treatment in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (OPTIMISTmain): an international, pragmatic, stepped-wedge, cluster-randomised, controlled non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*. 2025. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(25)00549-5
 404. Seiffge DJ, et al. Recanalization therapies in acute ischemic stroke patients: impact of prior treatment with novel oral anticoagulants on bleeding complications and outcome. *Circulation*. 2015;132:1261-1269. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015484
- CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015484 [pii]
405. Xian Y, et al. Use of Intravenous Recombinant Tissue Plasminogen Activator in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke Who Take Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants Before Stroke. *Circulation*. 2017;135:1024-1035. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.023940
 406. Tao C, et al. Early Tirofiban Infusion after Intravenous Thrombolysis for Stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2025. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2503678
 407. Ma H, et al. Thrombolysis Guided by Perfusion Imaging up to 9 Hours after Onset of Stroke. *NEJM*. 2019;380:1795-1803. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1813046
 408. Parsons M, et al. A randomized trial of tenecteplase versus alteplase for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366:1099-1107. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1109842
 409. Huang X, et al. Alteplase versus tenecteplase for thrombolysis after ischaemic stroke (ATTEST): a phase 2, randomised, open-label, blinded endpoint study. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2015;14:368-376. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(15)70017-7
 410. Li SJ, et al. Reteplase versus Alteplase for Acute Ischemic Stroke. *NEJM*. 2024.
 411. Riedel CH, et al. The importance of size: successful recanalization by intravenous thrombolysis in acute anterior stroke depends on thrombus length. *Stroke*. 2011;42:1775-1777. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.609693
 412. Xiong Y, et al. Tenecteplase for Ischemic Stroke at 4.5 to 24 Hours without Thrombectomy. *N Engl J Med*. 2024;391:203-212. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2402980
 413. Lin CH, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy in acute stroke with a large ischemic core: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS Med*. 2025;22:e1004484. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1004484
 414. Qiu Z, et al. Intravenous Tenecteplase before Thrombectomy in Stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2025. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2503867
 415. Jauch EC, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2013;44:870-947. doi: 10.1161/STR.0b013e318284056a
 416. Investigators ET, et al. Efficacy of nitric oxide, with or without continuing antihypertensive treatment, for management of high blood pressure in acute stroke (ENOS): a partial-factorial randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385:617-628. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61121-1
 417. Fischer U, et al. Early versus Later Anticoagulation for Stroke with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2023. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2303048
 418. Rohner R, et al. Early Versus Late Initiation of Direct Oral Anticoagulants After Ischemic Stroke in People With Atrial Fibrillation and Hemorrhagic Transformation: Prespecified Subanalysis of the Randomized-controlled ELAN Trial. *Circulation*. 2024. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.124.069324
 419. Kumbhani DJ, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Primary and Secondary Prevention of Thrombotic Events: 2026 ACC Scientific Statement: A Report of the American College of Cardiology. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2026. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2026.05.033
 420. Kernan WN, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2014;45:2160-2236. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000024
 421. Wang Y, et al. Clopidogrel With Aspirin in Acute Minor Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack (CHANCE) Trial: One-Year Outcomes. *Circulation*. 2015;132:40-46. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014791
 422. Jorgensen ME, et al. Time elapsed after ischemic stroke and risk of adverse cardiovascular events and mortality following elective noncardiac surgery. *JAMA*. 2014;312:269-277. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.8165
- 1887763 [pii]
423. Tsivgoulis G, et al. Direct oral anticoagulant- vs vitamin K antagonist-related nontraumatic intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology*. 2017;89:1142-1151. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000004362
 424. DeSimone CV, et al. Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy: Diagnosis, Clinical Implications, and Management Strategies in Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:1173-1182. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.07.724

425. Frontera JA, et al. Guideline for Reversal of Antithrombotics in Intracranial Hemorrhage: Executive Summary. A Statement for Healthcare Professionals From the Neurocritical Care Society and the Society of Critical Care Medicine. *Crit Care Med*. 2016;44:2251-2257. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000002057
426. 2013 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy. . *Eur Heart J* 2013;doi:10.1093/eurheartj/eh1150.
427. Baharoglu MI, et al. Platelet transfusion versus standard care after acute stroke due to spontaneous cerebral haemorrhage associated with antiplatelet therapy (PATCH): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387:2605-2613. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30392-0
428. Sprigg N, et al. Tranexamic acid for hyperacute primary IntraCerebral Haemorrhage (TICH-2): an international randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 superiority trial. *The Lancet*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31033-X
429. Schrag M, et al. Management of Intracerebral Hemorrhage. *JACC*. 2020.
430. Hemphill JC, 3rd, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2015;46:2032-2060. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000069
431. Anderson CS, et al. Rapid blood-pressure lowering in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:2355-2365. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1214609
432. Kuramatsu JB, et al. Anticoagulant reversal, blood pressure levels, and anticoagulant resumption in patients with anticoagulation-related intracerebral hemorrhage. *JAMA*. 2015;313:824-836. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.0846
433. Nielsen PB, et al. Restarting Anticoagulant Treatment After Intracranial Hemorrhage in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and the Impact on Recurrent Stroke, Mortality, and Bleeding: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Circulation*. 2015;132:517-525. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015735
CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015735 [pii]
434. Kuramatsu JB, et al. Management of therapeutic anticoagulation in patients with intracerebral haemorrhage and mechanical heart valves. *Eur Heart J*. 2018. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy056
435. Chao TF, et al. Use of Oral Anticoagulants for Stroke Prevention in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Who Have a History of Intracranial Hemorrhage. *Circulation*. 2016;133:1540-1547. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.019794
CIRCULATIONAHA.115.019794 [pii]
436. Russo AM, et al. Association Between Sex and Treatment Outcomes of Atrial Fibrillation Ablation Versus Drug Therapy: Results From the CABANA Trial. *Circulation*. 2021;143:661-672. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.051558
437. Prabhu S, et al. Catheter Ablation Versus Medical Rate control in Atrial Fibrillation and Systolic Dysfunction (CAMERA-MRI). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.08.041
438. Siontis KC, et al. Radiofrequency Ablation Versus Antiarrhythmic Drug Therapy for Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology*. 2016;2:170-118. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2015.10.003
439. Calkins H, et al. Treatment of atrial fibrillation with antiarrhythmic drugs or radiofrequency ablation: two systematic literature reviews and meta-analyses. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2009;2:349-361. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.108.824789
CIRCEP.108.824789 [pii]
440. Nielsen JC, et al. Long-term efficacy of catheter ablation as first-line therapy for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: 5-year outcome in a randomised clinical trial. *Heart*. 2016. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2016-309781
441. Mont L, et al. Catheter ablation vs. antiarrhythmic drug treatment of persistent atrial fibrillation: a multicentre, randomized, controlled trial (SARA study). *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:501-507. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh1457
442. Packer DL, et al. Effect of Catheter Ablation vs Antiarrhythmic Drug Therapy on Mortality, Stroke, Bleeding, and Cardiac Arrest Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: The CABANA Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2019. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.0693
443. Camm AJ. Left atrial ablation for management of atrial fibrillation: CABANA vs. real-world data. Apples and oranges? *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:1265-1267. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz168
444. Pocock SJ, et al. Statistical Appraisal of 6 Recent Clinical Trials in Cardiology: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:2740-2755. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.03.484
445. Noseworthy PA, et al. Atrial fibrillation ablation in practice: assessing CABANA generalizability. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:1257-1264. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz085
446. Poole JE, et al. Recurrence of Atrial Fibrillation After Catheter Ablation or Antiarrhythmic Drug Therapy in the CABANA Trial. *JACC*. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.04.065
447. Griffiths JR, et al. Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation in Adult Congenital Heart Disease: An International Multicenter Registry Study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2022;15:e010954. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.122.010954
448. Wazni OM, et al. Cryoballoon Ablation as Initial Therapy for Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2029554
449. Kuniss M, et al. Cryoballoon ablation vs. antiarrhythmic drugs: first-line therapy for patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2021;23:1033-1041. doi: 10.1093/europace/euab029
450. Andrade JG, et al. Progression of Atrial Fibrillation after Cryoablation or Drug Therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;388:105-116. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2212540
451. Dulai R, et al. Pulmonary Vein Isolation vs Sham Intervention in Symptomatic Atrial Fibrillation: The SHAM-PVI Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2024;332:1165-1173. doi: 10.1001/jama.2024.17921
452. Osmancik P, et al. Pulsed Field Ablation Versus Sham to Treat Atrial Fibrillation: The PFA-SHAM Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation*. 2026;153:1984-1998. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.126.079484

453. Wachter R, et al. Catheter ablation for symptomatic atrial fibrillation (PVI-SHAM-AF): a randomised, double-blind, sham-controlled, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2026;408:999-1009. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(26)01558-8
454. Wazni OM, et al. Pulsed Field Ablation as Initial Therapy for Persistent Atrial Fibrillation. *NEJM*. 2026.
455. Kuck KH, et al. Catheter ablation or medical therapy to delay progression of atrial fibrillation: the randomized controlled atrial fibrillation progression trial (ATTEST). *Europace*. 2021;23:362-369. doi: 10.1093/europace/euaa298
456. Sicouri S, et al. Comparison of electrophysiological and antiarrhythmic effects of vernakalant, ranolazine, and sotalol in canine pulmonary vein sleeve preparations. *Heart Rhythm* 2012 422-429.
457. Sicouri S, et al. Potent antiarrhythmic effects of chronic amiodarone in canine pulmonary vein sleeve preparations. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2009:803-810.
458. Turagam MK, et al. Assessment of Catheter Ablation or Antiarrhythmic Drugs for First-line Therapy of Atrial Fibrillation: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2021. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2021.0852
459. Chen S, et al. Catheter ablation vs. antiarrhythmic drugs as 'first-line' initial therapy for atrial fibrillation: a pooled analysis of randomized data. *Europace*. 2021;23:1950-1960. doi: 10.1093/europace/euab185
460. Friberg L, et al. Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation is associated with lower incidence of stroke and death: data from Swedish health registries. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:2478-2487. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw087
461. Gaita F, et al. Very long-term outcome following transcatheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. Are results maintained after 10 years of follow up? *Europace*. 2018;20:443-450. doi: 10.1093/europace/eux008
462. Tilz RR, et al. Ten-Year Clinical Outcome After Circumferential Pulmonary Vein Isolation Utilizing the Hamburg Approach in Patients With Symptomatic Drug-Refractory Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2018;11:e005250. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.117.005250
463. Bertaglia E, et al. Twelve-year follow-up of catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation: A prospective, multicenter, randomized study. *Heart rhythm*. 2017;14:486-492. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2016.12.023
464. Gunawardene MA, et al. 20 Years: Clinical Outcome After Pulmonary Vein Isolation in Patients With Symptomatic Drug-Refractory Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*. 2026;12:1064-1075. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2025.12.038
465. Omran H, et al. Second generation cryoballoon ablation for persistent atrial fibrillation: an updated meta-analysis. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2018;107:182-192. doi: 10.1007/s00392-017-1171-5
466. Voskoboinik A, et al. Revisiting pulmonary vein isolation alone for persistent atrial fibrillation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart rhythm*. 2017;14:661-667. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.01.003
467. Reddy VY, et al. Pulsed Field Ablation of Persistent Atrial Fibrillation With Continuous Electrocardiographic Monitoring Follow-Up: ADVANTAGE AF Phase 2. *Circulation*. 2025;152:27-40. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.125.074485
468. Crowley R, et al. Catheter ablation for persistent atrial fibrillation: patterns of recurrence and impact on quality of life and health care utilization. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:2604-2616. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae291
469. Pallisgaard JL, et al. Temporal trends in atrial fibrillation recurrence rates after ablation between 2005 and 2014: a nationwide Danish cohort study. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:442-449. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx466
470. Marrouche NF, et al. Association of atrial tissue fibrosis identified by delayed enhancement MRI and atrial fibrillation catheter ablation: the DECAAF study. *JAMA*. 2014;311:498-506. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.3
471. Vermeer J, et al. Improving outcomes of atrial fibrillation ablation by integrated personalized lifestyle interventions: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2026;47:669-679. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf689
472. Andrade JG, et al. Cryoballoon or Radiofrequency Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation Assessed by Continuous Monitoring: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation*. 2019;140:1779-1788. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.042622
473. Kuck KH, et al. Cryoballoon or Radiofrequency Ablation for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:2235-2245. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1602014
474. Sorensen SK, et al. Radiofrequency Versus Cryoballoon Catheter Ablation for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation: Durability of Pulmonary Vein Isolation and Effect on Atrial Fibrillation Burden: The RACE-AF Randomized Controlled Trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2021;14:e009573. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.120.009573
475. Luik A, et al. Cryoballoon Versus Open Irrigated Radiofrequency Ablation in Patients With Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation: The Prospective, Randomized, Controlled, Noninferiority FreezeAF Study. *Circulation*. 2015;132:1311-1319. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.016871
476. Andrade JG, et al. Atrial fibrillation progression after cryoablation vs. radiofrequency ablation: the CIRCA-DOSE trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:510-518. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad572
477. Cho MS, et al. Complications associated with pulsed field ablation vs radiofrequency catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Heart rhythm*. 2025;22:2194-2200. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2024.10.063
478. Reddy VY, et al. Pulsed Field or Conventional Thermal Ablation for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;389:1660-1671. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2307291
479. Reichlin T, et al. Pulsed Field or Cryoballoon Ablation for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2025. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2502280
480. Ariyaratnam JP, et al. Coronary Sinus Isolation for High-Burden Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*. 2025;11:1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2024.09.017
481. Castro-Urda V, et al. Superior Vena Cava Isolation With Cryoballoon in AF Ablation: Randomized CAVAC AF Trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2024:e012917. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.124.012917

482. Kim D, et al. Cryoballoon Pulmonary Vein Isolation With Versus Without Additional Right Atrial Linear Ablation for Persistent Atrial Fibrillation: The CRALAL Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2024:e013408. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.124.013408
483. Schmidt B, et al. Ablation Strategies for Repeat Procedures in Atrial Fibrillation Recurrences Despite Durable Pulmonary Vein Isolation: The Prospective Randomized ASTRO AF Multicenter Trial. *Circulation.* 2024;150:2007-2018. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.124.069993
484. Sau A, et al. Temporal Trends and Lesion Sets for Persistent Atrial Fibrillation Ablation: A Meta-Analysis With Trial Sequential Analysis and Meta-Regression. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2023;16:e011861. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.123.011861
485. Junarta J, et al. Low-voltage area substrate modification for atrial fibrillation ablation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Europace.* 2022;24:1585-1598. doi: 10.1093/europace/euac089
486. Marrouche NF, et al. Effect of MRI-Guided Fibrosis Ablation vs Conventional Catheter Ablation on Atrial Arrhythmia Recurrence in Patients With Persistent Atrial Fibrillation: The DECAAF II Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2022;327:2296-2305. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.8831
487. Kistler PM, et al. Effect of Catheter Ablation Using Pulmonary Vein Isolation With vs Without Posterior Left Atrial Wall Isolation on Atrial Arrhythmia Recurrence in Patients With Persistent Atrial Fibrillation: The CAPLA Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2023;329:127-135. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.23722
488. Lepillier A, et al. Pulmonary vein isolation and low-voltage area ablation in sinus rhythm for persistent atrial fibrillation: The SCAR-AF randomized trial. *Heart rhythm.* 2025;22:e858-e865. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2025.05.064
489. Katritsis D, et al. Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology. A practical Guide. *Elsevier.* 2021.
490. Derval N, et al. Marshall-Plan Ablation Strategy Versus Pulmonary Vein Isolation in Persistent AF: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2025;18:e013427. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.124.013427
491. Darkner S, et al. Recurrence of arrhythmia following short-term oral AMIODARONE after CATHETER ablation for atrial fibrillation: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study (AMIO-CAT trial). *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:3356-3364. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu354
- ehu354 [pii]
492. Calkins H, et al. Predictive value of atrial fibrillation during the postradiofrequency ablation blanking period. *Heart rhythm.* 2021;18:366-373. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.11.020
493. Pearman CM, et al. Minimally Invasive Epicardial Surgical Ablation Alone Versus Hybrid Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev.* 2017;6:202-209. doi: 10.15420/aer/2017.29.2
494. van der Heijden CAJ, et al. Hybrid versus catheter ablation in patients with persistent and longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis dagger. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2019;56:433-443. doi: 10.1093/ejcts/ezy475
495. DeLurgio DB, et al. Hybrid Convergent Procedure for the Treatment of Persistent and Long-Standing Persistent Atrial Fibrillation: Results of CONVERGE Clinical Trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2020;13:e009288. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.120.009288
496. Ellenbogen KA, et al. Dual Epicardial and Endocardial Procedure (DEEP) for Persistent or Longstanding Persistent Atrial Fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2025:e013692. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.125.013692
497. van der Heijden CAJ, et al. Hybrid Ablation Versus Repeated Catheter Ablation in Persistent Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol.* 2023;9:1013-1023. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2022.12.011
498. Pathak RK, et al. Aggressive risk factor reduction study for atrial fibrillation and implications for the outcome of ablation: the ARREST-AF cohort study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:2222-2231. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.09.028
499. Maduray K, et al. Benefits and Risks Associated with Long-term Oral Anticoagulation after Successful Atrial Fibrillation Catheter Ablation: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* 2022;28:10760296221118480. doi: 10.1177/10760296221118480
500. Kim D, et al. Long-Term Anticoagulation Discontinuation After Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation: The ALONE-AF Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2025;334:1246-1254. doi: 10.1001/jama.2025.14679
501. Verma A, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy after Successful Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation. *NEJM.* 2025.
502. Benali K, et al. Procedure-Related Complications of Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC.* 2023.
503. Tzeis S, et al. European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)/Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)/Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS)/Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS) expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Heart rhythm.* 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2024.03.017
504. Tan MC, et al. Causes of Early Mortality After Catheter Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2023:e011365. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.122.011365
505. Turagam MK, et al. Multicenter Study on the Safety of Pulsed Field Ablation in Over 40,000 Patients: MANIFEST-US. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2026;87:172-193. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2025.10.051
506. Yadav R, et al. Pericarditis After Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation: Predictors and Outcomes. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol.* 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2023.09.026
507. Michowitz Y, et al. Effects of sex on the incidence of cardiac tamponade after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: results from a worldwide survey in 34 943 atrial fibrillation ablation procedures. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2014;7:274-280. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.113.000760
508. Kirchhof P, et al. Apixaban in patients at risk of stroke undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:2942-2955. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy176
509. Al-Kaisey AM, et al. Impact of Catheter Ablation on Cognitive Function in Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Control Trial. *JACC EP.* 2023.

510. Haeusler KG, et al. MRI-Detected Brain Lesions and Cognitive Function in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing Left Atrial Catheter Ablation in the Randomized AXAFA-AFNET 5 Trial. *Circulation*. 2022;145:906-915. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056320
511. Tilz RR, et al. A worldwide survey on incidence, management, and prognosis of oesophageal fistula formation following atrial fibrillation catheter ablation: the POTTER-AF study. *Eur Heart J*. 2023;44:2458-2469. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad250
512. Chugh A, et al. Manifestations of coronary arterial injury during catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation and related arrhythmias. *Heart rhythm*. 2013;10:1638-1645. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2013.09.001
513. Wasmer K, et al. Incidence, characteristics, and outcome of left atrial tachycardias after circumferential antral ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Heart rhythm*. 2012;9:1660-1666. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2012.06.007
- S1547-5271(12)00600-5 [pii]
514. Heeger CH, et al. Phrenic Nerve Injury During Cryoballoon-Based Pulmonary Vein Isolation: Results of the Worldwide YETI Registry. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2021:CIRCEP121010516. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.121.010516
515. Verma N, et al. Bronchial effects of cryoballoon ablation for atrial fibrillation. *Heart rhythm*. 2017;14:12-16. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2016.10.012
516. Koruth JS, et al. Pulsed Field Ablation Versus Radiofrequency Ablation: Esophageal Injury in a Novel Porcine Model. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2020;13:e008303. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.119.008303
517. Venier S, et al. Severe acute kidney injury related to haemolysis after pulsed field ablation for atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2023;26. doi: 10.1093/europace/euad371
518. Popa MA, et al. Characterization and Clinical Significance of Hemolysis After Pulsed Field Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation: Results of a Multicenter Analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2024:e012732. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.124.012732
519. Mohanty S, et al. Acute Kidney Injury Resulting From Hemoglobinuria After Pulsed-Field Ablation in Atrial Fibrillation: Is it Preventable? *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*. 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2023.12.008
520. Reddy VY, et al. Coronary Arterial Spasm During Pulsed Field Ablation to Treat Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2022;146:1808-1819. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.061497
521. Castrejon-Castrejon S, et al. Stroke and systemic embolism following atrial fibrillation ablation: the EMBOL-AF Global Registry. *Eur Heart J*. 2026;47:5155-5168. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehag217
522. Ferro EG, et al. Risk of Stroke and TIA With Pulsed Field Compared With Radiofrequency Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2026;154:1170-1183. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.126.081092
523. Badhwar V, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Surgical Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2017;103:329-341. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.10.076
524. McClure GR, et al. Surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Europace*. 2018;20:1442-1450. doi: 10.1093/europace/eux336
525. Badhwar V, et al. Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation in the United States: Trends and Propensity Matched Outcomes. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2017;104:493-500. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2017.05.016
526. Fragakis N, et al. Surgical ablation for atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2012;14:1545-1552. doi: 10.1093/europace/eus081
- eus081 [pii]
527. Driessen AH, et al. Ganglion Plexus Ablation in Advanced Atrial Fibrillation: The AFACT Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:1155-1165. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.06.036
528. Haldar S, et al. Catheter ablation vs. thoracoscopic surgical ablation in long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation: CASA-AF randomized controlled trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2020. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa658
529. Landmesser U, et al. Left atrial appendage closure for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: current status and perspectives. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:2914-2932. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae398
530. Dukkipati SR, et al. Device-Related Thrombus After Left Atrial Appendage Closure: Incidence, Predictors, and Outcomes. *Circulation*. 2018. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.035090
531. Simard T, et al. Predictors of Device-Related Thrombus Following Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;78:297-313. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.04.098
532. Konig S, et al. In-hospital mortality of patients with atrial arrhythmias: insights from the German-wide Helios hospital network of 161 502 patients and 34 025 arrhythmia-related procedures. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:3947-3957. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy528
533. Samaras A, et al. Residual leaks following percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion and outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:214-229. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad828
534. Osmancik P, et al. Left Atrial Appendage Closure Versus Direct Oral Anticoagulants in High-Risk Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC*. 2020.
535. Osmancik P, et al. 4-Year Outcomes After Left Atrial Appendage Closure Versus Nonwarfarin Oral Anticoagulation for Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC*. 2022.
536. Hildick-Smith D, et al. Left atrial appendage occlusion with the Amplatzer Amulet device: full results of the prospective global observational study. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:2894-2901. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa169
537. Wazni OM, et al. Left Atrial Appendage Closure after Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2024. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2408308
538. Boersma LV, et al. Implant success and safety of left atrial appendage closure with the WATCHMAN device: peri-procedural outcomes from the EWOLUTION registry. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:2465-2474. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv730

539. Holmes DR, Jr., et al. Left Atrial Appendage Closure as an Alternative to Warfarin for Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation: A Patient-Level Meta-Analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:2614-2623. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.04.025
540. Kar S, et al. Primary Outcome Evaluation of a Next Generation Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device: Results from the PINNACLE FLX Trial. *Circulation*. 2021. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.050117
541. Landmesser U, et al. Left Atrial Appendage Closure or Medical Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2026. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2513310
542. Doshi SK, et al. Left Atrial Appendage Closure or Anticoagulation for Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2026. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2517213
543. Galea R, et al. Amulet or Watchman Device for Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure: Primary Results of the SWISS-APERO Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation*. 2022;145:724-738. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057859
544. Galea R, et al. 3-Year Clinical Outcomes Comparing the Amulet vs Watchman FLX for Left Atrial Appendage Closure in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: Results From the SWISS-APERO Randomized Clinical Trial. *JACC*. 2025.
545. Lakkireddy D, et al. 5-Year Results From the AMPLATZER Amulet Left Atrial Appendage Occluder Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2025;85:1141-1153. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2024.10.101
546. Kavinsky CJ, et al. SCAI/ACC/HRS institutional and operator requirements for left atrial appendage occlusion. *Heart rhythm*. 2016;13:e241-250. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2015.12.018
- S1547-5271(15)01533-7 [pii]
547. Masoudi FA, et al. 2015 ACC/HRS/SCAI Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion Device Societal Overview. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;66:1497-1513. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.06.028
548. Panikker S, et al. Outcomes and costs of left atrial appendage closure from randomized controlled trial and real-world experience relative to oral anticoagulation. *Eur Heart J*. 2016. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw048
549. Glikson M, et al. EHRA/EAPCI expert consensus statement on catheter-based left atrial appendage occlusion - an update. *Europace*. 2019. doi: 10.1093/europace/euz258
550. Chew DS, et al. Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion Versus Oral Anticoagulation in Atrial Fibrillation : A Decision Analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2022;175:1230-1239. doi: 10.7326/M21-4653
551. Melduni RM, et al. Impact of Left Atrial Appendage Closure During Cardiac Surgery on the Occurrence of Early Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation, Stroke, and Mortality: A Propensity Score Matched Analysis of 10,633 Patients. *Circulation*. 2016. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.021952
552. Friedman DL, et al. Association Between Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion and Readmission for Thromboembolism Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing Concomitant Cardiac Surgery. *JAMA*. 2018. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.20125
553. Yao X, et al. Association of Surgical Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion With Subsequent Stroke and Mortality Among Patients Undergoing Cardiac Surgery. *JAMA*. 2018;319:2116-2126. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.6024
554. Mahmood E, et al. Impact of Left Atrial Appendage Exclusion on Short-Term Outcomes in Isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *Circulation*. 2020;142:20-28. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.044642
555. Whitlock RP, et al. Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion during Cardiac Surgery to Prevent Stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2021. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2101897
556. Connolly SJ, et al. Oral Anticoagulation Use and Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion in LAAOS III. *Circulation*. 2023;148:1298-1304. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.060315
557. Yuan X, et al. Surgical left atrial appendage occlusion in valvular heart disease without atrial fibrillation: the OPINION trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2026;47:2440-2448. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf674
558. Reinhardt SW, et al. Anticoagulation Alone vs Anticoagulation Plus Aspirin or DAPT Following Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2024;84:889-900. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2024.05.067
559. Freixa X, et al. Low-Dose Direct Oral Anticoagulation vs Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion: The ADALA Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2024;9:922-926. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2024.2335
560. Rodes-Cabau J, et al. Short-Term Anticoagulation Versus Dual Antiplatelet Therapy for Preventing Device Thrombosis Following Left Atrial Appendage Closure: The ANDES Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation*. 2025;152:1759-1768. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.125.077469
561. Knight BP, et al. Role of permanent pacing to prevent atrial fibrillation: science advisory from the American Heart Association Council on Clinical Cardiology (Subcommittee on Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias) and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group, in collaboration with the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation*. 2005;111:240-243. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000151800.84945.47
562. Carlson MD, et al. A new pacemaker algorithm for the treatment of atrial fibrillation: results of the Atrial Dynamic Overdrive Pacing Trial (ADOPT). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;42:627-633. doi: S0735109703007800 [pii]
563. Padeletti L, et al. Combined efficacy of atrial septal lead placement and atrial pacing algorithms for prevention of paroxysmal atrial tachyarrhythmia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2003;14:1189-1195.
564. Boriani G, et al. European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) consensus document on management of arrhythmias and cardiac electronic devices in the critically ill and post-surgery patient, endorsed by endorsed by Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), Cardiac Arrhythmia Society of Southern Africa (CASSA), and Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS). *Europace*. 2018. doi: 10.1093/europace/euy110

565. Gillinov AM, et al. Rate Control versus Rhythm Control for Atrial Fibrillation after Cardiac Surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:1911-1921. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1602002
566. Chyou JY, et al. Atrial Fibrillation Occurring During Acute Hospitalization: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2023. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001133
567. Conen D, et al. Risk of stroke and other adverse outcomes in patients with perioperative atrial fibrillation 1 year after non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:2645-651. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz431
568. Benedetto U, et al. Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation and Long-Term Risk of Stroke After Isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *Circulation*. 2020;142:1320-1329. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.046940
569. Frendl G, et al. 2014 AATS guidelines for the prevention and management of perioperative atrial fibrillation and flutter for thoracic surgical procedures. Executive summary. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2014;148:772-791. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2014.06.037
570. van de Kar MRD, et al. Anticoagulation for post-operative atrial fibrillation after isolated coronary artery bypass grafting: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:2620-2630. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae267
571. Burgess DC, et al. Interventions for prevention of post-operative atrial fibrillation and its complications after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2006;27:2846-2857. doi: ehl272 [pii]
10.1093/eurheartj/ehl272
572. Gaudino M, et al. Postoperative atrial fibrillation: from mechanisms to treatment. *Eur Heart J*. 2023;44:1020-1039. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad019
573. Brinkman W, et al. Preoperative beta-blocker use in coronary artery bypass grafting surgery: national database analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;174:1320-1327. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.2356
574. Bagshaw SM, et al. Prophylactic amiodarone for prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2006;82:1927-1937. doi: S0003-4975(06)01243-4 [pii]
10.1016/j.athoracsurg.2006.06.032
575. Mathew JP, et al. A multicenter risk index for atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery. *JAMA*. 2004;291:1720-1729. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.14.1720
291/14/1720 [pii]
576. Sezai A, et al. Carperitide and atrial fibrillation after coronary bypass grafting: the Nihon University working group study of low-dose HANP infusion therapy during cardiac surgery trial for postoperative atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2015;8:546-553. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.113.001211
CIRCEP.113.001211 [pii]
577. Ho KM, et al. Benefits and risks of corticosteroid prophylaxis in adult cardiac surgery: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2009;119:1853-1866. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.848218
CIRCULATIONAHA.108.848218 [pii]
578. Miller S, et al. Effects of magnesium on atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Heart*. 2005;91:618-623. doi: 91/5/618 [pii]
10.1136/hrt.2004.033811
579. Imazio M, et al. Colchicine reduces postoperative atrial fibrillation: results of the Colchicine for the Prevention of the Postpericardiotomy Syndrome (COPPS) atrial fibrillation substudy. *Circulation*. 2011;124:2290-2295. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.026153
CIRCULATIONAHA.111.026153 [pii]
580. Conen D, et al. Effect of colchicine on perioperative atrial fibrillation and myocardial injury after non-cardiac surgery in patients undergoing major thoracic surgery (COP-AF): an international randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2023. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01689-6
581. Bhawe PD, et al. Statin use and postoperative atrial fibrillation after major noncardiac surgery. *Heart rhythm*. 2012;9:163-169. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2011.09.003
S1547-5271(11)01040-X [pii]
582. Archbold RA, et al. Atrial pacing for the prevention of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a review of the literature. *Heart*. 2004;90:129-133.
583. January CT, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation*. 2014;130:e199-267. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000041
584. Healey JS, et al. Subclinical Atrial Fibrillation in Older Patients. *Circulation*. 2017. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.028845
585. Reiffel JA, et al. Incidence of Previously Undiagnosed Atrial Fibrillation Using Insertable Cardiac Monitors in a High-Risk Population: The REVEAL AF Study. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2017. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2017.3180
586. Healey JS, et al. Apixaban for Stroke Prevention in Subclinical Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2023. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2310234
587. Kirchhof P, et al. Anticoagulation with Edoxaban in Patients with Atrial High-Rate Episodes. *N Engl J Med*. 2023. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2303062
588. Glotzer TV, et al. The relationship between daily atrial tachyarrhythmia burden from implantable device diagnostics and stroke risk: the TRENDS study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2009;2:474-480. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.109.849638
589. Van Gelder IC, et al. Duration of device-detected subclinical atrial fibrillation and occurrence of stroke in ASSERT. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1339-1344. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx042
590. Svennberg E, et al. Clinical outcomes in systematic screening for atrial fibrillation (STROKESTOP): a multicentre, parallel group, unmasked, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2021. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01637-8

591. Svendsen JH, et al. Implantable loop recorder detection of atrial fibrillation to prevent stroke (The LOOP Study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2021. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01698-6
592. Al-Gibbawi M, et al. Relationship between device-detected burden and duration of atrial fibrillation and risk of ischemic stroke. *Heart rhythm*. 2021;18:338-346. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.10.017
593. McIntyre WF, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants for Stroke Prevention in Patients with Device-Detected Atrial Fibrillation: A Study-Level Meta-Analysis of the NOAH-AFNET 6 and ARTESiA Trials. *Circulation*. 2023. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.067512
594. Hart RG, et al. Rivaroxaban for Stroke Prevention after Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:2191-2201. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1802686
595. Ntaios G, et al. Embolic strokes of undetermined source: a clinical consensus statement of the ESC Council on Stroke, the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the European Heart Rhythm Association of the ESC. *Eur Heart J*. 2024;45:1701-1715. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae150
596. Li YG, et al. C2 HEST Score and Prediction of Incident Atrial Fibrillation in Poststroke Patients: A French Nationwide Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2019;8:e012546. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.119.012546
597. Khairy P, et al. PACES/HRS Expert Consensus Statement on the Recognition and Management of Arrhythmias in Adult Congenital Heart Disease: developed in partnership between the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society (PACES) and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS). Endorsed by the governing bodies of PACES, HRS, the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), the Canadian Heart Rhythm Society (CHRS), and the International Society for Adult Congenital Heart Disease (ISACHD). *Heart rhythm*. 2014;11:e102-165. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2014.05.009
- S1547-5271(14)00513-X [pii]
598. Bhatt AB, et al. Congenital heart disease in the older adult: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:1884-1931. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000204
599. 2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease. *JACC*. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.018
600. Olivetto I, et al. Impact of atrial fibrillation on the clinical course of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*. 2001;104:2517-2524.
601. Rowin EJ, et al. Evolving Contemporary Management of Atrial Fibrillation in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*. 2023;148:1797-1811. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.065037
602. Di Donna P, et al. Efficacy of catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: impact of age, atrial remodelling, and disease progression. *Europace*. 2010;12:347-355. doi: 10.1093/europace/euq013
- euq013 [pii]
603. Guo J, et al. Effect of Semaglutide on Atrial Arrhythmias Recurrence Following Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation: A Prospective Study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2025:e014069. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.125.014069
604. Goldschlager N, et al. A practical guide for clinicians who treat patients with amiodarone: 2007. *Heart rhythm*. 2007;4:1250-1259. doi: S1547-5271(07)00784-9 [pii]
- 10.1016/j.hrthm.2007.07.020
605. Shah M, et al. Warfarin use and the risk for stroke and bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing dialysis. *Circulation*. 2014;129:1196-1203. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004777
- CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004777 [pii]
606. Dahal K, et al. Stroke, Major Bleeding, and Mortality Outcomes in Warfarin Users With Atrial Fibrillation and Chronic Kidney Disease: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *Chest*. 2016;149:951-959. doi: 10.1378/chest.15-1719
- S0012-3692(15)00019-7 [pii]
607. Shih CJ, et al. Risks of Death and Stroke in Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis With New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation: A Competing-Risk Analysis of a Nationwide Cohort. *Circulation*. 2016;133:265-272. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018294
- CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018294 [pii]
608. Pokorney SD, et al. Apixaban for Patients With Atrial Fibrillation on Hemodialysis: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *Circulation*. 2022;146:1735-1745. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.054990
609. Siontis KC, et al. Outcomes Associated with Apixaban Use in End-Stage Kidney Disease Patients with Atrial Fibrillation in the United States. *Circulation*. 2018. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.035418
610. Kuno T, et al. Oral Anticoagulation for Patients With Atrial Fibrillation on Long-Term Hemodialysis. *JACC*. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.10.059
611. Hawkins NM, et al. Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease the quandary of Beta-blockers and Beta-agonists. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:2127-2138. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.020
- S0735-1097(11)00891-6 [pii]
612. Rich MW, et al. Knowledge Gaps in Cardiovascular Care of the Older Adult Population: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and American Geriatrics Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;67:2419-2440. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.03.004
613. Katritsis DG, et al. Inducible supraventricular tachycardias in patients referred for catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2007;9:785-789. doi: 10.1093/europace/eum105
614. Aizer A, et al. Relation of vigorous exercise to risk of atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2009;103:1572-1577. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2009.01.374
- S0002-9149(09)00549-9 [pii]

615. Eijssvogels TMH, et al. Masters athletes with abnormal cardiovascular findings: a clinical consensus statement of the European Association of Preventive Cardiology of the ESC and the American College of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J*. 2026;47:2541-2560. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehag040
616. Lyon AR, et al. 2022 ESC Guidelines on cardio-oncology developed in collaboration with the European Hematology Association (EHA), the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ESTRO) and the International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS). *Eur Heart J*. 2022. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac244
617. Thompson A, et al. 2024 AHA/ACC/ACS/ASNC/HRS/SCA/SCCT/SCMR/SVM Guideline for Perioperative Cardiovascular Management for Noncardiac Surgery: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2024;150:e351-e442. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001285
618. Joglar JA, et al. 2023 HRS expert consensus statement on the management of arrhythmias during pregnancy. *Heart rhythm*. 2023;20:e175-e264. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2023.05.017
619. Amar MS. Atrial Fibrillation or Flutter During Pregnancy in Patients With Structural Heart Disease: Data From the ROPAC (Registry on Pregnancy and Cardiac Disease). *JACCCEP*. 2015;1:284
620. De Backer J, et al. 2025 ESC Guidelines for the management of cardiovascular disease and pregnancy. *Eur Heart J*. 2025. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf193
621. Alshawabkeh L, et al. Anticoagulation During Pregnancy: Evolving Strategies With a Focus on Mechanical Valves. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:1804-1813. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.06.076
622. Regitz-Zagrosek V, et al. 2018 ESC Guidelines for the management of cardiovascular diseases during pregnancy. *Eur Heart J*. 2018. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy340